

Assignment 1

From the given data set, estimate the following models:

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

$$\text{CAPM: } r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (1)$$

Fama & French three-factor Model (FF)

$$\text{Fama & French: } r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \beta_{j2} r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3} r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (2)$$

Where:

- r_{jt} = excess return on portfolio j at time t and
- r_{mt} = excess return on market portfolio at time t – representing market risk premium.
- r_{smbt} = return on a small-stock portfolio minus the return on a large-stock portfolio (Small Minus Big) at time t – representing size premium.
- r_{hmlt} = return on a value-stock portfolio minus the return on a growth-stock portfolio (High Minus Low) at time t – representing value premium.

- (1) Determine whether there exists significant Jensen Alpha.
- (2) Determine whether portfolio j has the same risk as the market.
- (3) Determine whether there exists significant size premium.
- (4) Determine whether there exists significant growth (value) premium.
- (5) Compare CAPM and FF models and determine which model is the most appropriated model. why?

To study calendar effect (January effects) from the data set, estimate the following models:

$$r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \gamma_j D_{1t} + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \beta_{j2} r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3} r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (3)$$

where: $D_{1t} = 1$ on January and $= 0$ otherwise.

- (6) Determine whether there exist significant January effects.
- (7) Perform Chow-test whether January and other month share the same structure of the Fama-French model (2).

$$\text{CAPM: } r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (1)$$

CAPM Model

. reg rj rm

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	11449.5344	1	11449.5344	Number of obs =	11959	
Residual	22859.1346	11957	1.91177842	F(1, 11957) =	5988.94	
Total	34308.669	11958	2.86909759	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.3337	
				Adj R-squared =	0.3337	
				Root MSE =	1.3827	

rj	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
rm	.9947206	.0128536	77.39	0.000	.9695254	1.019916
_cons	.0084273	.0126552	0.67	0.505	-.0163789	.0332335

. test rm=1

(1) rm = 1

F(1, 11957) = 0.17
 Prob > F = 0.6813

$$\text{Fama \& French: } r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \beta_{j2} r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3} r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (2)$$

Fama & French (FF) Model

. reg rj rm smb hml

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	11681.1999	3	3893.73328	Number of obs =	11959	
Residual	22627.4691	11955	1.89272013	F(3, 11955) =	2057.22	
Total	34308.669	11958	2.86909759	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.3405	
				Adj R-squared =	0.3403	
				Root MSE =	1.3758	

rj	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
rm	1.005554	.0128271	78.39	0.000	.9804104	1.030697
smb	.0371377	.0061189	6.07	0.000	.0251437	.0491318
hml	.0562866	.00609	9.24	0.000	.0443492	.068224
_cons	.0073088	.0125928	0.58	0.562	-.0173752	.0319928

. test rm=1

(1) rm = 1

F(1, 11955) = 0.19
 Prob > F = 0.6651

- (1) Determine whether there exists significant Jensen Alpha.
 According to CAPM, Jensen Alpha is insignificant (t=0.67 & p-value=0.505).
 Also, based on FF, there is no Jensen Alpha (t=0.58 & p-value=0.562).
- (2) Determine whether portfolio j has the same risk as the market.
 According to CAPM, portfolio j has the same risk as the market since $\beta_j=1$.
 (F=0.17 & p-value=0.6813).
 Also, based on FF, portfolio j has the same risk as the market since $\beta_j=1$.
 (F=0.19 & p-value=0.6651).
- (3) Determine whether there exists significant size premium.
 According to FF, there exists significant size premium (t=6.07 & p-value=0.000).
- (4) Determine whether there exists significant growth (value) premium.
 According to FF, there exists significant growth premium (t=9.24 & p-value=0.000).

(5) Compare CAPM and FF models and determine which model is the most appropriated model. why?

Test FF model vs CAPM

. test smb hml

(1) smb = 0

(2) hml = 0

F(2, 11955) = 61.20
Prob > F = 0.0000

According to the above test, the FF model is more appropriate since both size and growth premium coefficients are significant.

To study calendar effect (January effects) from the data set, estimate the following models:

$$r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \gamma_j D_{1t} + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \beta_{j2} r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3} r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (3)$$

January Effect

. reg rj rm smb hml d1

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	11683.8263	4	2920.95657	Number of obs = 11959		
Residual	22624.8427	11954	1.89265875	F(4, 11954) = 1543.31		
Total	34308.669	11958	2.86909759	Prob > F = 0.0000		
				R-squared = 0.3406		
				Adj R-squared = 0.3403		
				Root MSE = 1.3757		

rj	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
rm	1.005405	.0128275	78.38	0.000	.9802607	1.030549
smb	.0369291	.0061214	6.03	0.000	.0249302	.048928
hml	.0562495	.00609	9.24	0.000	.0443121	.0681868
d1	.05393	.045781	1.18	0.239	-.0358082	.1436682
_cons	.0028773	.0131425	0.22	0.827	-.0228842	.0286388

(6) Determine whether there exist significant January effects.

According to the above estimated result, there is no January effects (t=-1.18 & p-value=0.239).

Chow test using dummy variables technique

. g drm=d1*rm

. g dsmb=d1*smb

. g dhml=d1*hml

. reg rj rm drm smb dsmb hml dhml d1

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	11685.5157	7	1669.35938	Number of obs = 11959		
Residual	22623.1533	11951	1.89299249	F(7, 11951) = 881.86		
Total	34308.669	11958	2.86909759	Prob > F = 0.0000		
				R-squared = 0.3406		
				Adj R-squared = 0.3402		
				Root MSE = 1.3759		

rj	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
rm	1.008159	.0133675	75.42	0.000	.9819563	1.034361
drm	-.035594	.0475853	-0.75	0.454	-.1288689	.0576808
smb	.0364768	.0064084	5.69	0.000	.0239153	.0490383
dsmb	.0037628	.0217997	0.17	0.863	-.0389682	.0464937
hml	.0553364	.0063695	8.69	0.000	.0428511	.0678216
dhml	.0106311	.0218876	0.49	0.627	-.0322721	.0535344
d1	.0552912	.0461135	1.20	0.231	-.0350988	.1456811
_cons	.0027652	.0131445	0.21	0.833	-.0230002	.0285307

```
. test drm dsmb dhml d1
( 1)  drm = 0
( 2)  dsmb = 0
( 3)  dhml = 0
( 4)  d1 = 0

      F( 4, 11951) =    0.57
      Prob > F =    0.6844
```

(7) Perform Chow-test whether January and other month share the same structure of the Fama-French model (2).

According to the above Chow-test (using dummy variable technique), January and other months share the same structure of the FF model. Therefore, the models of January and other months should be estimated as one model (should not be separated).