

Nowadays, there is an argument between two sides, those who support Thai government which led by Prayuth Jan-O-Cha and those who hate this government and support the real democracy like they said. It can be clearly seen that it is a fight between two generations, elders and teenagers. These two groups have their own perspective about the political term especially democracy. This topic is viral on the internet and it has a lot of people interested. In this essay, I will summarize all of the situation in the Thai political situation and analyze the facts of information and suggest the way that Thailand should do by comparing all of this with the lesson that I have learned in this subject.

First of all, I would like to tell about the development of Thai political system. Since the Rattanakosin era had started, Thailand was reigned by the king for many years as the absolute monarchy. Until King Rama 7, in that time, the trend of the world was changed. Many countries that rule by absolute monarchy had denied from the citizens which the mindset that people are the owner of the country not just the king. No exception in Thailand, there is a group that started this campaign in Thailand called Khana Ratsadorn. With the demand for democracy in many countries, Pridi Phanomyong, in that time, is the student who study abroad. He had the thinking that democracy is the best type of management. People should have the right and freedom to develop their country because everyone are owner of Thailand. In combination with the bad economic situation that make people think that it is time for the change. Then, Khana Ratsadorn started the revolution which changed the management from absolutely monarchy to Democratic form of Government with the King as Head of State. There are a lot of arguments until the present that "It is a right time for Democracy in Thailand?" Therefore, a lot of political situation was happened and almost of the time, the king institute was mentioned by people then use it as a tool to make other side collapsed. It is the situation that university students fight with soldier who coup in that time.

Soldier side used the fake news and a lot of fake evidence to slander students as communist. Moreover, they used a propaganda to slander students. A lot of people think that this group of students want to destroy the King institution. In the Democracy system, people should have the right to express the standpoint of them but this group of students cannot. Government that was managed by soldier did everything to shut this group up. The sad thing is they started to shoot the students who protest in Thammasat University. However, the saddest thing is King signed the document that is as confirmation to shoot the students. Then, the king showed a lot of photo that he saved and helped students in his palace. He can make a lot of accumulated goodness by this scene. However, a lot of students was killed by the gun with the right that they should have to have but not. This is the most famous political situation in Thailand. After that there are many political situations in Thailand such as Red and Yellow protesters. The protesters have the same point of the protesting is to find their own satisfied Democracy. The different thinking of Thai people about democracy still have until now. We cannot find the optimal Democracy in Thailand. I will talk about this topic in another paragraph.

To summarize the situation that I mentioned above. Thai democratic history is kind of the Marxism. Marxism is the method of socioeconomic analysis or Marxism tries to understand and talk about the bad things that happen in the free capitalist world, such as the capitalist world that exploits us (living goods) until alienation from family, society and ourselves. Under the perspective of Marxism, the working class should rise up against the unfairness of capitalists. Moreover, Marxism also emphasizes class and struggle of the class. Therefore, there are 2 classes for Marxism: Capitalists/Guardians that holds a lot of production and a group of laborers who have nothing but their own strength. If I compare Marxism with the Thai democracy history, the group of people rise up against Monarch and university students against soldier are kind of Marxism in

Thai democracy history. These are the good example of Marxism in Thai political history which can be clearly seen a fight between the classes.

Moving on to the situation after Prayut Jan-O-cha coup in 6 years ago. He said that he came in to manage Thai because the chaos of the country by the protesters which Suthep Tuaksubun is leader. Six years have passed, there is no the real project that he can do. Moreover, he writes the rule about the election to bestow him and easy to be a president again. The result is he can be a president again which visually objectionable many people in Thailand. He causes a lot of debt since he come in to the president and his government cannot be checked by people. Therefore, there is a group of people that disagree with this government. The conflict is going to be heavier. The corruption that politicians do in this government can be seen easily. There is a few of politicians want to develop the country. Most of them come to seek their own advantages from the democracy management. They choose to do the thing that they will gain more voter in next election which is not for the public advantages. This is the vote-gain maximizing behaviors which the politicians not choose the optimal decisions or choice to maximize the welfare utilities of the people in the country but they will choose the way to keep the voters and gain more voters in the next election. This causes the gap between the wealth of people, people who are rich is going to be richer and people who are poor is going to be poorer. This is because the capitalism in Thailand that causes the problems. Furthermore, after the group that acts against government was found, there is a group that support this government. Actually, it is the fight between elder people and teenagers with the different political thinking.

Start with the group that support the government. They think that Prayuth Chan-O-Cha is proper to be the president in Thailand and it is the real democracy in their opinion because Prayuth Chan-O-Cha become the president by the election. Furthermore, they accuse teenagers as people

who are want to destroy the King institution which is the institution that stay in the Thai mind for a long time. They blame students that students do not know their duty and students must learn only and not to engage with the political terms.

Moving on to students or teenager side. They come to demand their own right to set their own future. Government do a lot of corruption in this period and it affects to the future which they have to recoup the debt that this government causes. They have a right to choose their future and have a right to illustrate their standpoint. However, the government and police still arrest the students that have a thinking against government. So, this is not real democracy, it is like a dictator because government not listen the students thinking and adjoin to the optimal point which is a good result for the country. As I said above, government do the project that they will have the benefits not just the public benefits. Moreover, King institute must do the thing that make people admire not just receive the tax from the people. People is the owner of the country not just the king and people can mention to king which is the basic right of the democracy system. This is not the thinking that destroy the king institute but we should find the way to solve this conflict between government, king, and the protesters.

In conclusion, all of the things that I mentioned above is the problems and conflicts in Thai democracy in the present. The conflict of two sides which are elder people and teenagers happen because of the gap year of the thinking of this two generation. They must respect to each other opinion and negotiate with the peaceful method. If we are the real democracy, government should listen to all people's thinking. President should come in this situation and adjust the demand of two side and find the optimal point of this conflict which can lead to the improvement of the country. If we can be a real democracy and has a stable democratic situation, other countries will interest to invest more in Thailand and make more confidence to them that will rise our GDP.