


5 Application :

5.1 Effects of an increase in G



- Consider an \uparrow in G from G_1 to G_2 .
- Since $G = T$, $G \uparrow$ must be followed by $T \uparrow$ of the same amount.
- $G \uparrow$, the PPF shifts from PPF_1 to PPF_2 .
- This shift leaves the slope of the PPF constant for each ℓ .

- The new Pareto optimum is at point
- Thus, $G \uparrow$ leads to a negative effect on C and ℓ .

- Two effects

$$\begin{array}{l}
 G \uparrow \Rightarrow (1) Y_d \dots \rightarrow C \dots \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(the distance)} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad (h - \ell) \dots \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad Y \dots \text{ and } Y_d = (Y - T) \dots \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad C \dots \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(the distance)}
 \end{array}$$

- There are two effects from an increase in government spending.
 1. Consumption drops. $C \downarrow$. (keeping ℓ constant, C drops by the distance = $\Delta G = \Delta T$)
 2. Leisure drops. $\ell \downarrow$.

Less leisure is equivalent to **an increase in working time** ($h - \ell$). The consumer **supplies more labour services** (N^s).

The real wage (w) drops to induce more labor demand by the firm.

The real wage decreases because the slope of PPF_2 at point is less steep than the slope of PPF_1 at point

Employment rises.

More labour input in production results in **larger total output** (Y). The original Y can be represented by the distance $H1A$. The new Y can be represented by the distance ($\Delta Y = \dots$)

Consumption increases by the distance

*The first effect (the distance = ΔG) is larger than the second effect (.....).

- Total effect is shown by the distance $G \uparrow \Rightarrow C \dots$:

Private consumption is **crowded out** by government purchases.

The decrease in C is smaller than the increase in G.

$$\Delta C = C_1C_2 = \dots\dots\dots$$

$$\Delta G = G_1G_2 = \dots\dots\dots$$

$$\Delta Y = Y_1Y_2 = \dots\dots\dots$$

- What happens to the real wage?


- The slope of PPF_2 at is steep than PPF_1 at
- So the real wage fall. The consumer supplies more labor ($N=h-\ell$ increases).
- Given K, more labor input causes MP_N to fall.
- The firm optimizes by paying lower $w = MP_N$.
- The lower real wage (w) induces the firm to raise employment (N).

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \dots \Rightarrow N \dots \Rightarrow MP_N \dots \dots \dots (\text{Since } \bar{K}.) \Rightarrow w \dots \dots \dots \\ : w_{new} = \text{slope of } PPF \dots \text{ at } \dots \\ : w_{original} = \text{slope of } PPF \dots \text{ at } \dots \end{aligned}$$

- The consumer works more, receives a lower real wage and consume less.
- $Y \dots$ but $C \dots$. This means that when government increases its spending, the firm produces more. The government takes a share of total output while the consumer takes a share of total output.
- In sum, The consumer's utility as the government expenditure increases.

As the representative consumers pay higher taxes, his or her disposable income falls, and in equilibrium he or she spends less on consumption goods, and work harder to support a larger government.

- Chained effect : an increase in G



- Note on business cycle :

- Model prediction

$$G \uparrow \Rightarrow Y^* \dots \dots \dots$$

- $N^* \dots \dots \dots$ procyclical
- $w^* \dots \dots \dots$ countercyclical
- $C^* \dots \dots \dots$ countercyclical

– facts

$$Y^* \uparrow$$


$N^* \uparrow$ procyclical

$w^* \uparrow$ procyclical

$C^* \uparrow$ procyclical


– Therefore, it is unlikely that government spending is the primary cause of business cycle fluctuation.

5.2 Application : (2) Effects of an increase in z (or K)




• Increases in $z =$ better technology or organization.

• The production function rotates upwards with higher MP_N , given N .



• Not only more Y can be produced given N , but the MP_N i.e. the slope of the production function also increases for each N .

- The PPF rotates upwards.
- The new PPF is steeper than the original one.
- The PPF rotates upwards.
- MP_N for all N, ℓ



- Production function is steeper for all $N, \ell \rightarrow MP_N$ for all N, ℓ

This means that wage for all N, ℓ

- **substitution effect and income effect**

substitution effect : ℓ and C

leisure is costly.

income effect : ℓ and C


higher wage implies higher income.

- **Total Effect**

C (for sure)

ℓ depends


- substitution effect is equal to income effect, ℓ
- substitution effect is greater than income effect, ℓ
- substitution effect is less than income effect, ℓ



Equal Effect

- = substitution effect (rising C and N , falling ℓ).
- = income effect (rising C and ℓ).
- Equal effects: ℓ^* and N^*
- Wage


“tells a story about the long-term economic effects of long-run improvement in technology, such as that have occurred in the United States since WWII. ... some keys observations from post-WWII US data are that aggregate output has increased steadily, consumption has increased, the real wage has increased, and the hours worked per employed person has remained roughly constant.”



Stronger Substitution Effect

- = substitution effect (rising C and N , falling ℓ).
- = income effect (rising C and ℓ).
- Stronger substitution effects: ℓ^* and N^*
- Wage

- Chain Effect : an increase in total factor productivity



If $SE = IE$, N^s
 If $SE > IE$, N^s
 If $SE < IE$, N^s

• Note on business cycle :

– Model prediction : assuming a stronger substitution effect or equal effect

$z \uparrow \Rightarrow Y^* \dots\dots$

$N^* \dots\dots$ procyclical(SE....IE) ,uncertain (SE....IE)
 $w^* \dots\dots$ procyclical
 $C^* \dots\dots$ procyclical

– facts

$Y^* \uparrow$

$N^* \uparrow$ procyclical

$w^* \uparrow$ procyclical

$C^* \uparrow$ procyclical

– Therefore, fluctuations in total factor productivity could be the primary cause of business cycle.