

From the business case competition research project presentation, first, I believe the question itself is quite interesting and the benefit of the research could be useful to the university students, in the part where he makes references to the past research can be reduce or cut out from the presentation as the information does not provides much that would increase the importance of this research, except that for the research gap that they do not focused on the same aspect or method to this paper.

I think that in the methodology part, the method and the model he used is appropriate but the description of the population should be more clear and pinpoint, for example: how much is the sample size used and whether they are current students of Chula university and Thammasat university or the workers that used to be students of both universities, since the current description suggests that they are students, but the excluded consists of various types of workers including self-employed, family-hired and people who work outside of Bangkok, not the types of student of said universities.

Based on the statement of why he focuses on only two universities, if possible, I believe that he should include the statistical data that show that other universities do not participate in the business case competition as much as Chula and Thammasat as well, in order to strengthen this claim. I also would suggest that he should include "the case of Bangkok" as part of the research title as he only uses data based on people who work in Bangkok.

Additionally, in the model specification sector, he could explain the difference in variables between the first and second model more and why he added the additional variables to the model 2.

He should also include the value of adjusted R^2 in the results to show which specification model has the largest value and provide the best results and whether or not the model is overspecified.

In the overall conclusion, I mostly agree with the results that the academic performance and english program positively affect the initial salary, although I am not sure that I am convinced the final conclusion that linked with the negative coefficient in the prize² is able to imply that participation help the person to develop the individual ability, as in the combination with the comp variable's result, it only can be interpreted as while the person participate in the competition, it would increase their initial salary, but if they actually receive any prize from the competition it would decrease their initial income instead.