

10

Panel least square

```
. xtgls y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7
Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares
Panels: homoskedastic
Correlation: no autocorrelation

Estimated covariances = 1 Number of obs = 1,275
Estimated autocorrelations = 0 Number of groups = 255
Estimated coefficients = 8 Time periods = 5
Wald chi2(7) = 899.95
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Log likelihood = 209.4322
```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
x1	.0794732	.0093881	8.47	0.000	.0610728 .0978735
x2	.0183375	.0051421	3.57	0.000	.0082592 .0284158
x3	.0977707	.0526329	1.86	0.063	-.0053879 .2009293
x4	.0873838	.2186064	0.40	0.689	-.3410767 .5158444
x5	-.1076457	.0069214	-15.55	0.000	-.1212114 -.0940801
x6	-.1432493	.0186811	-7.67	0.000	-.1798637 -.106635
x7	-.2712849	.011995	-22.62	0.000	-.2947946 -.2477753
_cons	-.0107965	.0860341	-0.13	0.900	-.1794201 .1578272

```
. est store hete
. local df=e(N_g)-1
. lrtest hete pgl, df('df')

Likelihood-ratio test LR chi2(254)= 619.86
(Assumption: pgl nested in hete) Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

# Pvalue  $0.0 < 0.05$ . There are significance. Hence, this model has heteroskedasticity problem

PGLS & Heteroskedasticity

```
. xtgls y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7, igls panels(heteroskedastic)
Iteration 1: tolerance = .08868167
Iteration 2: tolerance = .05849043
Iteration 3: tolerance = .03525359
Iteration 4: tolerance = .02040677
Iteration 5: tolerance = .01187668
Iteration 6: tolerance = .00709681
Iteration 7: tolerance = .00441169
Iteration 8: tolerance = .00291535
Iteration 9: tolerance = .00321184
Iteration 10: tolerance = .00409533
Iteration 11: tolerance = .00580092
Iteration 12: tolerance = .00908183
Iteration 13: tolerance = .01574945
Iteration 14: tolerance = .02974716
Iteration 15: tolerance = .05103653
Iteration 16: tolerance = .04401112
Iteration 17: tolerance = .00723478
```

```
Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares
Panels: heteroskedastic
Correlation: no autocorrelation

Estimated covariances = 255 Number of obs = 1,275
Estimated autocorrelations = 0 Number of groups = 255
Estimated coefficients = 8 Time periods = 5
Wald chi2(7) = 3850.64
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Log likelihood = 519.361
```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
x1	.0834067	.0045748	18.23	0.000	.0744403 .0923732
x2	-.0163976	.0032919	4.98	0.000	.0099456 .0228497
x3	-.3631261	.0505673	-7.18	0.000	-.4622362 -.264016
x4	.3191455	.1093883	2.92	0.004	.1047484 .535426
x5	-.1093301	.0043601	-25.07	0.000	-.1178758 -.1007844
x6	.1361732	.0275038	4.95	0.000	.0822667 .1900797
x7	-.2282655	.0063087	-36.18	0.000	-.2406303 -.2159097
_cons	-.037658	.0426502	-0.88	0.377	-.1212509 .0459349

20

```
. xtgls y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7
Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares
Panels: homoskedastic
Correlation: no autocorrelation

Estimated covariances = 1 Number of obs = 1,275
Estimated autocorrelations = 0 Number of groups = 255
Estimated coefficients = 8 Time periods = 5
Wald chi2(7) = 899.95
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Log likelihood = 209.4322
```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
x1	.0794732	.0093881	8.47	0.000	.0610728 .0978735
x2	.0183375	.0051421	3.57	0.000	.0082592 .0284158
x3	.0977707	.0526329	1.86	0.063	-.0053879 .2009293
x4	.0873838	.2186064	0.40	0.689	-.3410767 .5158444
x5	-.1076457	.0069214	-15.55	0.000	-.1212114 -.0940801
x6	-.1432493	.0186811	-7.67	0.000	-.1798637 -.106635
x7	-.2712849	.011995	-22.62	0.000	-.2947946 -.2477753
_cons	-.0107965	.0860341	-0.13	0.900	-.1794201 .1578272

```
. xtreg y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7, re
Random-effects GLS regression Number of obs = 1,275
Group variable: crossid Number of groups = 255

R-sq: within = 0.3492 min = 5
between = 0.3404 avg = 5.0
overall = 0.3377 max = 5

Wald chi2(7) = 663.43
corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed) Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
x1	-.0145018	.0133366	-1.09	0.277	-.040641 .0116375
x2	.0146948	.0064463	2.28	0.023	.0020604 .0273292
x3	.0985565	.0399464	2.47	0.014	.020263 .1768501
x4	.4693539	.2493856	1.88	0.060	-.0194329 .9581407
x5	-.1117985	.005959	-18.76	0.000	-.1234779 -.100119
x6	-.1541318	.014125	-10.91	0.000	-.1818163 -.1264472
x7	-.1494529	.0115006	-13.00	0.000	-.1719937 -.1269122
_cons	.7714573	.1226841	6.29	0.000	.5310009 1.011914
sigma_u	.15944933				
sigma_e	.11725953				
rho	.64900604				(fraction of variance due to u_i)

```
. xtreg y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7, fe
Fixed-effects (within) regression Number of obs = 1,275
Group variable: crossid Number of groups = 255

R-sq: within = 0.3772 min = 5
between = 0.1103 avg = 5.0
overall = 0.1644 max = 5

F(7,1013) = 87.64
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.2003 Prob > F = 0.0000
```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
x1	-.1256447	.0180942	-6.94	0.000	-.161151 -.0901384
x2	.0123739	.008023	1.54	0.123	-.0033697 .0281176
x3	.0747825	.039773	1.88	0.060	-.0032643 .1528293
x4	.6493144	.2855092	2.27	0.023	.0890573 1.209572
x5	-.1104883	.0061097	-18.08	0.000	-.1224773 -.0984992
x6	-.1461423	.0141035	-10.36	0.000	-.1738178 -.1184669
x7	-.0951497	.0121853	-7.81	0.000	-.1190611 -.0712383
_cons	1.756067	.1658407	10.59	0.000	1.430636 2.081497
sigma_u	.22676694				
sigma_e	.11725953				
rho	.78902632				(fraction of variance due to u_i)

F test that all u\_i=0: F(254, 1013) = 11.40 Prob > F = 0.0000

Hausman test  $H_0: \beta_{FE} = \beta_{RE}$

Hausman statistic =

$$(\hat{\beta}_{RE} - \hat{\beta}_{FE})' (V_{RE} - V_{FE})^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_{RE} - \hat{\beta}_{FE}) \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)}$$

Hypothesis is rejected that mean using Fixed effect seems to be more appropriate. It is more likely that unobserved variables are correlated with the independent variable  $x$ 's

hausman random fixed

	Coefficients		(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
	(b) random	(B) fixed		
x1	-.0145018	-.1256447	.1111429	.
x2	.0146948	.0123739	.0023208	.
x3	.0985565	.0747825	.0237741	.0037189
x4	.4693539	.6493144	-.1799605	.
x5	-.1117985	-.1104883	-.0013102	.
x6	-.1541318	-.1461423	-.0079894	.0007791
x7	-.1494529	-.0951497	-.0543033	.

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg  
 B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V\_b-V\_B)^(-1)](b-B)  
 = -190.39      chi2<0 ==> model fitted on these  
                  data fails to meet the asymptotic  
                  assumptions of the Hausman test;  
                  see [suest](#) for a generalized test