

CHAPTER 12

Liquidity Risk



Overview

- Causes of liquidity risk
- Methods of measuring liquidity risk
- Consequences of extreme liquidity risk exposure
- Financial crisis

FIs and Liquidity Risk Exposure

- High exposure
 - Depository institutions
 - Loss of confidence in bank-to-bank lending during financial crisis resulted in more widespread liquidity crisis
- Moderate exposure
 - Life insurance companies
- Low exposure
 - Mutual funds, hedge funds, pension funds, and property-casualty insurance companies

Causes of Liquidity Risk

- Liability-side liquidity risk occurs when depositors or policyholders cash in claims
 - With low cash holdings, FI may be forced to liquidate assets too rapidly
 - ◆ Faster sale may require fire-sale price
- Asset-side liquidity risk can result from off-balance-sheet loan commitments
 - Liquidity requirements from the borrowing of funds can be met by the FI running down cash assets, selling liquid assets, or additional borrowing

Liability-Side Liquidity Risk for DIs

- Reliance on demand deposits
 - Core deposits
 - Depository institutions need to be able to predict the probability distribution of net deposit drains
 - ◆ Calculated as the difference between deposit withdrawals and deposit additions
 - ◆ Seasonality effects in net withdrawal patterns (e.g., end of year and summer due to Christmas and vacations)
 - ◆ 2008 problem with low rates: Finding suitable investment opportunities for the large inflows
 - Managed drain on deposits by:
 - ◆ Purchased liquidity management
 - ◆ Stored liquidity management

Purchased Liquidity Management

- Sources: federal funds market and/or repurchase agreement markets
- Managing the liability side preserves asset side of balance sheet
- Borrowed funds likely at higher rates than interest paid on deposits
- Deposits are insured but borrowed funds not necessarily protected
- Regulatory concerns:
 - ◆ During financial crisis, wholesale funds were difficult and sometimes impossible to obtain

Stored Liquidity Management

- Liquidate assets to meet withdrawals
 - In addition to reserve requirements set by the Federal Reserve, DIs tend to hold excess reserves
 - Downsides:
 - ◆ Contraction of asset size
 - ◆ Requires holding excess low-rate assets
 - ◆ Opportunity cost of holding excessive cash or other liquid assets
- DI may use some combination of purchased and stored liquidity management

Asset-Side Liquidity Risk

- Liquidity risk from loan commitments and other credit lines
 - Met either by borrowing funds and/or by using cash assets
- Current levels of loan commitments are dangerously high
 - Commercial banks in particular have been increasing commitments over the past few years, presumably believing commitments will not be used
 - In 1994, unused loan commitments to cash equaled 529%. In 2008, 1,015%. Fell back to 609% during the crisis.

Investment Portfolio and Asset-Side Liquidity Risk

- Interest rate risk and market risk of the investment portfolio can cause values to fluctuate
- Arguments that technological improvements have increased liquidity in financial markets
 - Some argue that “herd” behavior may actually reduce liquidity

Financing Gap

- Defined as difference between average loans and average (core) deposits
 - $FG = \text{Average loans} - \text{Average deposits}$
 - $FG = -\text{Liquid assets} + \text{Borrowed funds}$
 - $FG + \text{Liquid assets} = \text{Borrowed funds}$
- Potential for insolvency highlights need for managers of DIs to actively manage liquidity planning

Sources and Uses of Liquidity

- Net liquidity statement:
 - Sources of liquidity: (i) Cash type assets, (ii) maximum amount of borrowed funds available, (iii) excess cash reserves
 - ◆ Historical sources and uses of liquidity statements may assist manager in identification of future liquidity issues
 - Uses of liquidity
 - ◆ Borrowed or purchased funds already utilized
 - ◆ Any amounts of cash already borrowed from the Fed via discount window loans

Other Measures

- **Peer group comparisons:** Usual ratios include borrowed funds/total assets, loans to deposits, etc.
- **Liquidity index:**
 - Weighted sum of “fire sale price”, P , to fair market price, P^* , where the portfolio weights are the percent of the portfolio value formed by the individual assets

$$I = \sum w_i(P_i/P_i^*)$$

Ratios

- **Liquidity coverage ratio (LCR)**
 - (Stock of high-quality liquid assets)/(Total net cash outflows over the next 30 calendar days) $\geq 100\%$
- **Net stable funding ratio (NSFR)**
 - (Available amount of stable funding)/(Required amount of stable funding) $> 100\%$

Other Liquidity Risk Control Measures

- Contractual maturity mismatch
- Concentration of funding
- Available unencumbered asset
- LCR by significant currency
- Market-related monitoring tools

Liquidity Planning

- Planning is a key component of measuring and coping with liquidity risk and associated costs
 - Make funding decisions before liquidity problems arise
 - Lower the cost of funds by identifying an optimal funding mix
 - Minimize the need for excess reserve holdings

Liquidity Planning (Continued)

- Delineate managerial responsibilities
 - Identify who responds to regulatory agencies, who discloses information to the public, etc.
- Detailed list of funds providers, important to anticipate the expected pattern of withdrawals in a crisis
 - Example: Mutual/pension funds more likely to withdraw during crisis than correspondent banks and small businesses
 - Allow for seasonal effects

Liquidity Planning (Concluded)

- Identify size of potential deposit and fund withdrawals over various future time horizons
 - Identify private market alternatives to meet the liquidity needs of withdrawals
- Set internal limits on subsidiaries' and branches' borrowings and boundaries on risk premiums
- Plan the sequence of asset disposal to meet liquidity needs

Bank Runs

- Can arise due to concern about:
 - Bank solvency
 - Failure of a related FI
 - Sudden changes in investor preferences
- Demand deposits are first come, first served
 - Depositor's place in line matters
- Bank panic: Systemic or contagious bank run on deposits of banking industry

Alleviating Bank Runs

- Measures to reduce likelihood of bank runs:
 - Deposit insurance and discount window
 - FDIC
 - Direct actions, such as TARP (2008-2009)
- Not without economic costs
 - Protection may encourage DIs to increase liquidity risk

Life Insurance Companies

- Life insurance companies hold reserves as a buffer to offset policy cancellations (surrenders) and other working capital needs
- Pattern is normally predictable
- Solvency concerns can still generate runs on the life insurance company
- State guaranty schemes deter runs on life insurance companies

Liquidity Risk for P-C Insurers

- Assets tend to be shorter term and more liquid than life insurers
- Claims are virtually impossible to predict
 - Hurricane Andrew and Hurricane Katrina precipitated severe liquidity crises for many PC insurers
- Near failure of giant insurer, AIG (2008)
 - Credit default swaps (CDS)
 - Restructuring and federal government bailout

Investment Funds

- Mutual funds, hedge funds
 - Net asset value (NAV) of the fund is market value divided by number of shares in fund
 - Risk of runs is less than that faced by banks
 - Asset losses will be shared on a *pro rata* basis, so position in line does not matter
 - But, MMMFs faced significant liquidity risk at beginning of the crisis
- Hedge funds implicated in some of the most severe liquidity crises faced by FIs

Pertinent Websites

Bank for

International

Settlements

www.bis.org

Federal Reserve

www.federalreserve.gov

FDIC

www.fdic.gov