

1. Two individuals agree at date 0 to a forward contract that matures at date 2.
2. The contract is written on an underlying asset that pays a dividend at date 1 equal to D_1 . Let f_2 be the date 2 random payoff (profit) to the individual who is the long party in the forward contract. Also let m_{0i} be the stochastic discount factor over the period from dates 0 to i where $i = 1, 2$, and let $E_0[\cdot]$ be the expectations operator at date 0. What is the value of $E_0[m_{02}f_2]$? Explain your answer.

p = current price

From: $P_{1,t} = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_{t,t+j} d_{t,t+j} \right]$

using stochastic discount factor

$$P_0 = E_0[m_{01}D_1] + E_0[m_{02}P_2] = D_0 + E_0[m_{02}P_2]$$

Payoff to the long party

$$f_2 = P_2 - F_{02} \quad \rightarrow \text{long forward position, } F_{02} = \text{borrowing at date 0 and repay at date 2.}$$

We know that

$$E_0[m_{02}f_2] = E_0[m_{02}(P_2 - F_{02})] = E_0[m_{02}P_2] - E_0[m_{02}F_{02}]$$

note that: $P_0 = E_0[m_{01}D_1] + E_0[m_{02}P_2] = D_0 + E_0[m_{02}P_2]$

and $E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] = E_0[m_{02}]F_{02} = R_f^{-2}F_{02}$

and then,

$$E_0[m_{02}f_2] = E_0[m_{02}P_2] - E_0[m_{02}F_{02}]$$

$$= P_0 - D_0 - R_f^{-2}F_{02} \quad *$$

\therefore it implies that forward price satisfies $F_{02} = R_f^2(P_0 - D_0)$

$$\hookrightarrow E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] = 0$$

2. Assume that there is an economy populated by infinitely-lived representative individuals who maximize the lifetime utility function

$$E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} -\delta^t e^{-ac_t} \right]$$

where c_t is consumption at date t and $a > 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. The economy is a Lucas (1978) endowment economy having multiple risky assets paying date t dividends that total d_t per capita. Write down an expression for the equilibrium per capita price of the market portfolio in terms of the assets' future dividends.

$$E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} -\delta^t e^{-ac_t} \right]$$

From Lucas model,

$$P_0 = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_c(c_t, t)}{V_c(c_0, 0)} d_t \right]$$

$$V(c_t, t) = -\delta^t e^{-ac_t} \rightarrow V_c(c_t, t) = a\delta^t e^{-ac_t} \quad ; \quad c_t = d_t$$

Then

$$P_0 = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_c(c_t, t)}{V_c(c_0, 0)} d_t \right]$$

$$= E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{a\delta^t e^{-ac_t}}{a\delta^0 e^{-ac_0}} d_t \right]$$

$$= E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta^t e^{-ac(d_t - d_0)} d_t \right] \quad \times$$

3. For the Lucas model with labor income, show that assumptions (6.25) and (6.26) lead to the pricing relationship (6.27) and (6.28).

6204640244_Phoom

$$\ln(C_{t+1}^*/C_t^*) = \mu_c + \sigma_c \eta_{t+1} \quad (6.25)$$

$$\ln(d_{t+1}/d_t) = \mu_d + \sigma_d \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

$$P_t = d_t \frac{\delta e^\alpha}{1 - \delta e^\alpha}$$

where

$$\alpha \equiv \mu_d - (1-\gamma)\mu_c + \frac{1}{2}[(1-\gamma)^2\sigma_c^2 + \sigma_d^2] - (1-\gamma)\rho\sigma_c\sigma_d \quad (6.28)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_t \\ \varepsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (6.26)$$

theoretically, we know that $P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left[\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} \right]^{\delta-1} d_{t+j}$

From, $\ln \left(\frac{C_{t+1}^*}{C_t^*} \right) = \mu_c + \sigma_c \eta_{t+1}$

so, $\ln \left(\frac{C_{t+2}^*}{C_t^*} \right) = \ln \left(\frac{C_{t+2}^*}{C_{t+1}^*} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{C_{t+1}^*}{C_t^*} \right)$

$$= 2\mu_c + \sigma_c \eta_{t+1} + \sigma_c \eta_{t+2}$$

$$= 2\mu_c + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_c \eta_{t+i}$$

Then, we will get

$$\ln \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} \right) = j\mu_c + \sigma_c \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i}$$

$$\ln \left(\frac{d_{t+j}}{d_t} \right) = j\mu_d + \sigma_d \sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{t+i}$$

$$\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} = e^{j\mu_c + \sigma_c \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i}}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{From } P_t = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^A}{C_t^A} \right) d_{t+j} \right]$$

$$\frac{P_t}{d_t} = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^A}{C_t^A} \right) \frac{d_{t+j}}{d_t} \right]$$

$$\frac{P_t}{d_t} = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j (\delta-1) \left[j\mu_d + \sigma_d^2 \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i} \right] + j\mu_d + \sigma_d^2 \sum_{i=1}^j \epsilon_{t+i} \right]$$

take expectation $E[\cdot]$ inside

$$\frac{P_t}{d_t} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left[(\delta-1)j\mu_d + \frac{1}{2}(\delta-1)^2 \sigma_d^2 j + j\mu_d + \frac{1}{2}j\sigma_d^2 + 2(\delta-1) \cdot \sigma_d \sigma_{\eta} \rho \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (2)(\delta-1) \sigma_d \sigma_{\eta} \rho j$$

$$\frac{P_t}{d_t} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left[\ln(\delta) - (1-\delta)\mu_d + \mu_d + \frac{1}{2} (1-\delta)^2 \sigma_d^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_d^2 - (1-\delta) \sigma_d^2 \sigma_{\eta} \rho \right]$$

$$\frac{P_t}{d_t} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{j\delta^*} = \frac{1}{1-e^{\delta^*}} - 1$$

$$\therefore \frac{P_t}{d_t} = \frac{1}{1-\delta e^{\sigma^2}} - 1$$

$$P_t = d_t \frac{\delta e^{\sigma^2}}{1-\delta e^{\sigma^2}} \quad \times$$

4. Consider a special case of the model of rational speculative bubbles discussed in this chapter. Assume that infinitely-lived investors are risk-neutral and that there is an asset paying a constant, one-period risk-free

return of $R_f = \delta^{-1} > 1$. There is also an infinitely-lived risky asset with price p_t at date t . The risky asset is assumed to pay a dividend of d_t which is declared at date t and paid at the end of the period, date $t + 1$. Consider the price $p_t = f_t + b_t$ where

$$f_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_t[d_{t+i}]}{R_f^{i+1}} \quad (1)$$

and

$$b_{t+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{R_f}{q_t} b_t + e_{t+1} & \text{with probability } q_t \\ z_{t+1} & \text{with probability } 1 - q_t \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $E_t[e_{t+1}] = E_t[z_{t+1}] = 0$ and where q_t is a random variable as of date $t - 1$ but realized at date t and is uniformly distributed between 0 and 1.

- 4.a Show whether or not $p_t = f_t + b_t$ subject to the specifications in (1) and (2) is a valid solution for the price of the risky asset.
- 4.b Suppose that p_t is the price of a barrel of oil. If $p_t \geq p_{solar}$, then solar energy, which is in perfectly elastic supply, becomes an economically efficient perfect substitute for oil. Can a rational speculative bubble exist for the price of oil? Explain why or why not.
- 4.c Suppose p_t is the price of a bond that matures at date $T < \infty$. In this context, the d_t for $t \leq T$ denotes the bond's coupon and principal payments. Can a rational speculative bubble exist for the price of this bond? Explain why or why not.

4.a check (2). satisfied $E_t[b_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$ or not

$$E_t[b_{t+1}] = \frac{R_f}{q_t} b_t q_t + E_t[e_{t+1}] q_t + (1 - q_t) E_t[z_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$$

4.B From $E_t[b_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E_t[b_{t+i}] = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } b_t > 0 \\ -\infty & \text{if } b_t < 0 \end{cases}$$

In case of limited liability asset, we cannot have a bubble path with a price becoming negative

We consider only bubbles with $b_t > 0$. From above equation, the bubble component must be increase infinitely

But this cannot be a rational expectation if there is an upper bound on the price of oil, it would be a case if there was a perfect substitute in perfectly elastic supply. Therefore, p_t cannot rise above p_{solar} .

b_t cannot rise above $p_{solar} - p_t^*$. So, bubble path where b_t must be expected to increase to infinity cannot possibly occur.

4.c As we know, a rational speculative bubble cannot exist for the price of a bond. 6204640244_Phoom
 Because at the maturity, bond price = $P_T = d_T$ and equal to 0 after date T .
 So, it cannot rationally be expected to satisfy equation in 4.B and increase infinitely
 moreover, rational price is $P_t = P_t^*$ and bubble path is invalid.

5. Consider an endowment economy with representative agents who maxi-

mize the following objective function:

$$\max_{C_s, \{\omega_{i,s}\}, \forall s, i} E_t \left[\sum_{s=t}^T \delta^s u(C_s) \right]$$

where $T < \infty$. Explain why a rational speculative asset price bubble could not exist in such an economy.

Ans. Asset in the economy is a finite horizon, and asset price did not have the form $P_t = f_t + b_t$ with $b_t \neq 0$ because at date T ,
 $P_T = f_T = d_T$ which is a final dividend payment.

So, $b_T = 0$

$$E_t [b_{t+1}] = \delta^{-1} b_t$$

$$E_{t-1} [b_t] = E_{t-1} [0] = \delta^{-1} b_{t-1} \quad ; \quad b_{t-1} = 0 \quad \checkmark$$