

610461300

Nuntayod

```
-----  
-----  
name: <unnamed>  
log: C:\Users\User\Desktop\EE 426 stata\assignment 9.log  
log type: text  
opened on: 31 Mar 2021, 21:39:50
```

```
. drop _est_m1 _est_m2 _est_ologit _est_gologit  
  
. clear  
  
. use "C:\Users\User\Desktop\EE 426 stata\assign09.dta", clear
```

1) . mlogit y x1 x2 x3 x4, nolog

```
Multinomial logistic regression      Number of obs      =      152  
LR chi2(20)                          =      50.72  
Prob > chi2                          =      0.0002  
Log likelihood = -203.28337           Pseudo R2          =      0.1109
```

	y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
0	x1	-1.832363	.8632903	-2.12	0.034	-3.524381	-.1403452
	x2	2.368735	1.168139	2.03	0.043	.079224	4.658246
	x3	-.0976971	.8455682	-0.12	0.908	-1.75498	1.559586
	x4	-.4905651	.3468626	-1.41	0.157	-1.170403	.189273
	_cons	4.204706	4.763082	0.88	0.377	-5.130762	13.54017
1	x1	-1.954381	.814615	-2.40	0.016	-3.550997	-.3577647
	x2	.3523473	.782471	0.45	0.652	-1.181268	1.885962
	x3	1.155726	1.030763	1.12	0.262	-.864533	3.175985
	x4	.8167134	.4673336	1.75	0.081	-.0992436	1.732671
	_cons	-13.86033	7.056818	-1.96	0.050	-27.69144	-.0292169
2	x1	-.8623688	.6749745	-1.28	0.201	-2.185294	.4605568
	x2	.7376981	.6909685	1.07	0.286	-.6165753	2.091972
	x3	-1.132118	.6624929	-1.71	0.087	-2.43058	.1663445
	x4	-.2862948	.2878698	-0.99	0.320	-.8505092	.2779195
	_cons	3.302474	4.043716	0.82	0.414	-4.623064	11.22801
3	x1	-2.236402	.6199683	-3.61	0.000	-3.451518	-1.021287
	x2	1.415342	.6376614	2.22	0.026	.1655491	2.665136
	x3	-.2635344	.6026228	-0.44	0.662	-1.444653	.9175846
	x4	-.2730998	.2507716	-1.09	0.276	-.7646032	.2184035
	_cons	3.142763	3.534705	0.89	0.374	-3.785131	10.07066

4	x1	-.1544581	.5644404	-0.27	0.784	-1.260741	.9518248
	x2	.2643829	.5265539	0.50	0.616	-.7676439	1.29641
	x3	-1.307232	.5372575	-2.43	0.015	-2.360237	-.2542264
	x4	-.3073979	.2338932	-1.31	0.189	-.7658201	.1510242
	_cons	4.187597	3.285728	1.27	0.202	-2.252312	10.62751
5		(base outcome)					

. fitstat

Measures of Fit for mlogit of y

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-228.644	Log-Lik Full Model:	-203.283
D(122):	406.567	LR(20):	50.721
		Prob > LR:	0.000
McFadden's R2:	0.111	McFadden's Adj R2:	-0.020
Maximum Likelihood R2:	0.284	Cragg & Uhler's R2:	0.298
Count R2:	0.086	Adj Count R2:	0.021
AIC:	3.070	AIC*n:	466.567
BIC:	-206.347	BIC':	49.757

. est store m1

. mlogit y x1 x2 x3 x4 if y!=4, nolog

Multinomial logistic regression	Number of obs	=	127
	LR chi2(16)	=	43.84
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0002
Log likelihood = -138.77638	Pseudo R2	=	0.1364

	y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
0	x1	-1.917478	.8656523	-2.22	0.027	-3.614125 - .2208305
	x2	2.399049	1.184989	2.02	0.043	.0765135 4.721585
	x3	-.0750429	.8626823	-0.09	0.931	-1.765869 1.615783
	x4	-.4760917	.3418197	-1.39	0.164	-1.146046 .1938626
	_cons	4.002073	4.712976	0.85	0.396	-5.235191 13.23934
1	x1	-1.887951	.8108206	-2.33	0.020	-3.47713 - .2987722
	x2	.4256484	.7803751	0.55	0.585	-1.103859 1.955155
	x3	1.13251	1.04128	1.09	0.277	-.9083626 3.173382
	x4	.7670503	.4586998	1.67	0.094	-.1319848 1.666086
	_cons	-13.20158	6.968	-1.89	0.058	-26.85861 .455447

2	x1	-.902955	.6677474	-1.35	0.176	-2.211716	.4058058
	x2	.746173	.6920624	1.08	0.281	-.6102444	2.10259
	x3	-1.134476	.6573489	-1.73	0.084	-2.422856	.1539042
	x4	-.2704256	.2800586	-0.97	0.334	-.8193303	.2784791
	_cons	3.098155	3.947385	0.78	0.433	-4.638579	10.83489
-----							
3	x1	-2.273025	.6227095	-3.65	0.000	-3.493514	-1.052537
	x2	1.445561	.6553098	2.21	0.027	.1611778	2.729945
	x3	-.2614692	.6184131	-0.42	0.672	-1.473537	.9505983
	x4	-.277248	.2489175	-1.11	0.265	-.7651174	.2106213
	_cons	3.19799	3.521038	0.91	0.364	-3.703118	10.0991
-----							
5		(base outcome)					
-----							

. est store m2

. hausman m2 m1, alleqs constant

Note: the rank of the differenced variance matrix (19) does not equal the number of coefficients being tested (20); be sure this is what you expect, or there may be problems computing the test. Examine the output of your estimators for anything unexpected and possibly consider scaling your variables so that the coefficients are on a similar scale.

		---- Coefficients ----			
		(b)	(B)	(b-B)	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))
		m2	m1	Difference	S.E.
-----					
0	x1	-1.917478	-1.832363	-.0851147	.0639035
	x2	2.399049	2.368735	.0303146	.1991226
	x3	-.0750429	-.0976971	.0226542	.1709826
	x4	-.4760917	-.4905651	.0144735	.
	_cons	4.002073	4.204706	-.2026339	.
-----					
1	x1	-1.887951	-1.954381	.0664294	.
	x2	.4256484	.3523473	.0733011	.
	x3	1.13251	1.155726	-.0232167	.1476198
	x4	.7670503	.8167134	-.0496631	.
	_cons	-13.20158	-13.86033	.6587452	.
-----					
2	x1	-.902955	-.8623688	-.0405861	.
	x2	.746173	.7376981	.0084748	.0388948
	x3	-1.134476	-1.132118	-.0023583	.



- ( 2) [0]x2 - [1]x2 = 0
- ( 3) [0]x3 - [1]x3 = 0
- ( 4) [0]x4 - [1]x4 = 0
- ( 5) [1]x1 - [2]x1 = 0
- ( 6) [1]x2 - [2]x2 = 0
- ( 7) [1]x3 - [2]x3 = 0
- ( 8) [1]x4 - [2]x4 = 0
- ( 9) [2]x1 - [3]x1 = 0
- (10) [2]x2 - [3]x2 = 0
- (11) [2]x3 - [3]x3 = 0
- (12) [2]x4 - [3]x4 = 0
- (13) [3]x1 - [4]x1 = 0
- (14) [3]x2 - [4]x2 = 0
- (15) [3]x3 - [4]x3 = 0
- (16) [3]x4 - [4]x4 = 0

	y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
0	x1	.7194818	.2020884	3.56	0.000	.3233959	1.115568
	x2	-.5041496	.2049628	-2.46	0.014	-.9058693	-.1024299
	x3	.1109476	.2041695	0.54	0.587	-.2892173	.5111125
	x4	.0732426	.0867141	0.84	0.398	-.0967139	.2431991
	_cons	.4623429	1.229346	0.38	0.707	-1.947131	2.871817
1	x1	.7194818	.2020884	3.56	0.000	.3233959	1.115568
	x2	-.5041496	.2049628	-2.46	0.014	-.9058693	-.1024299
	x3	.1109476	.2041695	0.54	0.587	-.2892173	.5111125
	x4	.0732426	.0867141	0.84	0.398	-.0967139	.2431991
	_cons	.0266402	1.224714	0.02	0.983	-2.373756	2.427036
2	x1	.7194818	.2020884	3.56	0.000	.3233959	1.115568
	x2	-.5041496	.2049628	-2.46	0.014	-.9058693	-.1024299
	x3	.1109476	.2041695	0.54	0.587	-.2892173	.5111125
	x4	.0732426	.0867141	0.84	0.398	-.0967139	.2431991
	_cons	-.3534276	1.220028	-0.29	0.772	-2.744639	2.037784
3	x1	.7194818	.2020884	3.56	0.000	.3233959	1.115568
	x2	-.5041496	.2049628	-2.46	0.014	-.9058693	-.1024299
	x3	.1109476	.2041695	0.54	0.587	-.2892173	.5111125
	x4	.0732426	.0867141	0.84	0.398	-.0967139	.2431991
	_cons	-.8395408	1.218495	-0.69	0.491	-3.227746	1.548665
4	x1	.7194818	.2020884	3.56	0.000	.3233959	1.115568
	x2	-.5041496	.2049628	-2.46	0.014	-.9058693	-.1024299
	x3	.1109476	.2041695	0.54	0.587	-.2892173	.5111125

x4		.0732426	.0867141	0.84	0.398	-.0967139	.2431991
_cons		-1.315206	1.220947	-1.08	0.281	-3.708217	1.077805

. gologit2 y x1 x2 x3 x4, npl sto(gologit) link(p)

Generalized Ordered Probit Estimates	Number of obs	=	152
	LR chi2(20)	=	62.94
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log likelihood = -197.17374	Pseudo R2	=	0.1376

	y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
0	x1	.3572168	.4029218	0.89	0.375	-.4324954 1.146929
	x2	-.8430554	.5215852	-1.62	0.106	-1.865344 .1792327
	x3	.2174726	.4699002	0.46	0.644	-.7035149 1.13846
	x4	.6301649	.2667108	2.36	0.018	.1074213 1.152909
	_cons	-7.270173	3.882206	-1.87	0.061	-14.87916 .3388114
1	x1	.3815073	.3018235	1.26	0.206	-.210056 .9730705
	x2	-.0159532	.3528003	-0.05	0.964	-.7074291 .6755227
	x3	-.4463186	.318717	-1.40	0.161	-1.070992 .1783554
	x4	-.3245584	.1577207	-2.06	0.040	-.6336852 -.0154316
	_cons	5.945013	2.271297	2.62	0.009	1.493353 10.39667
2	x1	.3404404	.2758877	1.23	0.217	-.2002895 .8811702
	x2	.0353617	.3074022	0.12	0.908	-.5671356 .637859
	x3	-.0702493	.2585965	-0.27	0.786	-.577089 .4365905
	x4	-.1951006	.1263031	-1.54	0.122	-.4426502 .0524489
	_cons	3.376936	1.791931	1.88	0.059	-.1351848 6.889057
3	x1	1.140714	.2586096	4.41	0.000	.6338481 1.647579
	x2	-.6973459	.2627334	-2.65	0.008	-1.212294 -.1823979
	x3	-.0515296	.2426607	-0.21	0.832	-.5271359 .4240766
	x4	-.0319761	.1198625	-0.27	0.790	-.2669022 .20295
	_cons	.6640111	1.677911	0.40	0.692	-2.624635 3.952657
4	x1	.7732183	.2551915	3.03	0.002	.2730522 1.273384
	x2	-.6291826	.243014	-2.59	0.010	-1.105481 -.1528838
	x3	.4068852	.2489172	1.63	0.102	-.0809836 .8947539
	x4	.1212267	.1142629	1.06	0.289	-.1027244 .3451778
	_cons	-2.159693	1.595193	-1.35	0.176	-5.286213 .9668279

WARNING! 86 in-sample cases have an outcome with a predicted probability that is less than 0. See the gologit2 help section on Warning Messages for more information.

. lrtest ologit gologit, stat

Likelihood-ratio test  
 (Assumption: ologit nested in gologit) LR chi2(16) = 39.44  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0009

Akaike's information criterion and Bayesian information criterion

Model	Obs	ll(null)	ll(model)	df	AIC	BIC
ologit	152	-228.6436	-216.8951	9	451.7902	479.0051
gologit	152	-228.6436	-197.1737	25	444.3475	519.9445

Note: N=Obs used in calculating BIC; see [R] BIC note.

4) . mlogit y x1 x2 x3 x4, nolog

Multinomial logistic regression Number of obs = 152  
 LR chi2(20) = 50.72  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0002  
 Log likelihood = -203.28337 Pseudo R2 = 0.1109

	y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
0	x1	-1.832363	.8632903	-2.12	0.034	-3.524381 -.1403452
	x2	2.368735	1.168139	2.03	0.043	.079224 4.658246
	x3	-.0976971	.8455682	-0.12	0.908	-1.75498 1.559586
	x4	-.4905651	.3468626	-1.41	0.157	-1.170403 .189273
	_cons	4.204706	4.763082	0.88	0.377	-5.130762 13.54017
1	x1	-1.954381	.814615	-2.40	0.016	-3.550997 -.3577647
	x2	.3523473	.782471	0.45	0.652	-1.181268 1.885962
	x3	1.155726	1.030763	1.12	0.262	-.864533 3.175985
	x4	.8167134	.4673336	1.75	0.081	-.0992436 1.732671
	_cons	-13.86033	7.056818	-1.96	0.050	-27.69144 -.0292169
2	x1	-.8623688	.6749745	-1.28	0.201	-2.185294 .4605568
	x2	.7376981	.6909685	1.07	0.286	-.6165753 2.091972
	x3	-1.132118	.6624929	-1.71	0.087	-2.43058 .1663445
	x4	-.2862948	.2878698	-0.99	0.320	-.8505092 .2779195
	_cons	3.302474	4.043716	0.82	0.414	-4.623064 11.22801
3						











/cut4	1.48964	2.117389			-2.660365	5.639646
/cut5	2.283969	2.124223			-1.879432	6.447371

-----

```
. margins, dydx(*) predict(outcome(0))
```

```
Average marginal effects          Number of obs    =          152
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression      : Pr(y==0), predict(outcome(0))
dy/dx w.r.t.   : x1 x2 x3 x4
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	-.0681609	.0273944	-2.49	0.013	-.121853	-.0144688
x2	.0461206	.0233188	1.98	0.048	.0004166	.0918247
x3	-.0111831	.0186422	-0.60	0.549	-.0477211	.0253549
x4	-.006818	.0084411	-0.81	0.419	-.0233623	.0097263

```
-----
```

```
. margins, dydx(*) predict(outcome(0)) at((median))
```

```
Average marginal effects          Number of obs    =          152
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression      : Pr(y==0), predict(outcome(0))
dy/dx w.r.t.   : x1 x2 x3 x4
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	-.0681609	.0273944	-2.49	0.013	-.121853	-.0144688
x2	.0461206	.0233188	1.98	0.048	.0004166	.0918247
x3	-.0111831	.0186422	-0.60	0.549	-.0477211	.0253549
x4	-.006818	.0084411	-0.81	0.419	-.0233623	.0097263

```
-----
```

```
. margins, dydx(*) predict(outcome(1))
```

```
Average marginal effects          Number of obs    =          152
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression      : Pr(y==1), predict(outcome(1))
dy/dx w.r.t.   : x1 x2 x3 x4
```

```
-----
```

	Delta-method
--	--------------







```
-----  
. margins, dydx(*) predict(outcome(5)) at((median))
```

```
Average marginal effects          Number of obs    =          152  
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression      : Pr(y==5), predict(outcome(5))  
dy/dx w.r.t.   : x1 x2 x3 x4
```

```
-----  
                |                Delta-method  
                |                dy/dx   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|      [95% Conf. Interval]  
-----+-----  
x1 |      .2750578   .0661191     4.16   0.000     .1454667     .4046489  
x2 |     -.1861159   .0724194    -2.57   0.010    -.3280553    -.0441766  
x3 |      .0451285   .073977     0.61   0.542    -.0998638     .1901208  
x4 |      .0275136   .032782     0.84   0.401    -.0367379     .0917651  
-----
```

```
. log close  
  name: <unnamed>  
  log: C:\Users\User\Desktop\EE 426 stata\assignment 9.log  
  log type: text  
  closed on: 31 Mar 2021, 21:42:42  
-----  
-----
```

### 1) Interpretation

Overall test: P-value  $< 0.05$ , the model is jointly significant in overall test at 5% level.

Individual test: most of parameter are insignificant.

GOF and forecasting error: Pseudo  $R^2$  is low as counted  $R^2$  that lower than 0.5, the model should improve

IIA test:  $H_0$  is not rejected, the data is IIA, the MN logit is appropriated over the nested logit/ASN Probit model

### 2) Interpretation

Overall test: P-value  $< 0.05$ , the model is jointly significant in overall test at 5% level.

Individual test: most of parameter are insignificant, all the cut are insignificant which the model may be not order.

GOF and forecasting error: pseudo  $R^2$  is quite low

3) From the order logit test we reject the  $H_0$ , which mean that the appropriated model is MN logit over ordered logit