



PROSPECT THEORY

PROSPECT THEORY & STOCK RETURNS: AN EMPIRICAL TEST



EE 434 Behavioral Finance, SEM1/2021

Sunsiree Kosindesha



An example of how we can apply Prospect theory to understand investors' behavior

Prospect Theory and Stock Returns: An Empirical Test

Nicholas Barberis
Yale School of Management

Abhiroop Mukherjee
Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Baolian Wang
Fordham University

We test the hypothesis that, when thinking about allocating money to a stock, investors mentally represent the stock by the distribution of its past returns and then evaluate this distribution in the way described by prospect theory. In a simple model of asset prices in which some investors think in this way, a stock whose past return distribution has a high (low) prospect theory value earns a low (high) subsequent return, on average. We find empirical support for this prediction in the cross-section of stock returns in the U.S. market, and also in a majority of forty-six other national stock markets. (*JEL D03*)

Received November 19, 2014; accepted May 20, 2016, by Editor Stefan Nagel.


A crucial ingredient in any model of asset prices is an assumption about how investors evaluate risk. Most of the available models assume that investors evaluate risk according to the expected utility framework, and models based

A crucial ingredient


- ❖ A crucial ingredient in any model of asset prices is an assumption about **how investors evaluate risk**.
- ❖ Most of the available models assume that investors evaluate risk according to the expected utility framework. However, Kahneman and Tversky (1979)'s Prospect theory captures these risk attitudes more accurately.



Research Question



Can models in which some investors evaluate risk according to prospect theory help us make more sense of the data on asset prices and asset returns?



What this paper does



- ❖ The paper derives the predictions, for the cross-section of stock returns, of a simple prospect theory-based model and test these predictions in both U.S. and international data.

A mental representation of risk

Decision making under prospect theory involves two steps:


❖ **"representation"**: the individual forms a mental representation of the gains and losses he associates with taking the risk. *"Editing stage"*

❖ **"valuation"**: the individual evaluates this representation – this distribution of gains and losses – to see if it is appealing.



A mental representation of risk

- ❖ How do investors represent that stock in their minds?
- ❖ **This paper hypothesizes that** for many investors, their mental representation of a stock is **the distribution of the stock's past returns.**



A mental representation of risk

- ❖ Some investors might see the past return distribution as a good and easily accessible proxy for the object they are truly interested in, namely, [the distribution of the stock's future returns](#).
- ❖ A natural mental representation of a stock's past return distribution is the distribution of its monthly returns over the previous five years in investment handbook (the data starting in July 1931 and ending in December 2010)

“Valuation” & Prediction of the paper

- Stocks with **high** prospect theory values will have **low** subsequent returns, on average.
- While stocks with **low** prospect theory values will have **high** subsequent returns.
- The intuition is clear: stocks with high prospect theory values are appealing to some investors; these investors tilt toward these stocks in their portfolios, causing the stocks to become overvalued and to earn low subsequent returns.

Asset w/ High prospect theory value $\rightarrow$ Demand for asset $\uparrow$ $\rightarrow$ Asset price $\uparrow$
Asset is overvalued
Asset return $\downarrow$

Prediction

- ❖ The prediction could hold more strongly among stocks more heavily traded by individual investors, for example, among **small-cap stocks**.
- ❖ This is because the behavior that underlies the prediction is relatively unsophisticated and is therefore likely a better description of what **individual investors** do than of what institutional investors do.

Drawbacks- this paper assumes:

- ❖ That individuals evaluate **the return distribution of the stock itself**; more sophisticated investors would evaluate the return distribution of the overall portfolio.
- ❖ That the investors **evaluate the stock's past returns**; more sophisticated investors would try to forecast the stock's future returns, and would evaluate those.


Result




- ❖ The coefficient on the stock's prospect theory value, averaged across all the monthly regressions, is significantly negative: stocks with higher prospect theory values have lower subsequent returns.

$$\frac{\pi(1)}{300,000,000} = 10\%$$

- ❖ The predictive power of prospect theory value for subsequent stock returns is stronger among **small-cap stocks** and stocks less subject to arbitrage – for example, among **illiquid** stocks and stocks **with high idiosyncratic volatility**.

- ❖ Probability weighting & lottery-like gamble !!!





DANKE!

CREDITS: Diese Präsentationsvorlage wurde von
Slidesgo erstellt, inklusive Icons von **Flaticon**,
Infografiken & Bilder von **Freepik**

Bitte lösche diese Folie nicht, es sei denn du bist ein Premium Nutzer