

## Assignment 4 Panel Data

**Due: 22/9/2020**

The study on capital structure of Thai companies listed in the stock exchange market of Thailand (SET) employs the following regression models:

### 1. Panel Data Model

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \beta_3 x_{3it} + \beta_4 x_{4it} + \beta_5 x_{5it} + \beta_6 x_{6it} + \beta_7 x_{7it} + u_{it} \quad (1)$$

where:

$y_{it}$  = leverage of firm  $i$  in year  $t$

$x_{1it}$  = size of firm determined by log of total revenue

$x_{2it}$  = tangibles asset of the firm determined by log of tangible assets plus inventories divided by total book assets

$x_{3it}$  = profitability index determined by return on assets

$x_{4it}$  = non-debt tax shields determined by depreciation divided by total assets

$x_{5it}$  = growth rate of the firm determined by book value of asset plus market value of equity minus book value of equity then divided by book value of asset

$x_{6it}$  = risk of the firm determined by square of deviation from mean of return on asset at period  $t$

$x_{7it}$  = dividend payment equals to 1 if firm paid dividend at period  $t$  or equals to 0 if no dividend paid

### 2. Fixed Effects Model

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \beta_3 x_{3it} + \beta_4 x_{4it} + \beta_5 x_{5it} + \beta_6 x_{6it} + \beta_7 x_{7it} + u_{it} \quad (2)$$

where:

$\alpha_i$  = Cross-sectional fixed effects

### 3. Random Effects Model

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1it} + \beta_2 x_{2it} + \beta_3 x_{3it} + \beta_4 x_{4it} + \beta_5 x_{5it} + \beta_6 x_{6it} + \beta_7 x_{7it} + u_{it} \quad (3)$$

and  $u_{it} = v_i + \varepsilon_{it}$

where:  $v_i$  = Cross-section random effects

$\varepsilon_{it}$  = residual terms

From the given data set (Assignment 4.dta):

1. Estimate model (1) using Panel Least Squares estimation method and PGLS assuming Heteroskedasticity, and test whether there exists Heteroskedasticity problem.
2. Estimate the above three models including Panel Least Squares model, Fixed effects model, and Random-effects model. Perform fixed effects tests and random effects test, also state null hypothesis of the tests. Then, determine the most appropriated model. Also, give explanation of the choosing criterion (perform the tests), and make interpretation of the estimated models.

1. . xtgls y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7, igls panels(heteroskedastic) nolog

Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares  
Panels: heteroskedastic  
Correlation: no autocorrelation

Estimated covariances	=	255	Number of obs	=	1,275
Estimated autocorrelations	=	0	Number of groups	=	255
Estimated coefficients	=	8	Time periods	=	5
			Wald chi2(7)	=	3850.64
Log likelihood	=	519.361	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	.0834067	.0045748	18.23	0.000	.0744403	.0923732
x2	.0163976	.0032919	4.98	0.000	.0099456	.0228497
x3	-.3631261	.0505673	-7.18	0.000	-.4622362	-.264016
x4	.3191455	.1093883	2.92	0.004	.1047484	.5335426
x5	-.1093301	.0043601	-25.07	0.000	-.1178758	-.1007844
x6	.1361732	.0275038	4.95	0.000	.0822667	.1900797
x7	-.2282655	.0063087	-36.18	0.000	-.2406303	-.2159007
_cons	-.037658	.0426502	-0.88	0.377	-.1212509	.0459349

. est store het

. xtgls y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7

Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares  
Panels: homoskedastic  
Correlation: no autocorrelation

Estimated covariances	=	1	Number of obs	=	1,275
Estimated autocorrelations	=	0	Number of groups	=	255
Estimated coefficients	=	8	Time periods	=	5
			Wald chi2(7)	=	899.95
Log likelihood	=	209.4322	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	.0794732	.0093881	8.47	0.000	.0610728	.0978735
x2	.0183375	.0051421	3.57	0.000	.0082592	.0284158
x3	.0977707	.0526329	1.86	0.063	-.0053879	.2009293
x4	.0873838	.2186064	0.40	0.689	-.3410767	.5158444
x5	-.1076457	.0069214	-15.55	0.000	-.1212114	-.0940801
x6	-.1432493	.0186811	-7.67	0.000	-.1798637	-.106635
x7	-.2712849	.011995	-22.62	0.000	-.2947946	-.2477753
_cons	-.0107965	.0860341	-0.13	0.900	-.1794201	.1578272

```
. est store pgl
```

```
. local df=e(N_g)-1
```

```
. lrtest het, df(`df')
```

```
Likelihood-ratio test                                LR chi2(254)=    619.86
(Assumption: pgl nested in het)                    Prob > chi2 =    0.0000
```

Since p-value  $< 0.05$ ,  $H_0$  is rejected.  $\therefore$  Heteroskedasticity problem is significant.

2.

```
. xtgls y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7
```

Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares  
 Panels: homoskedastic  
 Correlation: no autocorrelation

```
Estimated covariances = 1          Number of obs = 1,275
Estimated autocorrelations = 0      Number of groups = 255
Estimated coefficients = 8          Time periods = 5
Log likelihood = 209.4322           Wald chi2(7) = 899.95
                                   Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	.0794732	.0093881	8.47	0.000	.0610728	.0978735
x2	.0183375	.0051421	3.57	0.000	.0082592	.0284158
x3	.0977707	.0526329	1.86	0.063	-.0053879	.2009293
x4	.0873838	.2186064	0.40	0.689	-.3410767	.5158444
x5	-.1076457	.0069214	-15.55	0.000	-.1212114	-.0940801
x6	-.1432493	.0186811	-7.67	0.000	-.1798637	-.106635
x7	-.2712849	.011995	-22.62	0.000	-.2947946	-.2477753
_cons	-.0107965	.0860341	-0.13	0.900	-.1794201	.1578272

```
. xtreg y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7, fe
```

Fixed-effects (within) regression  
 Group variable: crossid  
 Number of obs = 1,275  
 Number of groups = 255

R-sq: within = 0.3772  
 between = 0.1103  
 overall = 0.1644

Obs per group: min = 5  
 avg = 5.0  
 max = 5

corr(u\_i, Xb) = -0.2003  
 F(7,1013) = 87.64  
 Prob > F = 0.0000

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	-.1256447	.0180942	-6.94	0.000	-.161151	-.0901384
x2	.0123739	.008023	1.54	0.123	-.0033697	.0281176
x3	.0747825	.039773	1.88	0.060	-.0032643	.1528293
x4	.6493144	.2855092	2.27	0.023	.0890573	1.209572
x5	-.1104883	.0061097	-18.08	0.000	-.1224773	-.0984992
x6	-.1461423	.0141035	-10.36	0.000	-.1738178	-.1184669
x7	-.0951497	.0121853	-7.81	0.000	-.1190611	-.0712383
_cons	1.756067	.1658407	10.59	0.000	1.430636	2.081497

sigma\_u .22676694  
 sigma\_e .11725953  
 rho .78902632 (fraction of variance due to u\_i)

$H_0$  is rejected  
 $\therefore$  FE exists  
 ↓  
 $< 0.05$

F test that all u\_i=0: F(254, 1013) = 11.40 Prob > F = 0.0000

. xtreg y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7, re

```

Random-effects GLS regression           Number of obs   =       1,275
Group variable: crossid                 Number of groups =        255

R-sq:                                   Obs per group:
    within = 0.3492                       min =           5
    between = 0.3404                      avg =          5.0
    overall = 0.3377                      max =           5

corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)                Wald chi2(7)    =       663.43
                                           Prob > chi2     =       0.0000

```

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	-.0145018	.0133366	-1.09	0.277	-.040641	.0116375
x2	.0146948	.0064463	2.28	0.023	.0020604	.0273292
x3	.0985565	.0399464	2.47	0.014	.020263	.1768501
x4	.4693539	.2493856	1.88	0.060	-.0194329	.9581407
x5	-.1117985	.005959	-18.76	0.000	-.1234779	-.100119
x6	-.1541318	.014125	-10.91	0.000	-.1818163	-.1264472
x7	-.1494529	.0115006	-13.00	0.000	-.1719937	-.1269122
_cons	.7714573	.1226841	6.29	0.000	.5310009	1.011914
sigma_u	.15944933					
sigma_e	.11725953					
rho	.64900604	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

. hausman fixed random

	Coefficients			
	(b) fixed	(B) random	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
x1	-.1256447	-.0145018	-.1111429	.0122284
x2	.0123739	.0146948	-.0023208	.0047765
x3	.0747825	.0985565	-.0237741	.
x4	.6493144	.4693539	.1799605	.1390048
x5	-.1104883	-.1117985	.0013102	.0013484
x6	-.1461423	-.1541318	.0079894	.
x7	-.0951497	-.1494529	.0543033	.0040273

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg  
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

```

chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
         = 190.39
Prob>chi2 = 0.0000
(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

```

Since p-value of  $\chi^2 = 190.39$  is less than 0.05  
 $\therefore H_0$  is rejected which means fixed effects estimation is more appropriated.