

Assignment 10

Multivariate Probit Models

The model

In the study of financing choice, three choices have been studied including capital restructuring, dividend cut, and issue new stock. The probit model can be stated as:

$$I_{ji} = \beta_{j0} + \beta_{j1}x_{1i} + \beta_{j2}x_{2i} + \beta_{j3}x_{3i} + u_{ji} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Pr(Y_{ji} = 1) = \Phi(I_{ji})$$

where: I_{ji} is index variables.

Y_{ji} is decision to choose financial choice J , value equals to 1 if choosing choice J or 0 if not. $J = 1$ for capital restructuring, 2 for dividend cut, 3 for issue new stock.

x_{ki} is independent variable k .

$\Phi(\cdot)$ is multivariate normal probability distribution function.

u_{ji} is disturbance term.

Requirements From data file – assign10.dta:

- 1 Estimate models for Y_{1i} , Y_{2i} , and Y_{3i} assuming that the probability functions follow separate normal distribution function. Interpret your estimated result (sign and meaning, overall test, pseudo R^2 , individual test).
- 2 Estimate models for Y_{1i} , Y_{2i} , and Y_{3i} assuming that the probability functions follow multivariate normal probability distribution function (MV Probit models). Determine whether MVProbit is appropriated. Why?

```

-----
name: <unnamed>
log: C:\Users\User\Desktop\EE 426 stata\assign10 6104641300.log
log type: text
opened on: 8 Apr 2021, 10:31:03

```

```
. clear
```

```
. use "C:\Users\User\Desktop\EE 426 stata\assign10.dta", clear
```

```

) . probit y1 x1 x2 x3

```

```

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -45.533775
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -35.05321
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -34.122847
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -34.092095
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -34.09209
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -34.09209

```

Probit regression

```

Number of obs = 67
LR chi2(3) = 22.88
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Pseudo R2 = 0.2513

```

Log likelihood = -34.09209

③ log-likelihood

② Overall test

① Individual test

y1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
x1	2.574932	.7958181	3.24	0.001	1.015157 4.134707
x2	-.5031798	.297949	-1.69	0.091	-1.087149 .0807895
x3	.3449061	.1616015	2.13	0.033	.028173 .6616392
_cons	-2.186903	.6334447	-3.45	0.001	-3.428432 -.9453743

Note: 1 failure and 0 successes completely determined.

```
. mfx → sign & meaning
```

Marginal effects after probit

```

y = Pr(y1) (predict)
= .36909834

```

variable	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X
x1	.9714414	.29574	3.28	0.001	.391805 1.55108	.696478
x2	-.189834	.10927	-1.74	0.082	-.404001 .024333	.376661
x3	.1301223	.06173	2.11	0.035	.009127 .251118	.721375

```
. probit y2 x1 x2 x3
```

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -22.432361
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -19.734461
 Iteration 2: log likelihood = -19.678976
 Iteration 3: log likelihood = -19.678766
 Iteration 4: log likelihood = -19.678766

Probit regression

Number of obs = 67
 LR chi2(3) = 5.51
 Prob > chi2 = 0.1382
 Pseudo R2 = 0.1228

Log likelihood = -19.678766

③ log-likelihood

② Overall test

① Individual test

variable	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	1.196021	.8449952	1.42	0.157	-.4601389	2.852182
x2	-.1604507	.1166507	-1.38	0.169	-.3890818	.0681804
x3	.1023406	.2083685	0.49	0.623	-.3060541	.5107354
_cons	.5275558	.5565448	0.95	0.343	-.563252	1.618364

. mfx → sign & meaning

Marginal effects after probit

y = Pr(y2) (predict)
 = .91527113

variable	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]		X
x1	.1856662	.12471	1.49	0.137	-.058758	.43009	.696478
x2	-.0249078	.0197	-1.26	0.206	-.063525	.013709	.376661
x3	.015887	.03182	0.50	0.618	-.046482	.078256	.721375

. probit y3 x1 x2 x3

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -39.952416
 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -35.846932
 Iteration 2: log likelihood = -35.496676
 Iteration 3: log likelihood = -35.486934
 Iteration 4: log likelihood = -35.486933

Probit regression

Number of obs = 67
 LR chi2(3) = 8.93
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0302
 Pseudo R2 = 0.1118

Log likelihood = -35.486933

③ log-likelihood

② Overall test

① Individual test

variable	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	1.549326	.6925982	2.24	0.025	.1918581	2.906793

x2	-.33157	.2931093	-1.13	0.258	-.9060538	.2429137
x3	.0952456	.1142564	0.83	0.404	-.128693	.3191841
_cons	-1.713771	.5536004	-3.10	0.002	-2.798808	-.6287342

. mfx → Sign & meaning

Marginal effects after probit
y = Pr(y3) (predict)
= .24481972

variable	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X
x1	.4868603	.21595	2.25	0.024	.063601 .91012	.696478
x2	-.1041926	.08805	-1.18	0.237	-.276762 .068377	.376661
x3	.02993	.03593	0.83	0.405	-.040493 .100353	.721375

2) . mvprobit (y1 x1 x2 x3) (y2 x1 x2 x3) (y3 x1 x2 x3)

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -89.257789
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -88.984176
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -88.982664
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -88.982663

Multivariate probit (SML, # draws = 5) Number of obs = 67
 Wald chi2(9) = 25.03
Log likelihood = -88.982663 Prob > chi2 = 0.0029

		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
y1						
	x1	2.592376	.8023984	3.23	0.001	1.019704 4.165048
	x2	-.5314422	.3036422	-1.75	0.080	-1.12657 .0636857
	x3	.3491611	.1619024	2.16	0.031	.0318383 .6664839
	_cons	-2.194319	.6357735	-3.45	0.001	-3.440412 -.9482253
y2						
	x1	1.221734	.8478931	1.44	0.150	-.4401059 2.883574
	x2	-.1557306	.1180077	-1.32	0.187	-.3870215 .0755604
	x3	.1054215	.2100288	0.50	0.616	-.3062274 .5170703
	_cons	.5119029	.5577948	0.92	0.359	-.5813547 1.605161
y3						
	x1	1.535622	.6913496	2.22	0.026	.1806018 2.890642
	x2	-.3542075	.2987204	-1.19	0.236	-.9396887 .2312737
	x3	.0956571	.1143892	0.84	0.403	-.1285416 .3198557
	_cons	-1.691533	.5520363	-3.06	0.002	-2.773504 -.6095614

/atrho21		.1028098	.306035	0.34	0.737	-.4970077	.7026274

/atrho31		.1399288	.2264305	0.62	0.537	-.3038669	.5837245

/atrho32		.1014794	.268692	0.38	0.706	-.4251472	.6281061

rho21		.1024491	.3028229	0.34	0.735	-.4597606	.6060328

rho31		.1390226	.2220542	0.63	0.531	-.2948474	.5253672

rho32		.1011325	.2659439	0.38	0.704	-.4012578	.5567467

Likelihood ratio test of rho21 = rho31 = rho32 = 0:
 chi2(3) = .550251 Prob > chi2 = 0.9077

```
. log close
   name: <unnamed>
   log: C:\Users\User\Desktop\EE 426 stata\assign10 6104641300.log
  log type: text
 closed on: 8 Apr 2021, 10:32:06
```

For LR-test that $H_0: \rho_{21} = \rho_{31} = \rho_{32} = 0$

$$\chi^2_3 = 0.550251 \quad \text{Prob}(\chi^2) = 0.9077 > 0.05$$

$\therefore H_0$ is not rejected at 5% level.

Since the correlation between the error term are not correlated, therefore MV probit model will not be appropriated