

Quiz 6 EE320 (Semester 1/2019)

A firm has an order of Q units of its product and has two plants to manufacture these units. Let q_1 be the number of units to be produced at the first plant, and q_2 denote the number to be manufactured at the second plant. Suppose that the cost function, determined the level of q_1 and q_2 , is given by

$$C(q_1, q_2) = TC(q_1) + TC(q_2) = 0.5q_1^2 + 10q_1 + 40q_2 + 200$$

- (5 points) How many units should be produced at each plant to minimize this cost function? Use the LaGrange method.
- (3 points) Confirm your result by checking the second-order condition if $Q = 100$
- (2 points) Derive the (optimal) cost function of the multi-plant firm.

(a)

$$\min C(q_1, q_2)$$

$$\text{s.t. } q_1 + q_2 = Q$$

given level of total output
from the two plants combined.
(Exo; parameter)

Forming LaGrange

$$\mathcal{L} = 0.5q_1^2 + 10q_1 + 40q_2 + 200 + \lambda [Q - q_1 - q_2]$$

$$\text{FOC } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_1} = q_1 + 10 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- (3) ---} \rightarrow q_1^* = 30$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_2} = 40 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- (1) ---} \rightarrow \lambda^* = 40$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = Q - q_1 - q_2 = 0$$

$$q_2^* = Q - q_1^* \Rightarrow q_2^* = Q - 30$$

Note that Sol² makes sense if $Q \geq 30$ (total product must be greater than 30 units)

(If Q is less than, there might be some trouble.)

b) Check SOC when $Q = 100$

$$q_1^* = 30, \quad q_2^* = 70 \quad (\because Q - q_1^* = 100 - 30)$$

$$\bar{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \lambda^2} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \lambda \partial q_1} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \lambda \partial q_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial q_1 \partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial q_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial q_1 \partial q_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial q_2 \partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial q_2 \partial q_1} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial q_2^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$N = 2 \rightarrow N-1 = 1$ Check the last one only

$$|\bar{H}| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & | & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \{0 + 0 + 0\} = \{1 + 0 + 0\}$$

$$= (-1) \rightarrow < \rightarrow \text{minimum sol}^n$$

\rightarrow cost is minimized

©

optimal

$$\text{Cost function} = C(q_1^*, q_2^*)$$

$$\text{Note that } \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial Q} = MC = 40 = \Rightarrow^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cost } C^* &= 0.5(30)^2 + 10(30) + 40(Q-30) + 200; \quad Q \geq 30 \\ &= 450 + 300 + 40Q - 1200 + 200; \quad Q \geq 30 \end{aligned}$$

Question 2: Suppose that household's utility function is given by: $u = (x)(y - 6)$. Let the household's income be \$210, and price of goods x and goods y be set equal to \$2 and \$5, respectively.

- (5 points) Use the LaGrange method and derive the optimal consumption bundles, i.e. Marshallian demand for x and y.
- (3 points) Confirm your result in (a) with the second-order condition.
- (2 points) What would happen to the maximized level of utility function if income has increased by \$10?

$$\max u(x, y) = x(y - 6) \quad P_x = 2$$

$$\text{s.t. } 2x + 5y = 210 \quad (P_x \cdot x + P_y \cdot y = M) \quad P_y = 5, M = 210$$

$$\therefore \text{LaGrange} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = x(y - 6) + \lambda(210 - 2x - 5y)$$

$$\textcircled{a} \quad \text{FOC} \quad [x]: y - 6 - \lambda(2) = 0 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1}} y = 2\lambda + 6$$

$$[y]: x - \lambda(5) = 0 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{2}} x = 5\lambda$$

$$[\lambda]: 210 - 2x - 5y = 0$$

$$\therefore 210 - 2(5\lambda) - 5(2\lambda + 6) = 0$$

$$210 - 10\lambda - 10\lambda - 30 = 0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{180}{20} = 9$$

$$\therefore y^* = 2\lambda^* + 6 \Rightarrow 18 + 6 = 24 \text{ units}$$

$$x^* = 45 \text{ units } (= 5 \cdot \lambda^*)$$

$$(\bar{x}^*, \bar{y}^*, \bar{\lambda}^*) = (45, 24, 9)$$

Plug in
Budget
Equation.

$$(b) \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{\lambda\lambda} & \alpha_{\lambda x} & \alpha_{\lambda y} \\ \alpha_{x\lambda} & \alpha_{xx} & \alpha_{xy} \\ \alpha_{y\lambda} & \alpha_{yx} & \alpha_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -5 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -5 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As there are two generic choice variables (x, y)

then, we check $N-1$ sub matrices

$$\Rightarrow 2-1=1$$

sub matrix

(last one H_2)

$$(H)_2 \quad \left| \begin{array}{ccc|cc} 0 & -2 & -5 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 & 0 & -5 & 1 \end{array} \right|$$

$$= (0 + 10 + 10) - (0 + 0 + 0)$$

$$= 20 > 0 \quad \text{max sol}^2 \text{ confirmed.}$$

(c) Note that $\lambda = 9 = \frac{\partial U^*}{\partial M} =$ sensitivity of optimized level of utility with respect to income

$$\therefore \Delta U^* = \frac{\partial U^*}{\partial M} \cdot \Delta M$$

$$= \lambda^* \cdot \Delta M = (9)(10)$$

maximum utility will increase by 90 if income increased by 10.

10.