

Premature Liberalization and Macroeconomic policy after the AFC

Bhanupong

Lecture 6

Asian Economic Papers (2003)

Premature liberalization

Swapping horses: Obama in Laos

- America's president will today visit Laos, the one place in Asia where the superpower has no influence.
- The communist government is putting on several meetings, including the US-ASEAN Summit.
- Expect silence on prickly issues and agreement on irrelevancies. The hosts will look for an apology from America for dropping millions of bombs on Laos during the Vietnam War.



From the Economist Expresso

September 5, 2016

- America will try to offset Laos's close bonds with China by encouraging its relationship with Vietnam.
- This marks a shift away from the usual route of building ties with Laos via Thailand.
- The talk about how to slow the region's drift towards China will be couched in discussions about co-operative development of the Mekong.
- The camps will be similar to those in spats over the South China Sea: Laos and Cambodia in China's orbit, Vietnam allied with America.

Back to Brexit: British politics

- Have British politicians ever returned from their summer break, as they do today, to a more daunting in-tray? Within the ruling Conservative Party, disagreements are brewing over what “Brexit” should mean and how quickly it should be enacted. Within Labour and the UK Independence Party, meanwhile, ugly leadership contests, triggered by the EU referendum result in June, are reaching their culminations.
- All parties face turbulent annual conferences in the coming weeks. Most challenging is the business of making Brexit happen. Turf wars have already broken out between the different ministers responsible.

Back to Brexit: British politics

- Britain's European partners are impatient to begin negotiations. But Theresa May's government wants to stall until it has decided what terms it should seek; last week she summoned ministers for a "brainstorming" session.
- Mandarins predict that the task will dominate government for at least five years. The fallout of the Brexit vote will reshape Westminster—and the process has barely even begun.


Resolve to do something: G20 summit

- A meeting of big economies' leaders will conclude today with a pledge to boost global growth but little agreement about how to do so.
- The Group of 20, gathering in the Chinese city of Hangzhou, agree on broad principles: countries should rely on fiscal measures, not just monetary easing, and should remove barriers to global trade. Yet consensus frays on specifics.

Engine room

Contribution to GDP* growth, percentage points

- China
- United States
- EU
- Japan
- Rest of World
- World total, % change on a year earlier



Source: IMF *At purchasing-power parity †Forecast

G20 summit

- The International Monetary Fund has called on the G20 to take “forceful” action, warning that 2016 will be the *fifth consecutive year* in which global growth is below its long-term average of 3.7%.
- But America sees little urgency, Germany is wary of stimulus, China is slow to curb its industrial overcapacity and popular backlashes threaten trade talks. So expect more symbolism than substance out of the G20. China, most focused on using the pomp of the summit to showcase its strength, will be just fine with that.

Main themes

- Premature capital account liberalization
- Political economy of financial liberalization
- Macroeconomic development after 1997
- Monetary policy under the “new” exchange rate regime

Political economy of financial liberalization

The political economy of financial liberalization

In 1990, the Thailand Development Research Institute (TDRI), an independent think-tank, predicted that Thailand would repay all of its debt by 1992 and would graduate into a net creditor or a newly industrializing country like South Korea. It also predicted that the structure of capital inflows would be in the form of foreign direct and portfolio investment rather than foreign borrowing. Phaichitr Uathavikul, President of TDRI and former Finance Minister and executive officer of the World Bank, saw no obstacle to Thailand's becoming a net lender country, barring political interference that might create instability. According to Phaichitr, exchange control liberalization could fulfill Thailand's desire to become a commercial and financial center for the fast-growing region, and unrestricted access to the Thai market by foreign banks would bring about a competitive environment and advanced technology. Sanoh Unakul, former Governor of the Bank of Thailand, former Secretary General of the National Economic and Development Board, and chairman of TDRI in 1990, also argued that there was growing evidence of Thailand becoming the region's trade and financial center (*The Nation*, May 15, 1990).

Relax foreign exchange control: international reserves galore

When in 1990 the Bank of Thailand was holding talks on the strategy for liberalizing exchange controls with the IMF, the latter had been calling for the Thai government to liberalize exchange controls, arguing that the robust Thai economy would entail rapid exchange control liberalization. Chavalit Thanachanan, Governor of the Bank of Thailand, basically concurred, stating that exchange control liberalization would send a signal to the rest of the world that Thailand was ready to facilitate trade and financial flows and to join the club of sixty countries that had adopted exchange control liberalization. The US\$12 billion level of international reserves was considered sufficiently large to relax foreign exchange control.²

In 1990, Prime Minister Chatichai Choonahavan argued for Thailand's compliance with the IMF rules of Article VIII by saying that Thailand was now financially mature and should thus meet the conditions under the Article. Pramual Sabhavasu, Finance Minister, also argued that the level of international reserves was sufficiently high since it covered five months of imports without any earnings from exports. According to Pramual, the announcement of the acceptance was a prelude to the upcoming 1991 IMF meeting of central bank governors in Bangkok (*The Nation*, 17 May, 1990).

Loans extended by BIBF grew from B200 billion in 1993 to B1.2 trillion in B1.8 trillion in 1997

The global trend toward financial liberalization and the globalization of financial markets prompted Thailand to accelerate the development of financial institutions to serve the increasingly sophisticated needs of international trade and investment. As director of the Bank of Thailand's supervision and development department in 1995, Thirachai Phuvanatanarubala argued that Bangkok had a future as a leading international banking center in the region, replacing Hong Kong and Singapore. As he saw it, Hong Kong would be returned to China in 1997 and Singapore would be disadvantaged with rising operating costs. He thus pushed the Bank of Thailand to promote BIBFs' 'out-in' business in the form of loan syndication because it would serve, he contended, as a stepping-stone for expansion into their 'out-out' business once Thailand overcame its shortage of human resources (*The Nation*, 29 May, 1995).

Loans extended by BIBFs grew substantially from 200 billion baht in 1993 to 1.2 trillion baht in 1997, and peaked in 1997 at 1.88 trillion baht. At the end of 1999, the amount declined to just 600 billion baht, as the local interest rate declined to its lowest level in decades, resulting in the disappearance of the financial advantages of foreign borrowing through BIBFs.

Consensus among bankers and think-tank economists

Wade (1998) blamed the IMF for its pressure on developing countries to open the capital account without an adequate framework of regulation. In the case of Thailand, the IMF was in fact a major influence behind the push for financial liberalization and there was a trace of the Washington Treasury complex (Bhagwati 1998). But also important for Thailand's drive for financial liberalization was the consensus among domestic players such as central bankers, commercial bankers, and independent think-tank economists, who walked in and out between the public domain of regulatory agencies and private financial institutions. For example, Tarrin Nimmanahaeminda, Finance Minister under the Chuan Administration in 1993 and an advocate of the idea of establishing Bangkok as a financial center for the region, was once the president of Siam Commercial bank.

Don't just blame the IMF

The Thai elites had unrealistic dreams of becoming a financial center in the region

This network of influential players shared the IMF's ethos of free market ideology. Furthermore, the IMF gave them indirect support by providing the standby loan agreement in case of capital outflows after exchange control liberalization and thus encouraged the perception that nothing could go wrong with an open capital account. It should be noted, however, that the IMF influence alone was not sufficient to push for complete financial liberalization. Had central bankers and bankers-turned-finance ministers not subscribed to financial liberalization, the pace and shape of liberalization in Thailand would have produced a different outcome. There was also an environment of 'irrational exuberance' that was inflated by large accumulated international reserves and an unrealistic dream of becoming a financial center in the region.

Intense competition by foreign banks to obtain BIBF licenses and hence high economic rent seeking

Since the Finance Ministry controlled the number of foreign banks in Thailand, foreign banks that wished to enter the Thai market had to seek a BIBF license for full services. Given that there were large economic rents from having the license (tax privileges and the possibility of illegally siphoning money to offshore branches in locations like the Cayman Islands), there was keen competition for the license among foreign banks. This competition took the form of demonstrating their willingness to enter the Thai market by showing an impressive lending volume and thus resulted

Capital inflows, asset price bubbles, and over-lending to nontraded sector

Premature liberalization and economic crisis in Thailand 41

in their excessive lending despite the low interest spread and high default risks. Thus it is not surprising that foreign banks' lending volume increased more rapidly than Thai banks.

Capital inflows and vulnerability to economic crisis

Favorable events such as rapidly rising growth rates of exports and GDP generated the expectation of continued prosperity. Asset prices were bid up by the linear expectation of continued prosperity, and credit from financial institutions only added fuel to the bidding-up process to the extent that asset prices far exceeded their fundamental values. For instance, whereas in 1986 the share of bank credit allocated to real estate was only 3.8 per cent of total bank loans, it went up to 10.5 per cent in 1994 to decline slightly to 8.8 per cent in 1996 (Table 1.3).

Once psychological factors such as the herd instinct took over the sensibility of market participants, asset prices became highly overvalued. Greed and euphoria kept the level of 'paper profits' high. Capital control relaxation coincided with the boom in the real sector of the economy. Thus with the cheap funds they were able to obtain abroad financial institutions pumped credit and margin loans, fueling the bubble. What further weakened the financial fragility was that credit extension was concentrated in the nontrade sector. The open capital account also allowed large portfolio capital inflows from international investors who wished to diversify their portfolios, thus pushing up share prices further.³


Premature liberalization

- Capital control relaxation undertaken when bank supervision and financial regulations were not sufficiently stringent led to over-borrowing and inefficient lending.
- A gradual approach to capital account liberalization could have been adopted.

Capital inflows prior to 1997 crisis

- A surge in capital inflows into Thailand began in the late 1980s and continued unabated until 1996.
- The flows brought high economic growth and a surplus in the balance of payments and current account deficit.

Import-covered international reserves



Causes of rapid capital inflows

- A declining in world interest rates widened the interest rate differentials, inducing excessive foreign borrowings.
- Domestic financial liberalization increased the *sensitivity* of capital flows to interest rate differential.
- The measures undertaken to establish Thailand as a regional financial sector induced short-term capital flows through offshore borrowings by the nonbank private sector.
- The culprit: BIBF

Determinants of capital flows

$$K_f = \alpha + \beta(r - r_f) + \delta (\Delta Y / Y) \\ - \phi(Risk) - \eta(\Delta e / e)^E + \varepsilon$$

The important role of expected depreciation

Lessons from the currency crisis

- Since capital flows are many times larger than international trade flows, when a country relies too heavily on short-term foreign debt to finance a current account deficit, it is impossible for the central bank to defend a fixed exchange rate for very long—let alone to inflict wounds on currency speculators.
- Thailand also learned that accountability and transparency should be well established so that the central bank is not tempted to engage in behavior that is akin to gambling in order to get out of a crisis.

Output contraction, recovery, and the return to price stability Declining inflation, interest rates, and slowdown in monetary growth

Thailand's Macroeconomic Policy after July 1997

Table 1. Average growth of macroeconomic variables in Thailand (1997–2001)


Macroeconomic variable	July 1997 to December 1998	January 1999 to December 2000	January 2001 to December 2001
Manufacturing output index (percentage change)	-8.27	7.99	1.25
Government expenditure (percentage change)	-11.22	1.12	2.13
CPI (percentage change)	7.67	0.94	1.86
Nominal exchange rate (baht/US\$)	40.33	-0.51	14.09
Repurchase rate of interest (14 days)	15.38	1.80	1.75
Monetary base (percentage change)	2.15	3.60	7.00

Source: Bank of Thailand (<http://www.bot.or.th>).

Note: Figures are averages of monthly data.

Currency and financial crises in 1997-1998

Baht-dollar exchange rate



Economic crisis in 1997/98

- With the baht succumbing to speculative attacks, the BOT decided to float it on July 2, 1997.
- Without a nominal anchor and given the lack of political credibility, the value of the baht fell by 56% through to January 1998.
- The deficit became surplus by income and substitution effects: expenditure switching and reducing

During recession (low utilization of output capacity)
real wage rate was reduced; thereby mitigating the rise in
unemployment

Table 3. Thailand's wage and price adjustments and capacity utilization during 1997–2000

Year	Price (CPI)	Real average wage rate of all sectors	Capacity utilization (%)
1997:1	4.53	6.82	68.72
1997:2	4.86	7.35	57.44
1998:1	7.83	-0.09	51.90
1998:2	9.65	-3.86	48.78
1999:1	4.68	-4.09	55.06
1999:2	-0.32	-1.53	57.39
2000:1	0.16	-0.56	59.87
2000:2	1.68	-1.49	59.14


Source: Bank of Thailand, labor force survey (<http://www.bot.or.th>).

Inflation vs. unemployment

- Thailand's labor market is flexible enough that wage cuts occur during recession, a feature that reduces unemployment.
- The price level does not adjust as quickly as wage rates.
- Because of inflation inertia, there is a trade-off in the short run between inflation and unemployment.
- Expansion monetary policy can reduce unemployment with minimal inflation.

There is a tradeoff (negative relationship) between inflation and unemployment, except in 1998 with high inflation and high employment

Figure 1. Trade-off between unemployment and inflation in Thailand




1998

Improving quality of bank loans when the economy was gradually recovering, although bank loan growth rate was still negative

Thailand's Macroeconomic Policy after July 1997

Figure 2. Nonperforming loan ratio and bank credit growth in Thailand



Consequences of rising debts

- The large currency depreciation aggravated the foreign debt burden, causing credit crunch, high interest rates, bankruptcy, and financial disintermediation.
- The loss of consumer and business confidence stemming from expected recession exacerbated the contraction in investment and consumption.

Southeast Asian Experience in 1998 (%)

	Exchange Rate	GDP	INVESTMENT	credit	Inflation
Indonesia	244.2	-13.1	-39.4	28.7	58.5
Malaysia	39.5	-7.36	-43.0	0.41	5.3
Philippines	38.7	-0.58	-16.3	-2.73	9.7
Singapore	12.7	-0.09	-13.3	18.2	-0.3
Thailand	31.9	-10.5	-50.9	-1.2	8.1


After the storm in 1997,

- After the tight fiscal and monetary policy was relaxed, the short-term interest rate declined from 25% in 1997 to 8% by September 1998.
- Real interest rates declined, enough to ease debt burden.
- When the exchange rate regained stability, consumer confidence had been restored.

Expansionary fiscal policy: Budget deficit was required to stimulate output growth


Thailand's Macroeconomic Policy after July 1997

Figure 3. Fiscal policy stance in Thailand



Two Key Policy Rates


Widening interest rate gap triggered capital inflows



Interest rates and exchange rates

- The BOT once again tried to use the high interest rate policy to protect the baht, which has gained strength while the yen has depreciated against the dollar.
- The high cost of defending the currency by using high interest rates should be obvious from the painful experience of Thailand during the currency crisis.

International reserves adequacy



BAHT BOOMING

Regional cross-rates against US dollar,
Jan 2012-2013

	23 Jan 2012	23 Jan 2013	% Change
• Philippine peso	43.15	40.59	5.93%
• Thai baht	31.36	29.77	5.07%
• Singapore dollar	1.266	1.226	3.15%
• Malaysian ringgit	3.105	3.040	2.09%
• Indonesian rupiah	8,940	9,615	-7.55%

Source: Reuters

POSTgraphics

Concluding remarks

- With a realistic exchange rate and steady growth in the monetary base, even in the face of virulent external circumstances, the Thai economy can still make the best use of globalization by maintaining a moderate expansion along a sustainable growth path through employing a sensible economic policy.

Concluding remarks

- The Bank of Thailand could have eased its monetary policy to prevent a slowdown in 2001 and in 2014.
- An expansionary monetary policy or budget deficit financed by money creation can spur growth during recession, provided the Bank of Thailand does not intervene in the foreign-exchange market.
- The bah-dollar exchange rate cannot disengaged from the yet-dollar rate by central bank intervention in the long term.
- Monetary policy seems to be more effective than other policy alternatives during the debt deflation episode in Thailand.

The connection between budgets and current accounts


- Cutting budget deficits is associated with reduced investment, greater saving and a shift in the current account from deficit toward surplus.
- A fiscal consolidation of one percentage point of GDP led to an improvement in the ratio of the current-account balance to GDP of 0.6 percentage points.

Surfeit of surpluses


Selected euro-area countries, €bn



Budget balances



Current-account balances



Sources: Eurostat; National statistics

Surplus vs. deficit current accounts

- The US ran correspondingly large current-account deficits, financed in part by flows of investment from surplus countries that flooded into the country's overheating housing market.
- A similar dynamic played out in miniature within the euro area, as core economies like Germany ran current-account surpluses and peripheral countries like Spain ran deficits.

German budget surpluses are bad for the global economy

The Economist, Sep 3rd 2016

- Within the euro area, the struggling Mediterranean economies need faster rates of GDP growth to bring down unemployment and stabilize government debt.
- Germany's enormous surpluses mean that its households are buying less from other countries than they ought to.
- That hurts the growth prospects of the periphery, and raises the risk of a politically induced break-up.