

1. Agora movie displays the conflict between the Christian and paganism or Jewish about the religious and beliefs. Moreover, it presents how the women named Hypatia, who is philosophers and scientist in Alexandria, trying to revolutionize the belief that the earth circular orbits around the sun of the ancient world, but eclipse orbits the sun by using scientific experiments with her father, who preserved as the wisdom of the ancient world. The following paragraph will provide the differences in paradigms according to Agora movie and its impacts on the society and analyze the phenomena in the movie with some paradigm frameworks in social sciences.

To begin with, Hypatia is the daughter of Theon, head of the city's famous library. She is part the nobility of Alexandria and is admired for her brilliance as a philosopher. She is trying to figure out the trajectory of the moon, the sun, and the stars in relationship to earth. She has kind of postmodernism in her mindset. With the ancient people saying that the earth circular orbits around the sun, she ask why? why would the earth circular orbits to the sun. After that, she use her wisdom to explain that the earth eclipse orbits the sun by using mathematical experiments by using two lamps dragging around the sun. Then, it can be seen that she has positivism and postmodernism mindset.

The another important plot is to show the tensions between the Christian and the pagans. There are a group of people that be monotheism. They believe that there is only one God. While other group are polytheism : they worship of more than one god. There is a lot of violence in the movie showing that each of side try to attack the side that is not believe in the same things. Therefore, it can be clearly seen that each group of people has their own beliefs

implying that they has the critical paradigm. At its core, the critical paradigm is focused on power, social change.

Moreover, it includes ideas that the expression goal of social change ; in other words, they set goal that every people must believe in the same way. In this case, there are two groups of people that worship only one God and worship more than one God. Thus, it is evident that these two groups has their own critical paradigm.

2. Someone said that development means “improvement in country’s economic and social conditions”. More specially, it refers to improvements in way of managing an area’s natural and human resources. In order to create wealth and improve people’s lives. It’s commonly understanding that development is constructed differently in different societies. The following paragraph will provide the reason why there are different in construction in each of society to this point of view.

First and foremost, it is generally accepted that there is developed countries means Developed countries have generally more advanced post-industrial economies, meaning the service sector provides more wealth than the industrial sector. According to “where to invade next” movie, developed countries such as The United States defines as the industrialized and power and wealth. However, developed countries; on the other hand, means making standard living better, eliminating inequality, and reducing criminals. Take Italy for example: in the movie, it displays that

Italian workers have less working time about 8 hours. Moreover, they have vacation time about 2 months per year.

The interviewer in the movie asks the owner of many well-known Italy company that why they give a lot of leisure time to workers. They says that giving time to workers create the loyalty and harmony in the company. Workers can have lot of time with their family which created happiness, and after that they will have good energy to work with company. Italy and the United States is the great example of the development can create without oppression of workers, leading to have well-being of Italian citizens. The another example in the movie is that Finland, which is most effective education in the world, create the unique curriculum for the students as they will have less homework. Because of students have a lot of time, they can explore the experiences outside the class, helping they knowing themselves what's they interested and what they want to be in the future. In portugal, they have no law that drug is banned.

In my opinion, to be developed countries, government must create a strong fundamental factors. They would give a better standard living and create a happiness to citizens. Therefore, citizens can be a good guy and create a wealth to country.

Moving on to the next question, which hypothesis that could explain best the determinant of development level in each society. In my opinion, Amartya Sen' idea could explain best because he points out about the degree of poverty is the standard measure in the society. He said that development can be seen as a process of expanding the

freedoms that people enjoy. He wrote “development as freedom”. He said about some famines in various part in the world. Poverty is occurred when the real income in such group is dropped so they cannot afford to buy food or clothes. Take a fisherman for example: they have to live in the sea with cold temperatures, but they have no chance to have clothes to sustain themselves because they have no enough money. In contrast, if he have ability to have adequate standard living, he would be not in this bad situation. Thus, this can be called development. If government can provide well-being, freedom, and opportunity to citizens, the country will be developed soon.

Moving on to the last question, i believe that development is related to economic growth. According to Szirmai, it can be clearly seen that developing countries are trapped in vicious circle of stagnation; in other words, there are great differences in income per capita compared to developed countries. Differences in structure of population is also the factors because some developing countries are still predominantly in agricultural, but developed countries have large industrial sector. Moreover, it can be compared by the GNP of developing and developed countries. Since, developed countries have a better technology and have a lot of fund. Therefore, they can expand the economic growth of their countries. On the other hand, developing countries have low technological capabilities, they are limited by the rate of technological change and economic growth as they are not wealthy

3. According to Adam Smith theory, he said that growth is rooted in the increasing division of labor. His theory illustrated related to the specialization of labor force ;in other words, the breaking down of large job to various

small components created the increasing efficiency. Moreover, it can imply that each worker have to do specific work that most suitable for him. Productive labor can be described as one word. Labor must create surplus in order to increase the chance of the capitalist can reinvest on production. Because of that it lead to a free market economy that created the competitiveness of labor market. On the other hand, it is said that equality is a god' giving to mankind.

Karl Marx theory wrote about capitalism in the different way compared to Adam Smith. Whereas Adam Smith said that the competitiveness of labor create the prosperity and the wealth of nation, but Karl Marx saw the exploitation, where labors don't receiving the benefit that they should get. The following paragraph will explain the debate between Adam Smith and Karl Marx portrayed in the American factory movie about the division of labor, labor union, and the technological development this point of view.

To begin with, the documentary American factory narrated that in 2014 Fuyao Glass America company bought part of closed General Motors (GM) assembly plant in The United States as General Motors closed down due to recession in 2008. When Fuyao Glass America company comes to The United States, they brings with it several hundred Chinese employees who have experience in running a large-scale glass-making operation. They're there to help train the 2,000 new American hires. After that, Mr.Cao Dewang, the chairman of Fuyao, said the difference between the American workers and Chinese workers is that Chinese workers are more effective in producing in time with better quality. In order to boost his company, he managed to eliminate this problem by hiring more Chinese

labor into Fuyao company which related to Adam Smith's idea that labor must be specialized in what they do best and create a lot of surplus to the company, then they can use this profit to reinvest in the next production.

Moving on to the labor union discussion, in the documentary American Factory it displayed that the American need labor union organization which an organization of workers formed for the purpose of advancing its members' interests in respect to wages, benefits, and working conditions. Because Mr. Cao saw that the company was not making profit quickly as he expected. Therefore, the Chinese management fires the American leadership and replaces it with Chinese leadership. Supervisors are swapped out from American supervisors to Chinese supervisors, and there's more and more pressure on the American workforce. This, it led to frustration on the part of the Americans. They need the equality that they didn't receive as, in eventually, the Chinese management team denied the request of having labor union organization but only paid higher wage to the labor by 2 dollars. According to Adam Smith theory, he said that capitalism is the most effective way to reach maximum benefit and profit, but Karl Marx said that everyone should be equal ;in other words, capitalist should give the proper wage and benefit in order to make their standard living better.

The technological development in documentary American factory stated that Fuyao Glass America company gain surplus and they use this amount to reinvest in machinery equipment instead of giving the proper amount of profit to labor. Karl Marx saw the capitalism in different aspects compared to Adam Smith as labor is the

fundamental factor that create these surplus. Therefore, with the minimizing cost by cut the wage of labor and invest with the machine is not the appropriate way.

4. Karl Marx' class theory illustrates that there are two main classes of people: The bourgeoisie controls the capital and means of production, and the proletariat provide the labor. Marx said that there has been struggle in two classes. To begin with, the bourgeoisie pay to the proletariat in order to make things for them to sell. Since, the owner becomes richer after making a profit they use that surplus to satiate themselves; on the other hand, the workers they have no choice but to work because they have haven't have a business. According to Karl Marx' theory, he wanted workers to unite and take over the business as they should receive what they deserved after woking week in week out. They should be wealthy and prosperous instead of being a slave to the ones who don't do anything except ordering someone else. Eventually, Marx's theory can be related to the history of administration in Thailand. There has a lot of conflict among the two groups of two different classes. The following paragraph will provide example of the applicable the framework of Marxist in Thai history to this point of view.

It is generally accepted that before the great transformations which occurred in the nineteenth century, Thai society was dominated by the Sakdina system. To begin with, Sakdina system was transformed into capitalist states, under the rule of an absolute monarchy. In 1932, The revolution was staged by the Peoples' Party, led by the socialist politician Pridi Panomyong. Due to the administration of Sakdina system, the proletariat such as Thai

citizens cannot endure to the oppressed of the Sakdina system. They gather to change society system from the old ones to democracy system, which people can have freedom to express themselves, in order to reduce the power or the authority of the dynasty. However, the military play a vital role in Thai politics as after the 1932 revolution, as consolidation of power came with the Sarit military coup in 1957. In 1950-1960, the size of working class has been increased as the growing of factories and businesses, but citizens were suppressed by the wage and freedom under the dictatorship. In 1973, There is a gather of Thai citizens that cannot endure to the dictatorship. With the high vicious tension between the dictatorship and citizens, there is gory and a lot of bodies heaped on the floor. After that, Thai citizens win after Mr.Thanom, who is prime minister of Thailand at that time, resigned. Thus, it is clearly seen that the dictatorship have power to order someone else to do something. It displays the losing freedom of Thai citizens. They should have right under democracy system.

Moving on to the last scene of the Paradoxocrazy documentary, capitalism plays role in Thai politics since Mr.Thaksin became prime minster in 2001. Like many other state capitalists, he seemed to believe that as long as he delivered strong growth, he and his party would remain popular, and he should be able to use economic institutions to bolster his party and his own personal power. He made policy that help lower class citizens; however, there is a contradiction against Karl Marx's theory about the capitalism.

In conclusion, Karl Marx's theory can tell us that social class is a severe problem that occurred from then until now. Class struggle create the inequality in society as the lower class people don't receive what they deserved. Thus, the classes should be eliminated in order to create equality in society.

6104640658 Ti sakornvasee