

Fundamentals of Mathematical Proofs

Outline:

- *Methods of Direct Proof*
 - *Proving & Disproving Existential Statements*
 - *Proving & Disproving Universal Statements*
- *Methods of Indirection Proof*
 - *Method of Proof by Contradiction*
 - *Method of Proof by Contraposition*

1 Introduction & Definitions

A mathematical system consists of axioms, definitions, and undefined terms.

- An **axiom** is a statement that is assumed to be true.
- A **definition** is used to create new concepts in terms of existing ones.
- A **theorem** is a statement that has been proved to be true.
- A **lemma** is a theorem that is usually not interesting in its own right but is useful in proving another theorem.
- A **corollary** is a theorem that follows quickly from a theorem.
- Less important theorems are sometimes called **propositions**.
- A **conjecture** is a statement that is being proposed to be true. Once a proof of a conjecture is found, it becomes a theorem. It may turn out to be false.

Example 1.1. The Euclidean geometry furnishes an example of mathematical system:

- “Points” and “lines” are examples of undefined terms.
- An example of a **definition**: Two angles are supplementary if the sum of their measures is 180° .
- An example of an **axiom**: Given two distinct points, there is exactly one line that contains them.
- An example of a **theorem**: If two sides of a triangle are equal, then the angles opposite them are equal.
- An example of a **corollary**: If a triangle is equilateral, then it is equiangular.

An argument that establishes the truth of a theorem is called a **proof**.

Logic is a tool for the analysis of proofs.

In order to evaluate the truth or falsity of a statement, we must understand what the statement is about. I.e., we must know the meanings of all terms that occur in the statement. Mathematicians define terms very carefully and precisely and consider it important to learn definitions virtually word for word.

Definition 1.1 (Even and Odd Integers). .

- An integer n is **even** if, and only if, n equals twice some integer.
- An integer n is **odd** if, and only if, n equals twice some integer plus 1.

Symbolically, if n is an integer, then

$$n \text{ is even} \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ an integer } k \text{ such that } n = 2k.$$

$$n \text{ is odd} \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ an integer } k \text{ such that } n = 2k + 1.$$

Example 1.2. (Even and Odd Integers) Use the definitions of even and odd to justify your answers to the following questions.

- Is 0 even?
- Is -301 odd?
- If a and b are integers, is $6ab$ even?
- If a and b are integers, is $10a + 8b + 1$ odd?

Definition 1.2 (Prime & Composite numbers). An integer n is **prime** if, and only if,

- $n > 1$ and
- for all positive integers r and s , if $n = rs$, then either r or s equals n .

An integer n is **composite** if, and only if,

- $n > 1$ and
- $n = rs$ for some integers r and s with $1 < r < n$ and $1 < s < n$.

In symbols:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{n \text{ is prime}} &\Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ positive integers } r \text{ and } s, \text{ if } n = rs \\
 &\quad \text{then either } \boxed{r = 1 \text{ and } s = n} \text{ or } \boxed{r = n \text{ and } s = 1}. \\
 \boxed{n \text{ is composite}} &\Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ positive integers } r \text{ and } s, \text{ if } n = rs \\
 &\quad \text{then } \boxed{1 < r < n} \text{ and } \boxed{1 < s < n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.3. (Prime and Composite Numbers)

1. Is 1 prime?
2. Is every integer greater than 1 either prime or composite?
3. Write the first six prime numbers.
4. Write the first six composite numbers.

2 Methods of Direct Proof

2.1 Proving & Disproving Existential Statements

2.1.1 Proving Existential Statements

Consider existential statements in the form

$$\exists x \in D \text{ such that } Q(x).$$

- Existential statement is **true** if, and only if, $Q(x)$ is true for *at least one* x in D . The existential statements can be proved by the following methods.

1. “Constructive proofs of existence”

- Finding an x in D that makes $Q(x)$ true, or
- Giving a set of directions for finding such an x .

2. “Nonconstructive proof of existence ”

- Showing that the existence of a value of x that makes $Q(x)$ true is guaranteed by an axiom or a previously proved theorem, or
- Showing that the assumption that there is no such x leads to a **contradiction**.

The disadvantage of a nonconstructive proof is that it may give virtually no clue about where or how x may be found. We will look at this method later in this class.

- Existential statement is **false** if, and only if, its **negation** in the form of **universal statement** is **true**.

Example 2.1. Show that there exists a positive integer whose square can be written as the sum of the squares of two positive integers.

Solution: By using the constructive proof, one example is

Example 2.2. Show that there exists an integer x such that $x^2 = 15,129$.

Solution: By using the constructive proof,

Example 2.3. 1. Prove that:

“there exists an even integer n that can be written in two different ways as a sum of two prime numbers.”

2. Suppose that r and s are integers. Prove that:

“there is an integer k such that $22r + 18s = 2k$.”

Solution:

Recall that existential statement is **false** if, and only if, its **negation** in the form of **universal statement is true**.

To **disprove** a existential statement,

$$\exists x \in D, Q(x)$$

we can show that its negation

$$\forall x \in D, \sim Q(x)$$

is true. So, we will next consider the methods for proving the universal “ $\forall$ ” statements.

2.2 Proving & Disproving Universal Statements

2.2.1 Proving Universal Statements

Consider a **universal statement** of the form:

$$\forall x \in D, P(x).$$

Two main methods for proving this type of statements are:

1. Method of Exhaustion

$\Rightarrow$ This should be used when D is finite or when only a finite number of elements satisfy $P(x)$.

$\Rightarrow$ In practice, it may be infeasible or impractical to use the method of exhaustion, especial when D is an infinite set.

2. Method of Generalizing from the Generic Particular

$\Rightarrow$ This technique for proving a universal statement works regardless of the size of the domain D .

Note: To **disprove** a universal statement, we can use a **counterexample**. I.e. show that its negation $\exists x \in D, \sim P(x)$ is true.

Method of exhaustion

Consider a statement of the form

$$\forall x \in D, P(x).$$

- If the domain D is a finite set, then one checks the truth value of $P(x)$ for each $x \in D$:
 - The statement is **true** if $P(x)$ is true for **every** $x \in D$.
 - The statement is **false** if $P(a)$ is false for *at least one* element $a \in D$.

$\Rightarrow$ The element $a \in D$ is called a **counterexample**.

Example 2.4. Show that for each integer $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$, $n^2 - n + 11$ is a prime number.

Solution:

Example 2.5. Use the method of exhaustion to prove the following statement:

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if n is even and $4 \leq n \leq 26$, then n can be written as a sum of two prime numbers.

Solution:

Method of Generalizing from the Generic Particular

To show that every element of a set satisfies a certain property by using this method, suppose x is a *particular* but *arbitrarily chosen element* of the set, and show that x satisfies the property.

In order to use the *method of generalizing from the generic particular* for

proving

$$\forall x \in D, P(x)$$

or disproving

$$\exists x \in D, Q(x),$$

it is helpful to use the following three steps:

1. Restate the claim in a **formal** way.
2. Specify the **starting point**.
3. Identify **the conclusion to be shown**.

- This technique can be used for proving a universal statement it works regardless of the size of the domain over which the statement is quantified.
- When the method of generalizing from the generic particular is applied to a property of the form:

$$\forall x \in D, \text{if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x)$$

where

- $P(x)$ is the hypothesis and
- $Q(x)$ is the conclusion,

the result is the method of **direct proof**.

Direct Proof

Consider a universal conditional statement of the form: $\boxed{\forall x \in D, \text{if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x)}$

- Recall that: the only way an if-then statement can be false is for the hypothesis to be true and the conclusion to be false.
- Therefore, we can prove that the statement “If $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$ ” is true

if we can show that the truth of $P(x)$ implies the truth of $Q(x)$, then we will have proved the statement.

Method of Direct Proof

1. Express the statement to be proved in the form

$\text{“}\forall x \in D, \text{ if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x).\text{”}$

2. Start the proof by supposing x is a particular but arbitrarily chosen element of D for which the hypothesis $P(x)$ is true. I.e.

$\text{“Suppose } x \in D \text{ and } P(x) \text{ is true.”}$

3. Show that the conclusion $Q(x)$ is true by using definitions, previously established results, and the rules for logical inference.

Example 2.6. Prove that:

“The sum of any two even integers is even.”

Solution:

Example 2.7. Disprove the statement:

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } a < b, \text{ then } a^2 < b^2.$$

Solution:

Example 2.8. (Disproving an Existential Statement)

Disprove the following statement:

There is a positive integer n such that $n^2 + 3n + 2$ is prime.

Solution:

Definition 2.1 (Rational Numbers). A real number r is **rational** if, and only if, it can be expressed as a quotient of two integers with a nonzero denominator. A real number that is not rational is **irrational**. More formally, if r is a real number, then

$$r \text{ is rational} \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ integers } a \text{ and } b \text{ such that } r = \frac{a}{b}, b \neq 0.$$

Note: The word *rational* contains the word *ratio*, which is another word for *quotient*. A *rational number* can be written as a *ratio* of integers.

Example: Determine whether the following numbers are rational or irrational.

1. 0
2. $10/3$
3. $-\frac{3}{47}$
4. 0.1234
5. $0.12121212\dots$ (where the digits 12 are assumed to repeat forever)

Example 2.9. Prove the following theorem and its corollary.

(a) **Theorem:** The sum of any two rational numbers is rational.

(b) **Corollary:** The double of a rational number is rational.

Note: A **corollary** is a statement whose truth can be immediately deduced from a theorem that has already been proved.

Solution:

2.2.2 More Methods of Proof

Methods of proof for universal statements that will also be considered here are **vacuous proof**, **trivial proof**, and **proof by cases**.

A **vacuous proof** is a proof of an implication $p \rightarrow q$ in which it is shown that p is false.

Example 2.10. Use the method of vacuous proof to show that if $x \in \emptyset$, then David is playing soccer.

Solution:

A **trivial proof** of an implication $p \rightarrow q$ is one in which q is shown to be true without any reference to p .

Example 2.11. Use the method of trivial proof to show that if n is an even integer then n is divisible by 1.

Solution:

Method of Proof by Cases The method of proof by cases is a direct method of proving the conditional statement

$$\forall x \in D, \left[\left(P_1(x) \vee P_2(x) \vee \cdots \vee P_n(x) \right) \rightarrow Q(x) \right].$$

The method consists of proving **all** of these n conditional statements

$$\forall x \in D, P_1(x) \rightarrow Q(x),$$

$$\forall x \in D, P_2(x) \rightarrow Q(x),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\forall x \in D, P_n(x) \rightarrow Q(x).$$

Example 2.12. Proof the following statement.

If x is a positive integer, then $x^3 + x$ is even.

Solution:

The given statement is equivalent to

$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if x is a positive integer, then $x^3 + x$ is even, or

$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if x is even or x is odd, then $x^3 + x$ is even.

Note: we have used the fact that, for any positive integer, it has to be either even or odd.

To prove this, we have to show that both

(i) $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if x is even, then $x^3 + x$ is even **and**

(ii) $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if x is odd, then $x^3 + x$ is even

are all true.

If we only have one of these statements true, the original statement may not be true because, this may not cover all elements in the domain $\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 2.2 (Absolute Value). For any real number x , the absolute value of x , denoted $|x|$, is defined as follows:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} -x, & x < 0 \\ x, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Example 2.13. Use the proof by cases to prove the triangle inequality:
For all real numbers x and y ,

$$|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|.$$

Solution:

(Continued)

Definition 2.3 (Floor). Given any real number x , the floor of x , denoted $\lfloor x \rfloor$, is defined as:

$$\lfloor x \rfloor = n, \quad n \text{ is an integer such that } n \leq x < n + 1.$$

Symbolically, if x is a real number,

$$\boxed{\lfloor x \rfloor = n \iff n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \leq x < n + 1.}$$

Definition 2.4 (Ceiling). Given any real number x , the ceiling of x , denoted $\lceil x \rceil$, is defined as:

$$\lceil x \rceil = n, \quad n \text{ is an integer such that } n - 1 < x \leq n.$$

Symbolically, if x is a real number,

$$\boxed{\lceil x \rceil = n \iff n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n - 1 < x \leq n.}$$

Example 2.14. Compute $\lfloor x \rfloor$ and $\lceil x \rceil$ of the following values of x .

1. $x = 37.999$
2. $x = 11$
3. $x = 0.6$
4. $x = -\frac{57}{2}$
5. $x = -14.001$

Example 2.15. Use the proof by a counterexample to show that the statement

$$“\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor x + y \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor”$$

is **false**.

Solution:

Example 2.16. Use the method of proof by cases to prove the following statement.
Let n be an integer. Then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n-1}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Solution:

3 Methods of Indirect Proof

We consider two methods of **indirect proof**: **contradiction** and **contraposition**.

3.1 Method of Proof by Contradiction

In a direct proof you start with the hypothesis of a statement and make one deduction after another until you reach the conclusion. Indirect proofs are more roundabout. One kind of indirect proof, argument by contradiction, is based on the fact that either a statement is true or it is false but not both. So if you can show that the assumption that a given statement is not true leads logically to a contradiction, impossibility, or absurdity, then that assumption must be false: and, hence, the given statement must be true.

Steps for Method of Proof by Contradiction

1. Suppose the statement to be proved is false. That is, suppose that the negation of the statement is true.
2. Show that this supposition leads logically to a contradiction.
3. Conclude that the statement to be proved is true.

Example 3.1. The following situation illustrates the method of proof by contradiction.

If a man accused of holding up a bank can prove that he was some place else at the time the crime was committed, he will certainly be acquitted. The logic of his defense is as follows:

Suppose I did commit the crime. Then at the time of the crime, I would have had to be at the scene of the crime. In fact, at the time of the crime I was in a meeting with 20 people far from the crime scene, as they will testify. This contradicts the assumption that I committed the crime since it is impossible to be in two places at one time. Hence that assumption is false. ■

Example 3.2. Use proof by contradiction to show that

There is no greatest integer.

Solution:

Example 3.3. Use proof by contradiction to show the following statement is true.

There is no integer that is both even and odd.

Solution:

Example 3.4. Use proof by contradiction to show the following statement is true.

The sum of any rational number and any irrational number is irrational.

Solution:

3.2 Proof of Contraposition

To prove a statement by contraposition, first take the contrapositive of the statement, prove the contrapositive by a direct proof, and conclude that the original statement is true.

Method of Proof by Contraposition

1. Express the statement to be proved in the form

$$\forall x \in D, \text{ if } P(x), \text{ then } Q(x).$$

(This step may be done mentally.)

2. Rewrite this statement in the contrapositive form

$$\forall x \in D, \text{ if } Q(x) \text{ is false, then } P(x) \text{ is false.}$$

(This step may also be done mentally.)

3. Prove the contrapositive by a **direct proof**.

- (i) Suppose x is a (particular but arbitrarily chosen) element of D such that $Q(x)$ is false.
- (ii) Show that $P(x)$ is false.

Example 3.5. Use proof by contraposition to show the following statement is true.

For all integers n , if n^2 is even, then n is even.

Solution:

Relation between Proof by Contradiction and Proof by Contraposition

- Proof by contraposition can only be used to prove the statements that are *universal* and *conditional*, i.e.

$$\forall x \in D, \text{ if } P(x), \text{ then } Q(x).$$

- Any proof by *contraposition* can be done by *contradiction*. I.e. Consider

$$\forall x \in D, \text{ if } P(x), \text{ then } Q(x).$$

- Using proof by contraposition: $\forall x \in D$, if $Q(x)$ is false, then $P(x)$ is false.

$$\boxed{\text{Suppose for any } x \in D, \text{ such that } \sim Q(x)} \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \boxed{\sim P(x)}$$

- Using proof by contradiction:

$$\boxed{\text{Suppose } \exists x \in D, \text{ such that } P(x) \text{ and } \sim Q(x)} \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \boxed{\underbrace{P(x) \text{ and } \sim P(x)}_{\text{CONTRADICTION!}}}$$

- E.g. The following statement can be proved by contradiction but not by contraposition:

“ $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.”

- Advantages of contraposition over contradiction :
 - In proof by contradiction, it may be difficult to know what contradiction to head for, whereas, in the proof by contraposition, we know exactly what conclusion you need to show, namely the negation of the hypothesis.
 - We can avoid having to take (possibly incorrectly) the negation of a complicated statement when using contraposition instead of contradiction.

Example 3.6. Use proof by *contradiction* to show the following statement is true.

For all integers n , if n^2 is even, then n is even.

Example 3.7. Proof that

$\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof:

[We take the negation and suppose it to be true.] Suppose not. That is, suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there are integers m and n with no common factors such that

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

[by dividing m and n by any common factors if necessary]. [We must derive a contradiction.] Squaring both sides of equation above gives

$$2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$$

$$m^2 = 2n^2$$

which implies that m^2 is even (by definition of even). It follows that m is even (by Proposition 4.6.4). We file this fact away for future reference and also deduce (by definition of even) that

$$m = 2k$$

for some integer k . we see that

$$m^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2n^2.$$

Dividing both sides of the right-most equation by 2 gives $n^2 = 2k^2$. Consequently, n^2 is even, and so n is even (by Proposition 4.6.4). But we also know that m is even. [This is the fact we filed away.] **Hence both m and n have a common factor of 2. But this contradicts the supposition that m and n have no common factors.** [Hence the supposition is false and so the theorem is true.] ■