

Solution

EE 325 HW. 5 #

① From

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + u_i$$

First Alternative:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{x}_i$$

$$\text{Since } \bar{y}_i = \frac{\sum y_i}{n} = \frac{\sum [y_i - \bar{y}]}{n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum y_i}{n} - \frac{\sum \bar{y}}{n}$$

$$= \bar{y} - \bar{y} = 0$$

Also, $\bar{x}_i = 0$ as well.

Thus, $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0$ in this case, on the regression line must pass through the origin.

Second Alternative:

We know that

$$y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_i + \hat{u}_i$$

$$\sum y_i = \sum \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum x_i + \sum \hat{u}_i$$

$$\therefore n \hat{\beta}_1 = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = 0 \quad \#$$

Since we know that

$$\sum y_i = \sum x_i = 0$$

(why?)

$$\text{and } \sum \hat{u}_i = 0$$

from the normal equation.

2:

Consider the following models:

$$\ln Y_i^* = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \ln X_i^* + U_i^* \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\boxed{\ln Y_i} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \boxed{\ln X_i} + U_i \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

where $Y_i^* = w_1 Y_i$ and $X_i^* = w_2 X_i$

From (1), we can rewrite the equation as:

$$\ln(w_1 Y_i) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \ln(w_2 X_i) + U_i^*$$

$$\ln(w_1) + \ln(Y_i) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \ln(w_2) + \alpha_2 \ln(X_i) + U_i^*$$

$$\boxed{\ln(Y_i)} = \boxed{[\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \ln(w_2) - \ln(w_1)]} + \alpha_2 \boxed{\ln(X_i)} + U_i^*$$

Comparing this with the model (2), you will see that except for the intercept terms, the two models are the same.

Therefore, the estimated slope coefficients in the two models will be the same, the only difference being in the estimated intercepts.

om model ②

Define the deviation form:

$$\overline{\ln Y_i} = \frac{\sum \ln Y_i}{n}$$

$$\overline{\ln X_i} = \frac{\sum \ln X_i}{n}$$

Let $a_i = \ln X_i - \overline{\ln X_i}$

$$b_i = \ln Y_i - \overline{\ln Y_i}$$

From model ①

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\ln Y_i^*} &= \frac{\sum [\ln Y_i + \ln w_1]}{n} = \frac{\sum \ln Y_i}{n} + \ln w_1 \\ &= \overline{\ln Y_i} + \ln w_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\ln X_i^*} &= \frac{\sum [\ln X_i + \ln w_2]}{n} = \frac{\sum \ln X_i}{n} + \ln w_2 \\ &= \overline{\ln X_i} + \ln w_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_i = \ln X_i^* - \overline{\ln X_i^*}$

$$c_i = \ln X_i + \ln w_2 - \overline{\ln X_i} - \ln w_2$$

$$c_i = \ln X_i - \overline{\ln X_i} = a_i$$

We can also show that

$$d_i = \ln Y_i^* - \overline{\ln Y_i^*} = \ln Y_i - \overline{\ln Y_i} = b_i$$

(3)

$$\text{for } \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sum a_i^2}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sum (\ln x_i)^2}{n \sum a_i^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum \hat{u}_i^2}{n-2}$$

$$\text{for } \text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_1) = \frac{\sum (\ln x_i^*)^2}{n \sum c_i^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{*2}$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}^{*2} = \frac{\sum \hat{u}_i^{*2}}{n-2} = \hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$= \frac{\sum [\ln x_i + \ln w_2]^2}{n \sum c_i^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{*2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum [(\ln x_i)^2 + 2 \ln x_i \ln w_2 + (\ln w_2)^2]}{n \sum c_i^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{*2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum (\ln x_i)^2 \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{*2}}{n \sum c_i^2} + \frac{[2 \sum \ln x_i \ln w_2 + \sum (\ln w_2)^2] \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{*2}}{n \cdot \sum c_i^2}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_1) = \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) + \frac{[2 \sum \ln x_i \ln w_2 + \sum (\ln w_2)^2] \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{*2}}{n \cdot \sum c_i^2}$$

we can also show that

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_2) \quad \#$$

For r^2 from model ②

$$r^2 = \frac{\hat{B}_2^2 \sum a_i^2}{\sum b_i^2}$$

For r^2 from model ③

$$r^2 = \frac{\hat{\alpha}_2^2 \sum c_i^2}{\sum d_i^2}$$

since $\hat{B}_2 = \hat{\alpha}_2$ and $a_i = c_i$, $b_i = d_i$

\therefore the r^2 from these two models are the same #.

$$\hat{\alpha}_2 = 3.5 \quad \hat{\alpha}_1 = -3$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_3 = -1.357 \quad \hat{\lambda}_1 = 4$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = 2 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 = 1 \quad \hat{\beta}_3 = -1$$

$$\therefore \hat{\alpha}_2 \neq \hat{\beta}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\lambda}_3 \neq \hat{\beta}_3$$

$$\text{For } \hat{\alpha}_2 \neq \hat{\beta}_2$$

since $\hat{\beta}_2$ takes x_{3i} into account in the regression model,

$\hat{\beta}_2$ is used to measure the change in the mean value of Y , $E[Y]$

per unit change in x_2 , holding the value of x_3 constant.

However, $\hat{\alpha}_2$ measures the change in the mean value of Y ,

$E[Y]$ per unit change in x_2 solely.

#

$$\text{For } \hat{\lambda}_3 \neq \hat{\beta}_3$$

\Rightarrow Same reason.

#