

## Chapter 5 Inverses

**Definition 5.1** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a square matrix in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . The *inverse of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$* , denoted by  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ , is matrix with the property that,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}.$$

If  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists, then  $\mathbf{A}$  is called *invertible*.

**Example** Let  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\mathbf{B}$  is an inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  because,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, we can write  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ , and equivalently  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ .

That is, from the definition of inverse, if matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is an inverse of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , then matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is also an inverse of matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ .

In showing that matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is an inverse of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , it will be shown in the next theorem that it is sufficient to show just either  $\mathbf{BA} = \mathbf{I}$ , or  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{I}$ . To show this, we need the following definitions of left and right inverses.

**Definition 5.2** Let  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  be square matrices in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is a *left inverse* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $\mathbf{BA} = \mathbf{I}$ , and the matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  is a *right inverse* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{I}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** Let  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  be matrices in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . If the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is a *left inverse* of  $\mathbf{A}$  while the matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  is a *right inverse* of  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

**Proof** By definition of inverse, it is sufficient to show just that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$ . By the assumption,

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{BI} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{AC}) = (\mathbf{BA})\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{IC} = \mathbf{C}. \square$$

By this theorem, we also conclude that if  $\mathbf{A}$  has an inverse, this inverse is the only inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Corollary 5.1** The inverse of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , if exists, is unique.

**Proof** Directly by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

**Example** As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.5, for any elementary matrix  $\mathbf{E}$ , there exists another elementary matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  such that  $\mathbf{FE} = \mathbf{I}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1, we also have  $\mathbf{EF} = \mathbf{I}$ , and so  $\mathbf{E}^{-1} = \mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \mathbf{E}$ .

**Problem Leon** [1994], # 18 a, 19, page 62.

19. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a nonsingular matrix and let  $\mathbf{B}$  be an  $n \times r$  matrix. Show that the reduced row echelon form of  $[\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{B}]$  is  $[\mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C}]$ , where  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ .

## 5.1 Properties of Inverse

The following two theorems are additional properties of inverses.

**Theorem 5.2** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be square matrices in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are invertible, then  $(\mathbf{AB})^{-1} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 5.1, it is sufficient to check if  $(\mathbf{AB})(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = \mathbf{I}$ . This is left as an exercise.  $\square$

### Corollary 5.2

a) If  $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  are invertible, then

$$(\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2 \cdots \mathbf{A}_k)^{-1} = \mathbf{A}_k^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots \mathbf{A}_1^{-1}.$$

b) If  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, then  $(\mathbf{A}^m)^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^m$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 5.2 and simple induction.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be invertible. Then,

a)  $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}$ .

b)  $(\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T$ .

c)  $|\mathbf{A}^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}$ .

**Proof** a) Since  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$ , premultiplying both sides by  $\mathbf{A}$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1})(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1} &= \mathbf{A} \\ (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1} &= \mathbf{A}.\end{aligned}$$

b) Since  $\mathbf{I}^T = (\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A})^T = \mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T = \mathbf{I}$ , premultiplying both sides of the last equality by  $(\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1}$  and we have the required result.

c)  $1 = |\mathbf{I}| = |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}| = |\mathbf{A}||\mathbf{A}^{-1}|. \square$

**Problem** Show that  $(c\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

**Problem Leon** [1994], #21, page 62. If a symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, then its inverse is also symmetric.

**Problem Fraleigh & Beauregard** [1995], page 86, #38.

38. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an invertible square matrix. Recalling Lemma 4.1 and that  $(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ , answer the following questions:

- a) If two rows of  $\mathbf{A}$  are interchanged, how does the inverse of the resulting matrix compare with  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ ?
- b) Answer the question in part (a) if, instead, a row of  $\mathbf{A}$  is multiplied by a nonzero scalar  $r$ .
- c) Answer the question in part (a) if, instead,  $r$  times the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\mathbf{A}$  is added to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row.

## 5.2 Computation of Inverses

What we have discussed so far are the properties of the inverse of a matrix. We will now find such an inverse matrix, and more importantly, what are the conditions that guarantee the existence of the inverse of a matrix.

If we have a computational algorithm to compute the inverse of a matrix, one of its obvious use is to solve systems of linear equations. If  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  is a system of  $n$  linear equations of  $n$  variables, then  $\mathbf{A}$  is square. Suppose  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists, then the solution  $\mathbf{x}$  is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{Ax} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the calculation of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is equivalent to solving  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ .

The two usual ways of computing  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  are by using elementary row operations and by the adjoint matrix.

**Theorem 5.4** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a matrix in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . If there exists a series of  $k$  elementary row operations, each represented by premultiplying  $\mathbf{A}$  by an elementary matrix  $\mathbf{E}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , such that when performed on  $\mathbf{A}$  we have  $\mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_1$ .

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_1$ . Then  $\mathbf{B}$  is the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

In practice, we write the augmented matrix  $[\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{I}]$ , where the first  $n$  columns are just columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  and the last  $n$  columns constitute an identity matrix. Then perform the elementary row operations on until the first  $n$  columns becomes an identity matrix.

**Example** Find the inverse of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{A}} &= \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{-\frac{1}{2}R_2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -0.5 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 - 3R_2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & -2 & 1.5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -0.5 \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse is  $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1.5 \\ 1 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Problem** Can we find  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  by performing elementary column operations on

$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$  until we obtain  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$  and say  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ ?

### 5.2.2 Computing Inverses by Adjoint Matrix

**Definition 5.3** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a matrix in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . The *adjoint of  $\mathbf{A}$* , denoted by *adj  $\mathbf{A}$* , is a matrix in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{adj } \mathbf{A} &= [\Delta_{ij}]^T \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{12} & \cdots & \Delta_{1n} \\ \Delta_{21} & \Delta_{22} & \cdots & \Delta_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta_{n1} & \Delta_{n2} & \cdots & \Delta_{nn} \end{bmatrix}^T, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_{ij}$  is the  $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$  cofactor of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Theorem 5.5** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a nonsingular matrix. The inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \text{adj } \mathbf{A}.$$

**Proof** Since the cofactor  $\Delta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$ , the determinant of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be written as,

$$|\mathbf{A}| = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \Delta_{ij}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \Delta_{ij}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Now by the form of the inverse  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  given in the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{12} & \cdots & \Delta_{1n} \\ \Delta_{21} & \Delta_{22} & \cdots & \Delta_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta_{n1} & \Delta_{n2} & \cdots & \Delta_{nn} \end{bmatrix}^T \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{21} & \cdots & \Delta_{n1} \\ \Delta_{12} & \Delta_{22} & \cdots & \Delta_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta_{1n} & \Delta_{2n} & \cdots & \Delta_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \Delta_{1j} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j} \Delta_{2j} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \Delta_{nj} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

The last two equalities are due to the properties of the determinant stated in Theorem 4.1 and 4.2.  $\square$

**Problem** Verify that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \Delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} |\mathbf{A}|, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

**Problem Fraleigh & Beauregard** [1995], page 272, #35 (g)-(i), 36-39.

35. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a square matrix. Mark each of the following True or False.
- \_\_\_\_\_ **g.** The product of a square matrix and its adjoint is the identity matrix.
- \_\_\_\_\_ **h.** The product of a square matrix and its adjoint is equal to some scalar times the identity matrix.
- \_\_\_\_\_ **i.** The transpose of the adjoint of  $\mathbf{A}$  is the matrix of cofactors of  $\mathbf{A}$ .
36. Prove that the inverse of a nonsingular upper-triangular matrix is upper triangular.
37. Prove that a square matrix is invertible if and only if its adjoint is an invertible matrix.
38. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Prove that  $|\text{adj } \mathbf{A}| = (|\mathbf{A}|)^{n-1}$ .
39. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix with  $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$ . Using Exercises 37 and 38, prove that  $\text{adj}(\text{adj } \mathbf{A}) = (|\mathbf{A}|)^{n-2} \mathbf{A}$ .

**Problem Fraleigh & Beauregard** [1995], page 85, #26 and 30.

26. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a matrix such that  $\mathbf{A}^2$  is invertible. Prove that  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible.
30. A square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be idempotent if  $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}$ .
- a) Give an example of an idempotent matrix other than  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$ .
- b) Show that, if a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is both idempotent and invertible, then  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ .

### 5.3 Inverse and Determinants

**Theorem 5.6** A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is *invertible* if, and only if,  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular.

**Proof** We have to show that  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists if, and only if,  $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$ . Suppose  $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  can be computed using the adjoint of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists.

Now suppose  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists but  $|\mathbf{A}|=0$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists we can compute its determinant  $|\mathbf{A}^{-1}|$  to be some real number. However, by Theorem 5.3 part (c),  $|\mathbf{A}^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}$ , which is undefined as  $|\mathbf{A}|=0$ . This is a contradiction. So  $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Similar to Corollary 4.3 in the previous chapter, we can identify a system of  $n$  linear equations with  $n$  variables as having unique solution by the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  being full rank, nonsingular or invertible.

**Corollary 5.3** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The following statements are equivalent:

1.  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists.
2.  $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$ , i.e., nonsingular.
3.  $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = \text{rank } \mathbf{A}^T = n$ .
4.  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution for any given vector  $\mathbf{b}$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 4.3.  $\square$

**Problem** Write a corollary summarizing results when  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  does not exist.

**Problem Fraleigh & Beaugard** [1995], page 85, #25.

25. a) If  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, is  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T$  always invertible?
- b) If  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, is  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}$  always invertible?

**Problem Johnson, Riess & Arnold** [1998], page 103, #67, 68, 70, and 71.

67. Suppose that  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{0}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular. Prove that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ .
68. Let  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  be matrices such that  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular and  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{AC}$ . Prove that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$ .
70. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrices. Show that  $\mathbf{AB}$  is nonsingular.
71. Is it true that if  $\mathbf{AB}$  is nonsingular, then both  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  must be nonsingular?

**Problem Simon & Blume** [1994], page 739, # 26, 28.

- a) Prove that if the entries of  $\mathbf{A}$  are all integers and if  $|\mathbf{A}| = \pm 1$ , then the entries of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  are also integers.
- b) Use Theorem 5.3 (c) to show that if the entries of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  are all integers, then  $|\mathbf{A}| = \pm 1$ .

## 5.4 Cramer's Rule

The system of  $n$  linear equations with  $n$  variables can be solved by using the so-called Cramer's rule, which use the properties of the inverse and determinant.

**Theorem 5.7 (Cramer's Rule)** Given a system of  $n$  linear equations with  $n$  variables  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ , if  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular, then the solution to the system of linear equations are uniquely given by,

$$x_j = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{.1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{.j-1} & \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{a}_{.j+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{.n} \end{vmatrix}}{|\mathbf{A}|}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where the numerator of the right hand side of the equation is the determinant of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , but with its  $j$  column replaced by the right hand side vector  $\mathbf{b}$ .

**Proof** Since  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists, the solution is given by  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ , which by the calculation of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  by the adjoint matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  as stated in Theorem 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{21} & \cdots & \Delta_{n1} \\ \Delta_{12} & \Delta_{22} & \cdots & \Delta_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta_{1n} & \Delta_{2n} & \cdots & \Delta_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Delta_{i1} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Delta_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Delta_{in} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows since each of the terms  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Delta_{ij}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is the determinant of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{.1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{.j-1} & \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{a}_{.j+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{.n} \end{bmatrix}$  as expanded along the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column  $\mathbf{b}$ . Then  $x_j$  is uniquely determined as given in the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

**Problem Fraleigh & Beauregard** [1995], page 272, #34. Find the unique solution (assuming that it exists) of the system of equations represented by the augmented matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 & 3b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 & 3b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & d_3 & 3b_3 \\ a_4 & b_4 & c_4 & d_4 & 3b_4 \end{array} \right].$$