Beta & Return

According to Fama, beta as the primary variable explaining stock return is extinct. In addition, he claims that the relationship between average return and beta is absolutely flat. Black, Jensen, and Scholes [BJS, 1972] and Miller, Scholes and Miller [1972] find that low-beta stocks did better than the capital asset pricing model predicts. With enough data mining, all of the seemingly important outcomes might be coincidental. Data mining is most severe when a large number of people are researching connected subjects. A researcher can lessen the consequences of a single study by reporting all of the runs he does. The "size impact" might fall under this category. Banz[1981] discovers that businesses with a small number of outstanding shares (market value) outperform other equities with similar betas.Small enterprises' performance has been average and inconsistent since his report was released. The more sophisticated our selection procedure, the more likely we are to introduce data mining bias. BJS adopted a fairly simple portfolio approach to reduce the data mining problem. I can't conceive of a reliable technique to separate total risk from residual risk across stocks. The portfolio strategy used to compute the beta factor is the primary test in BJS. This technique implicitly accounts for all covariances that GRS explicitly calculates, but it offers minimal room for data mining.
In this article, I estimate portfolio beta, alpha, and residual risk using the Black-Scholes approach. Black and Scholes calculate beta for the final portfolio in the same way that they calculate alpha. In theory, this might allow them to increase the efficiency of the BJS ``significance tests." The beta of a company's stock is determined by both its asset beta and its leverage. Even if the debt is below investment grade, exchanging it for equity increases leverage. For investments that are based on betas, a company should employ discount rates. Announcements of beta's "death" appear premature. Utilize the standard CAPM to evaluate its investments, and use a lot of leverage. Because of their positive alphas, low-beta assets will appear appealing. Even if the line is flat, beta is an essential tool for making investment decisions.

The equity premium

Over the period 1889-1978, the average real annual yield on the Standard and Poor 500 Index was 7%, while the average yield on short-term debt was less than 1%. Prescott and Mehra: The equity premium friction will properly account for the substantial average equity premium. The article is a quantitative theoretical exercise aimed at answering a specific topic. Our findings are not affected by non-stationarities in the means and variances of the economies' development processes. The basic class of economies analyzed is well suited to the subject given but not well adapted to other challenges such as asset price volatility. This study's data set consists of five fundamental series spanning the years 1889-1978. The first four are the same as those used in Grossman and Shiller's (1981) research. Series C was utilized to calculate the process based on the rate of consumption increase during the same time period. We use a variant of Lucas' (1978) pure exchange model in this study. We suppose that the endowment's growth rate is determined by a Markov process. Our assumption allows us to account for the non-stationarity in the consumption series caused by the huge rise in per capita consumption. The set of values of the average risk-free rate and equity risk premium is shown using the equity premium convention. These are the values that may be attained by adjusting the preference settings.The observed real return of 0.80% and equity premium of 6% are plainly in conflict with the model's projections. The theory implicitly takes into account effective after-tax returns that differ between income levels. We investigated if aggregation impacts the outcomes when the growth rates are independent between eras. The effect of changing the underlying time period from one tenth of a year to two years was minimal. We discovered that adjustments in the average growth rate of consumption had no effect on the results, with falls to 1.4 percent or rises to 2.2 percent having no effect on the disagreement. The sensitivity to 6, the standard deviation of the rate of consumption increase, is greater.Our model's securities pricing does not correlate to ordinary equities sold in the US economy. In our model, there is only one form of capital, although in reality, there is a virtual continuum of capital types with vastly varied risk characteristics. We price and compute the risk premium for a security whose payout for the following period equals actual output less a proportion of predicted output. The failure of our model is due to its inability to generate average returns that are near to those observed, rather than the acceptance or rejection of a statistical hypothesis. With our structure, the endowment process is external, and there is no capital accumulation or production. Changing the technology to allow for these options will not change our findings. The failure of our model is due to its inability to generate average returns that are near to those observed, rather than the acceptance or rejection of a statistical hypothesis. With our structure, the endowment process is external, and there is no capital accumulation or production. Changing the technology to allow for these options will not change our findings.[1]

[1] Tanaphat Chanweerawong 6104641110