

6.5 Exercises

- Two individuals agree at date 0 to a forward contract that matures at date 2.
- The contract is written on an underlying asset that pays a dividend at date 1 equal to D_1 . Let f_2 be the date 2 random payoff (profit) to the individual who is the long party in the forward contract. Also let m_{0i} be the stochastic discount factor over the period from dates 0 to i where $i = 1, 2$, and let $E_0[\cdot]$ be the expectations operator at date 0. What is the value of $E_0[m_{02}f_2]$? Explain your answer.

- So, let S_i is the price of underlying asset at i , and let D_0 is the dividend at date 0

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow S_0 &= E_0[m_{01}D_1] + E_0[m_{02}S_2] \\ &= D_0 + E_0[m_{02}S_2] \end{aligned}$$

- And let F_{02} is a forward price, then we know that the payoff is $S_2 - F_{02}$.

- By using stochastic discount factor approach, we found that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0[m_{02}f_2] &= E_0[m_{02}(S_2 - F_{02})] \\ &= E_0[m_{02}S_2] - E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] \end{aligned}$$

noted that $S_0 = E_0[m_{01}D_1] + E_0[m_{02}S_2] = E_0[m_{02}(S_2 - F_{02})] + E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] = E_0[m_{02}S_2]$
and $E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] = E_0[m_{02}]F_{02} = R_f^{-2}F_{02}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } E_0[m_{02}f_2] &= E_0[m_{02}S_2] - E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] \\ &= S_0 - D_0 - R_f^{-2}F_{02} \end{aligned}$$

But we know that having no arbitrage means that $F_{02} = R_f^2(S_0 - D_0)$ is satisfied, implying that

$$E_0[m_{02}f_2] = 0$$

2. Assume that there is an economy populated by infinitely-lived representative individuals who maximize the lifetime utility function

$$E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} -\delta^t e^{-ac_t} \right] \quad \text{--- ①}$$

where c_t is consumption at date t and $a > 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. The economy is a Lucas (1978) endowment economy having multiple risky assets paying date t dividends that total d_t per capita. Write down an expression for the equilibrium per capita price of the market portfolio in terms of the assets' future dividends.

→ Lucas model the price of risky asset is

$$P_0 = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_c(C_t, t)}{U_c(C_0, 0)} d_t \right]$$

→ From ① it implies that $U_c(C_t, t) = -\delta^t e^{-ac_t}$, therefore $U_c(C_t, t) = a \delta^t e^{-ac_t}$. Moreover, since the economy is a Lucas endowment economy with one share per individual, so $C_t = d_t$.

As a result:

$$P_0 = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_c(C_t, t)}{U_c(C_0, 0)} d_t \right] = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta^t e^{-a(d_t - d_0)} d_t \right]$$

3. For the Lucas model with labor income, show that assumptions (6.25) and (6.26) lead to the pricing relationship (6.27) and (6.28).

$$p_t = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} \right)^{\gamma-1} d_{t+j} \right]$$

$$p_t / d_t = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} \right)^{\gamma-1} \left(\frac{d_{t+j}}{d_t} \right) \right]$$

$$= E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{(r-1)j} \ln(C_{t+j}^*/C_t^*) + \ln(d_{t+j}/d_t) \right]$$

then

$$\ln(C_{t+j}/C_t) = j \cdot \mu_c + \sigma_c \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i}$$

$$\ln(D_{t+j}/D_t) = j \cdot \mu_d + \sigma_d \sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{t+i}$$

Therefore,

$$p_t / d_t = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{(r-1)j} \left[j \mu_c + \sigma_c \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i} \right] + j \mu_d + \sigma_d \sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{t+i} \right]$$

$$= E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{j[(r-1)\mu_c + \mu_d]} \left[\sum_{i=1}^j [(r-1)\sigma_c \eta_{t+i} + \sigma_d \varepsilon_{t+i}] \right] \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{j[(r-1)\mu_c + \mu_d]} e^{\frac{j}{2}[(1-r)^2 \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_d^2 - 2(1-r)\sigma_c \sigma_d \rho]}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{j[\ln \delta - (1-r)\mu_c + \mu_d + \frac{1}{2}((1-r)^2 \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_d^2) - (1-r)\sigma_c \sigma_d \rho]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \delta e^{-(1-r)\mu_c + \mu_d + \frac{1}{2}((1-r)^2 \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_d^2) - (1-r)\sigma_c \sigma_d \rho}}$$

Hence :

$$P_t = d_t \frac{\delta e^{\alpha}}{1 - \delta e^{\alpha}}, \text{ where } \alpha \equiv \mu_d - (1-r)\mu_c + \frac{1}{2}[(1-r)^2 \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_d^2] - (1-r)\sigma_c \sigma_d \rho$$

4.a Show whether or not $p_t = f_t + b_t$ subject to the specifications in (1) and

(2) is a valid solution for the price of the risky asset.

$$E_t [b_{t+1}] = \frac{R_f}{q_t} b_t q_t + E [e_{t+1}] q_t + (1 - q_t) E_t [z_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$$

∴ It is a valid solution for the price of the risky asset.

4.b Suppose that p_t is the price of a barrel of oil. If $p_t \geq p_{solar}$, then solar energy, which is in perfectly elastic supply, becomes an economically efficient perfect substitute for oil. Can a rational speculative bubble exist for the price of oil? Explain why or why not.

When $E_t [b_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$, we know that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E_t [b_{t+i}] = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } b_t > 0 \\ -\infty & \text{if } b_t < 0 \end{cases}$$

For the limited liability assets, it can't have a bubble path with a negative price, so we need to focus only bubbles with $b_t > 0$.

In this problem, the bubble component must be expected to increase infinitely. However, it can't be a rational expectation if there was an upper bound on the price of oil, as would be the case if there was a perfect substitute in perfectly elastic supply.

So, since p_t can't rise above p_{solar} , b_t can't rise above $p_{solar} - p_t^*$.

Therefore, a bubble path where b_t must increase to infinity can't occur.

- 4.c Suppose p_t is the price of a bond that matures at date $T < \infty$. In this context, the d_t for $t \leq T$ denotes the bond's coupon and principal payments. Can a rational speculative bubble exist for the price of this bond? Explain why or why not.

Ans: Rational speculative bubble cannot exist. Because at maturity, the bond's price must be $p_T = d_T$ and become 0 after date T . Therefore, the price cannot be expected to satisfy equation. Hence, a bubble path is invalid, and the only rational price is $p_t = p_t^*$.

5. Consider an endowment economy with representative agents who maximize the following objective function:

$$\max_{C_s, \{\omega_{is}\}, \forall s, i} E_t \left[\sum_{s=t}^T \delta^s u(C_s) \right]$$

where $T < \infty$. Explain why a rational speculative asset price bubble could not exist in such an economy.

Ans: With the economy, asset prices cannot have the form $p_t = f_t + b_t$ because at date T , $p_T = f_T = d_T$ which is an asset's final dividend payment. Since $b_T = 0$ with certainty, the bubble process $E_t[b_{t+1}] = \delta^{-1} b_t$ implies $E_{T-1}[b_T] = E_{T-1}[0] = \delta^{-1} b_{T-1}$, or $b_{T-1} = 0$. A similar argument implies $b_t = 0$ for all previous dates, $t < T-1$.