

6224641617

Kompet Adhoksakul

1.

 S_i : the price of the underlying asset at date i D_0 : date 0 present value of dividends $\text{py } 0-1$ F_{02} : forward price

$$f_2 = S_2 - F_{02}$$

$$\text{SDF ; } E_0[m_{02}, f_2] = E_0[m_{02}(S_2 - F_{02})] = E[m_{02}S_2] - E[m_{02}F_{02}]$$

$$S_0 = E_0[m_{01}D_1] + E_0[m_{02}S_2] = D_0 + E_0[m_{02}S_2]$$

$$E[m_{02}F_{02}] = E[m_{02}]F_{02} = R_f^{-2}F_{02}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then we have } E_0[m_{02}f_2] &= E_0[m_{02}S_2] - E_0[m_{02}F_{02}] \\ &= S_0 - D_0 - R_f^{-2}F_{02} \end{aligned}$$

The absence of arbitrage implies that the forward price satisfies
 $F_{02} = R_f^2 (S_0 - D_0) \rightarrow E_0[m_{02}f_2] = 0$

2.

$$P_0 = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_C(C_{t+1}, t)}{U_C(C_0, 0)} d_C \right] = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta^t e^{-\alpha(d_t - d_0)} d_t \right] \quad \#$$

$$3. \quad P_t = E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} \right)^{\gamma-1} d_{t+j} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_t / d_t &= E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}^*}{C_t^*} \right)^{\gamma-1} \left(\frac{d_{t+j}}{d_t} \right) \right] \\ &= E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{(\gamma-1) [\ln(C_{t+j}/C_t) + \ln(d_{t+j}/d_t)]} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln(C_{t+j}/C_t) = j \cdot \mu_c + \delta_c \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i}$$

$$\ln(d_{t+j}/d_t) = j \cdot \mu_d + \delta_d \sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{t+i}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} P_t / d_t &= E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{(\gamma-1) (j \mu_c + \delta_c \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_{t+i}) + j \mu_d + \delta_d \sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{t+i}} \right] \\ &= E_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{(j(\gamma-1)\mu_c + \mu_c) + \sum_{i=1}^j [(j-1)\delta_c \eta_{t+i} + \delta_d \varepsilon_{t+i}]} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j e^{(j(\gamma-1)\mu_c + \mu_c)} e^{\frac{j}{2} [(1-\gamma)^2 \delta_c^2 + \delta_d^2 - 2(1-\gamma)\delta_c \delta_d \rho]} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{j[\ln \delta - (1-\gamma)\mu_c + \mu_d + \frac{1}{2} ((1-\gamma)^2 \delta_c^2 + \delta_d^2) - (1-\gamma)\delta_c \delta_d \rho]} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \delta e^{-(1-\gamma)\mu_c + \mu_d + \frac{1}{2} ((1-\gamma)^2 \delta_c^2 + \delta_d^2) - (1-\gamma)\delta_c \delta_d \rho}} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } P_t = d_t \frac{\delta e^{\alpha}}{1 - \delta e^{\alpha}}$$

where

$$\alpha \equiv \mu_d - (1-\gamma)\mu_c + \frac{1}{2} [(1-\gamma)^2 \delta_c^2 + \delta_d^2] - (1-\gamma)\delta_c \delta_d \rho \quad \neq$$

4
a. check that (2) satisfies $E_t[b_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$

$$E_t[b_{t+1}] = \frac{1+q}{q_t} b_t + E_t[e_{t+1}] q_t + (1-q_t) E_t[z_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$$

so it is a valid solution #

b. since $E_t[b_{t+1}] = R_f b_t$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E_t[b_{t+i}] = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } b_t > 0 \\ -\infty & \text{if } b_t < 0 \end{cases}$$

/ For limited liability assets, such as oil, we cannot have a bubble path

with a price becoming negative, so we need to consider only bubbles with $b_t > 0$.

In this case, we see from the above equation (*) that for a bubble solution to exist the bubble component must be expected to increase infinitely. But this cannot be a rational expectation if there is an upperbound on the price of oil, as would be the case if there was a perfect substitute in perfectly elastic supply.

Thus, since p_t cannot rise above p_{solar} , b_t cannot rise above $p_{solar} - p_t^*$.

Thus, a bubble path where b_t must be expected to increase to infinity cannot possibly occur. #

C. For similar reasons, a rational speculative bubble cannot exist for the price of a bond. Since, at maturity, the bond's price must be $p_T = d_T$ and zero date T its price cannot rationally be expected to satisfy equation (*) and increase infinitely.

Thus, a bubble path is invalid, and the only rational price is $p_t = p_t^*$ #

9.

With the economy, and therefore assets (or asset markets), having a finite horizon, asset price could not have the form $p_t = f_t + b_t$ with $b_t \neq 0$ because at date T $p_T = f_T = d_T$ which is an asset's final dividend payment, since $b_T = 0$ with certainty.

Then the bubble process $E_t[b_{t+1}] = \delta^{-1} b_t$ implies $E_{T-1}[b_T] = E_{T-1}[0] = \delta^{-1} b_{T-1}$ or $b_{T-1} = 0$. A similar argument implies $b_t = 0$ for all previous dates, $t < T-1$. #