

EE 325 Section 2 HW 3

Due in class on

Use 4 decimal places for numerical answers and test at the 5% significance level.

1. The variable RD_i is expenditures on research and development (R&D) as a percentage of sales. $sales_i$ are measured in millions of dollars. The variable $profit_i$ is profits as a percentage of sales. The following equation is estimated;

$$RD_i = 0.472 + 0.621 \ln sales_i + 0.095 \ln profit_i$$

$$se = (1.369) \quad (0.216) \quad (0.046)$$

$$R^2 = 0.900, n = 60$$

1.1. Interpret each of the coefficient estimates in regression equation.

1.2. Test the hypothesis that RD_i does increase with sales.

1.3. Does $profit_i$ have a statistically significant effect on RD_i ?

1.4. Test the hypothesis, $sales_i$ and $profit_i$ are the determining factors for expenditures on research and development.

2. From the data for 46 states in the United States for 1992, Baltagi obtained the following regression results:

$$\ln C_i = 4.30 - 1.34 \ln P_i + 0.17 \ln Y_i$$

$$se = (0.91) \quad (0.32) \quad (0.20)$$

$$\bar{R}^2 = 0.27$$

where C_i = cigarette consumption, packs per year

P_i = real price per pack, \$ per pack

Y_i = real disposable income per capita, \$ per week

2.1. Do the estimation results follow the law of demand?

2.2. What is the elasticity of demand for cigarettes with respect to price? Is it statistically significant? If so, is it statistically different from 1?

2.3. What is the income elasticity of demand for cigarettes? Is it statistically significant? If not, what might be the reasons for it?

2.4. Test the joint significance of all the slope coefficient estimates.

3. From the estimation of the regression equation of the variables as follows; headline consumer price index (in percent) and the money supply (in million baht) in Thailand during 1981-1997, the regression model can be estimated as follows.

Model 1

$$CPI_t = 38.9691 + 0.2609MS_t$$

$$P\text{-value} \quad (0.000) \quad (0.001)$$

$$R^2 = 0.9423, F = 245.08, n = 17$$

Model 3

$$\ln CPI_t = 3.9315 + 0.0028MS_t$$

$$P\text{-value} \quad (0.002) \quad (0.000)$$

$$R^2 = 0.9284, F = 194.59, n = 17$$

Model 2

$$\ln CPI_t = 1.4041 + 0.5889 \ln MS_t$$

$$P\text{-value} \quad (0.007) \quad (0.000)$$

$$R^2 = 0.9642, F = 403.60, n = 17$$

Model 4

$$CPI_t = -192.9661 + 54.2126 \ln MS_t$$

$$P\text{-value} \quad (0.000) \quad (0.003)$$

$$R^2 = 0.9543, F = 313.41, n = 17$$

3.1. Find the rate of change of CPI with respect to MS ($\Delta CPI/\Delta MS$) and the elasticity of CPI with respect to MS ($\% \Delta CPI/\% \Delta MS$), calculated when the money supply is 205 million baht and the consumer price index is 92%.

3.2. Explain the coefficient of determination (R^2). Is it the criterion for selecting the appropriate regression model function? Why?

4. From estimating the regression equation on net financial wealth (nettfa), age of the survey respondent (age), and annual family income (inc) for people in the United States. The wealth and income variables are both recorded in thousands of dollars. The OLS estimation results for the model are given by; $nettfa_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 inc_i + \beta_3 age_i + u_i$

reg nettfa inc age

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	9,275
Model	6414618.8	2	3207309.4	F(2, 9272)	=	943.21
Residual	31528770.7	9,272	3400.42825	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.1691
				Adj R-squared	=	0.1689
Total	37943389.5	9,274	4091.3726	Root MSE	=	58.313

nettfa	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
inc	.9533566	.0252775	37.72	0.000	.9038072	1.002906
age	1.030777	.0591226	17.43	0.000	.9148838	1.14667
_cons	-60.69654	2.596333	-23.38	0.000	-65.78592	-55.60715

reg nettfa inc age agesq

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	9,275
Model	6567017.15	3	2189005.72	F(3, 9271)	=	646.80
Residual	31376372.3	9,271	3384.35685	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.1731
				Adj R-squared	=	0.1728
Total	37943389.5	9,274	4091.3726	Root MSE	=	58.175

nettfa	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
inc	.9782522	.0254891	38.38	0.000	.928288	1.028216
age	-2.231489	.4897118	-4.56	0.000	-3.191432	-1.271547
agesq	.0377221	.0056214	6.71	0.000	.026703	.0487413
_cons	4.680388	10.08099	0.46	0.642	-15.08056	24.44134

4.1. Test the coefficient $\beta_3 < 1$ or not?

4.2. Due to estimation result by adding the age² variable or agesq. Perform the test whether we should include the quadratic term of the age variable or not? [Test for both t-test and F-test]

5. You are conducting an empirical investigation into the median prices of houses in 506 communities of a large metropolitan area. The sample data consist of 506 observations on the following observable variables:

P_i : the median house price in community i , in dollars;

NOX_i : the level of nitrous oxide in the air of community i , in parts per 100 million;

$DIST_i$: the weighted distance of community i from municipal area, in miles;

$ROOM_i$: the average number of rooms per house in community i ;

$STRAT_i$: the average student-teacher ratio of schools in community i .

Researcher estimates the following model of median house price. The OLS estimation results for the model are given by;

$$\ln(P_i) = 11.08 - 0.9535 \ln(NOX_i) - 0.1343 \ln(DIST_i) + 0.2545 ROOM_i - 0.05245 STRAT_i$$

$$se = (0.3181) \quad (0.1167) \quad (0.04310) \quad (0.01853) \quad (0.005897)$$

$$RSS = 35.1835, TSS = 84.5822$$

5.1. Interpret each of the coefficient estimates in regression equation.

5.2. Test the individual significance of each of the slope coefficient estimates for $\ln(NOX_i)$ and $ROOM_i$.

5.3. Test the joint significance of all the slope coefficient estimates.

5.4. If researcher would like to test the proposition that the marginal effect of $\ln(NOX_i)$ on $\ln(P_i)$ equals the marginal effect of $\ln(DIST_i)$ on $\ln(P_i)$. Perform the test given that OLS estimation of this restricted regression equation yields a Residual Sum of Squares value = 41.9532.

6. Production function (Y) of the industrial sector in Thailand. It depends on the capital factor (K) and labor factor (L) in the years 1980-2010 with the following estimation.

Model 1:

$$\ln Y_i = 18.27 + 0.536 \ln L_i + 0.024 \ln K_i$$

$$R^2 = 0.9389, RSS = 0.0124$$

Model 2:

$$\ln(Y/L)_i = 2.13 + 1.12 \ln(K/L)_i$$

$$R^2 = 0.8087, RSS = 0.0153$$

6.1. Interpret the coefficients of the independent variables in models 1 and 2.

6.2. Test the hypothesis. Is the industrial production function characterized by constant return to scale?

6.3. Can we compare the R^2 value between the two regression models? Why?

7. Marc Nerlove has estimated the following cost function for electricity generation:

$$Y_i = AX_i^\beta P_{1i}^{\alpha_1} P_{2i}^{\alpha_2} P_{3i}^{\alpha_3} e^{u_i}$$

where Y = total cost of production

X = output in kilowatt hours

P_1 = price of labor input

P_2 = price of capital input

P_3 = price of fuel

and u = disturbance term

From data collection of 29 medium-sized firms to estimate the cost function of electricity generation. The estimate results are as follows:

Model 1:

$$\widehat{\ln Y_i} = -4.93 + 0.94 \ln X_i + 0.31 \ln P_{1i} - 0.26 \ln P_{2i} + 0.44 \ln P_{3i}$$

$$se = (1.96) \quad (0.11) \quad (0.23) \quad (0.29) \quad (0.07)$$

$$RSS = 0.336$$

Model 2:

$$\widehat{\ln \left(\frac{Y_i}{P_{3i}} \right)} = -6.55 + 0.91 \ln X_i + 0.51 \ln \left(\frac{P_{1i}}{P_{3i}} \right) - 0.09 \ln \left(\frac{P_{2i}}{P_{3i}} \right)$$

$$se = (0.16) \quad (0.11) \quad (0.19) \quad (0.16)$$

$$RSS = 0.364$$

7.1. Interpret the coefficients of the independent variables in models 1 and 2.

7.2. Test the hypothesis that the sum of price elasticity is one.

8. To study the relationship of demand for roses, the variable of the analysis consisted of

Q_i = demand quantity of roses sold, dozens

P_{1i} = average wholesale price of roses, \$/dozen

P_{2i} = average wholesale price of carnations, \$/dozen

I_i = average weekly family disposable income, \$/week. By considering the following

$$\ln Q_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln P_{1i} + \beta_2 \ln P_{2i} + \beta_3 \ln I_i + u_i, u_i \sim NIID(0, \sigma^2)$$

Results from STATA:

```
gen lnQ=ln(Q)
gen lnP1=ln(P1)
gen lnP2=ln(P2)
gen lnI=ln(I)
```

```
reg lnQ lnP1 lnP2 lnI
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	16
-----+-----				F(3, 12)	=	11.22
Model	1.04144485	3	.347148283	Prob > F	=	0.0008
Residual	.371153994	12	.0309295	R-squared	=	0.7373
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.6716
Total	1.41259884	15	.094173256	Root MSE	=	.17587

lnQ	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----						
lnP1	-1.856237	.3437805	-5.40	0.000	-2.60527	-1.107204
lnP2	1.454078	.5724105	2.54	0.026	.2069022	2.701253
lnI	.5595552	.9210802	0.61	0.555	-1.447306	2.566416
_cons	6.287681	4.874597	1.29	0.221	-4.333153	16.90852
-----+-----						

```
estat vce
```

Covariance matrix of coefficients of regress model

e(V)	lnP1	lnP2	lnI	_cons
-----+-----				
lnP1	.118185			
lnP2	-.10984694	.3276538		
lnI	-.14482352	.17329678	.84838865	
_cons	.75490579	-1.1797942	-4.4576995	23.761696

```
reg lnQ lnP1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	16
-----+-----				F(1, 14)	=	20.54
Model	.840063506	1	.840063506	Prob > F	=	0.0005
Residual	.572535338	14	.040895381	R-squared	=	0.5947
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.5657
Total	1.41259884	15	.094173256	Root MSE	=	.20223

lnQ	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----						
lnP1	-1.392764	.3072972	-4.53	0.000	-2.051851	-.733677
_cons	10.46203	.3478488	30.08	0.000	9.715964	11.20809
-----+-----						

8.1. From $\ln Q_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln P_{1i} + \beta_2 \ln P_{2i} + \beta_3 \ln I_i + u_i$. Interpret each of the coefficient estimates in regression equation.

8.2. Forecast the average demand for roses when the wholesale price of roses is \$ 2 per dozen, the wholesale price of carnations is \$ 3 per dozen, and the weekly family disposable income is \$ 100 per week.

8.3. What is the estimated own-price elasticity of demand, cross price elasticity, and income elasticity?

8.4. At 95% confidence level. If the wholesale price of roses changes by 1%, how will it change the demand for roses?

8.5. Test the overall significance of the observed regression.

8.6. Test the hypothesis that β_0 is no different from zero.

8.7. What independent variables influence the demand for roses?

8.8. Do the estimation results follow the law of demand?

8.9. Test the hypothesis that rose is giffen goods.

8.10. Test the hypothesis that the relationship between carnations and rose is substitution goods.

8.11. Test the hypothesis that rose is luxury goods.

8.12. Test the hypothesis that rose is inferior goods.

8.13. Test this statement that "If rose shop discounts the price of roses, will increase the total revenue of the shop".

8.14. Test the hypothesis that the demand for rose is homogeneous of degree zero function. (Hint: Homogeneous of degree zero $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 0$)

8.15. Test the hypothesis that P_{2i} and I_i are statistically insignificant by F-test. If the coefficients of P_{2i} and I_i are statistically insignificant, what may be the reasons?

8.16. What percentage of the total variation in $\ln Q_i$ explained by the regression model?

9. From an estimation of the production function of the manufacturing sector of Thailand from 1977 - 2004. Define the production function as Cobb-Douglas production function as follows: $Q_t = AL_t^{\beta_1} K_t^{\beta_2} e^{u_t}$

Where Q is the output

L is the number of workers

K is the number of machines, and u is random error

To make the above function a linear regression model, so we take natural logarithm to get a model like this: $\ln Q_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln L_t + \beta_3 \ln K_t + u_t$ where $\ln A = \beta_1$. The estimate results are as follows:

follows:

```
gen lnL=ln(L)
gen lnK=ln(K)
reg lnQ lnL lnK
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	28
Model	5.53777363	2	2.76888682	F(2, 25)	=	429.16
Residual	.161298649	25	.006451946	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.9717
				Adj R-squared	=	0.9694
Total	5.69907228	27	.211076751	Root MSE	=	.08032

lnQ	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
lnL	2.432657	.5723547	4.25	0.000	1.25387 3.611443
lnK	.1073442	.1563223	0.69	0.499	-.2146076 .429296
_cons	-12.47529	3.073452	-4.06	0.000	-18.80518 -6.145393

```
-----
estat vce
```

```
Covariance matrix of coefficients of regress model
```

e(V)	lnL	lnK	_cons
lnL	.32758988		
lnK	-.08819668	.02443666	
_cons	-1.7573674	.46956536	9.4461061

```
gen y=ln(Q/K)
```

```
gen x=ln(L/K)
```

```
reg y x
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	28
Model	.556626413	1	.556626413	F(1, 26) =	58.26
Residual	.24842021	26	.009554623	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.6914
				Adj R-squared =	0.6796
Total	.805046623	27	.029816542	Root MSE =	.09775

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
x	.3335901	.0437057	7.63	0.000	.2437517 .4234284
_cons	-1.183477	.0720469	-16.43	0.000	-1.331571 -1.035382

```
gen w=ln(Q/L)
```

```
gen v=ln(K/L)
```

```
reg w v
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	28
Model	2.22136773	1	2.22136773	F(1, 26) =	232.49
Residual	.248420242	26	.009554625	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.8994
				Adj R-squared =	0.8955
Total	2.46978797	27	.091473629	Root MSE =	.09775

w	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
v	.6664099	.0437057	15.25	0.000	.5765715 .7562482
_cons	-1.183477	.0720469	-16.43	0.000	-1.331572 -1.035382

```
reg lnQ lnL lnK if year>=1977&year<=1991
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	15
Model	2.23588558	2	1.11794279	F(2, 12) =	417.19
Residual	.032156437	12	.002679703	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.9858
				Adj R-squared =	0.9835
Total	2.26804202	14	.162003001	Root MSE =	.05177

lnQ	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
lnL	2.62096	.9696654	2.70	0.019	.508241 4.73368
lnK	.2053099	.2802493	0.73	0.478	-.4053008 .8159206
_cons	-14.16664	5.123421	-2.77	0.017	-25.32962 -3.003667

```
reg lnQ lnL lnK if year>=1992&year<=2004
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	13
--------	----	----	----	-----------------	----

-----+-----				F(2, 10)	=	14.55
Model		.019537184	2	.009768592	Prob > F	= 0.0011
Residual		.006712637	10	.000671264	R-squared	= 0.7443
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.6931
Total		.026249822	12	.002187485	Root MSE	= .02591

-----+-----						
lnQ		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
lnL		.8180791	.2438061	3.36	0.007	.2748454 1.361313
lnK		.0691826	.057894	1.19	0.260	-.0598133 .1981784
_cons		-1.14961	1.497085	-0.77	0.460	-4.485324 2.186105

9.1. From $\ln Q_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln L_t + \beta_3 \ln K_t + u_t$. Interpret each of the coefficient estimates in regression equation.

9.2. Can it be concluded that labor and capital factors influence the output? [Overall F-test]

9.3. What independent variables influence the output? [Individual t-test]

9.4. What percentage of the total variation in $\ln Q_t$ explained by the regression model?

9.5. Is the Cobb-Douglas production function in the constant returns to scale?

9.6. Is the Cobb-Douglas production function in the increasing returns to scale?

9.7. The Cold War ended in 1991. You think the Cold War that a factor in determining the output or not? [Chow test]

10. To find the relationship between aggregate consumption (C) and national income (Y) of Thailand between 1990 - 2017, the following models were determined.

$$\ln C_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln Y_t + u_t$$

The model estimate results using data between 1990 - 2017 are as follows:

Result of the first model estimation:

reg lnc lny

-----+-----				Number of obs =	28
Source		SS	df	MS	F(1, 26) = 4334.23
Model		2.37331993	1	2.37331993	Prob > F = 0.0000
Residual		.014236981	26	.000547576	R-squared = 0.9940
-----+-----				Adj R-squared =	0.9938
Total		2.38755691	27	.088428034	Root MSE = .0234

-----+-----						
lnc		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
lny		.9354626	.0142092	65.83	0.000	.9062551 .96467
_cons		.3901666	.2225224	1.75	0.091	-.0672348 .847568

Since Thailand experienced the economic crisis in 1997, the model was estimated in two separate periods: 1) Using the data between 1990 - 1996 and 2) Using the data between 1997 - 2017, the relationship estimation is as follows.

Result of the second model estimation:

reg lnc lny if t<=2539

-----+-----				Number of obs =	7
Source		SS	df	MS	F(1, 5) = 2201.60
Model		.156139595	1	.156139595	Prob > F = 0.0000
Residual		.000354605	5	.000070921	R-squared = 0.9977
-----+-----				Adj R-squared =	0.9973
Total		.1564942	6	.026082367	Root MSE = .00842

-----+-----						
lnc		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
lny		.9490458	.0202264	46.92	0.000	.8970522 1.001039

```

      _cons |   .1728113   .3089612    0.56   0.600   -.6213987   .9670213
-----+-----

```

Result of the third model estimation:

```
reg lnc lny if t>=2540
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	21
Model	.954434131	1	.954434131	F(1, 19) =	1546.46
Residual	.011726329	19	.000617175	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.9879
				Adj R-squared =	0.9872
Total	.96616046	20	.048308023	Root MSE =	.02484

lnc	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
lny	.9032613	.0229691	39.33	0.000	.8551863 .9513362
_cons	.9017561	.3626078	2.49	0.022	.1428092 1.660703

10.1. Interpret the first model estimation.

10.2. Test whether the economic crisis causes structural change between aggregate consumption and national income.