

# first assignment - 6304640532

$$\textcircled{1} Q(p) = p^\epsilon$$

a) Elasticity of demand  $E^d = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta P} \times \frac{P}{Q}$   
Demand function  $Q(p) = p^\epsilon$ ;  $p = \sqrt[\epsilon]{Q \cdot P}$   
 $\frac{dQ}{dP} = \epsilon P^{\epsilon-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} E^d &= \frac{dQ}{dP} \times \frac{P}{Q} \\ &= (\epsilon P^{\epsilon-1}) \times \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \\ &= \frac{(\epsilon P^{\epsilon-1} \times P)}{Q} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon P^\epsilon}{Q} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon (\sqrt[\epsilon]{Q})^\epsilon}{Q} \end{aligned}$$

$$E^d = \epsilon$$

b)  $MC=1$ ,  $\epsilon=-2$ , find profit maximizing  
Profit Maximizing when  $MR=MC$   
Demand function  $P = \sqrt[2]{Q}$

$$\begin{aligned} TR &= P \times Q \\ &= (\sqrt[2]{Q})(Q) \\ &= (Q^{\frac{1}{2}})(Q) \\ &= Q^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$MR = \frac{dTR}{dQ} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{Q}}$$

$$MR = MC$$

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{Q}} = 1$$

$$\sqrt{Q} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{4}$$

$\therefore$  profit maximizing price;  $P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} = P = 2 //$

② demand function  $Q(P) = 10 - P$

$$MC = 0$$

$$Q = 5 / \text{firm}$$

$$Q = 0.05 / 100 \text{ firms}$$

monopoly

Profit maximizing when  $MR = MC$

Demand function  $Q = 10 - P, P = 10 - Q$

$$TR = P \times Q$$

$$TR = (10 - Q)(Q)$$

$$TR = (10Q - Q^2)$$

$$MR = \frac{dTR}{dQ} = 10 - 2Q = 0$$

$$Q = 5 \text{ units} = 1 \text{ firm}$$

Competitive

Profit maximizing when  $MR = P$

$$0 = 10 - Q$$

$$Q = 10 \text{ units} = \text{two firms}$$

Yes, Monopoly sells less output than a competitive //

③ Problem 8, Chapter 13

a) Cournot equilibrium firm output

The 1st firm

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 &= (P \times Q_1) - C_1 \\ &= 448 - (Q_1 + Q_2)Q_1 - Q_1^2 \\ &= (448 - Q_1 - Q_2)Q_1 - Q_1^2 \\ &= 448Q_1 - 2Q_1^2 - Q_1Q_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\pi_1}{dQ_1} = 448 - 4Q_1 - Q_2 = 0$$

$$Q_1 = \frac{448 - Q_2}{4} \quad \text{①}$$

2nd firm

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2 &= (P)(Q_2) - C_2 \\ &= (448 - (Q_1 + Q_2))Q_2 - Q_2^2 \\ &= 448Q_2 - Q_1Q_2 - 2Q_2^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\pi_2}{dQ_2} = 448 - Q_1 - 4Q_2 = 0$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{448 - Q_1}{4} \quad \text{②}$$

substituting ② into ①

$$Q_1 = \frac{448 - \left(\frac{448 - Q_1}{4}\right)}{4}$$

$$4Q_1 = 448 - \frac{448}{4} + \frac{Q_1}{4}$$

$$3.75Q_1 = 336$$

$$Q_1^* = 89.6 \quad ; \quad 448 - (89.6 + 89.6)$$

$$Q_2^* = 89.6$$

$$P^* = 268.8$$

$$\text{Profit of each firm} = \pi_1 = (448 - (89.6 + 89.6))(89.6) - (89.6)^2$$

$$\pi_2 = \pi_1 = 16056.32 \quad //$$

b) Stackelberg equilibrium from output

$$P = 118 - Q, Q = Q_1 + Q_2$$

$$P = 448 - (Q_1 + Q_2), C_1 = Q_1^2, C_2 = Q_2^2$$

$$MC_1 = 2Q_1, MC_2 = 2Q_2$$

1<sup>st</sup> firm

$$TR_1 = P \times Q_1$$

$$= 448Q_1 - Q_1^2 - Q_1Q_2$$

$$MR_1 = \frac{dTR_1}{dQ_1} = 448 - 2Q_1 - Q_2$$

At profit maximizing  $MR_1 = MC_1$

$$448 - 2Q_1 - Q_2 = 2Q_1$$

$$4Q_1 + Q_2 = 448 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

2<sup>nd</sup> firm

$$TR_2 = P \times Q_2$$

$$= (448 - Q_1 - Q_2)(Q_2)$$

$$= 448Q_2 - Q_1Q_2 - Q_2^2$$

$$MR_2 = \frac{dTR_2}{dQ_2} = 448 - Q_1 - 2Q_2$$

At profit maximizing  $MR_2 = MC_2$

$$448 - Q_1 - 2Q_2 = 2Q_2$$

$$4Q_2 + Q_1 = 448 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\text{(2)} \times 4; 16Q_2 + 4Q_1 = 1792 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\text{(3)} - \text{(1)}; 15Q_2 = 1344$$

$$Q_2^* = 89.6$$

$$Q_1^* = 89.6$$

$$P^* = 448 - (Q_1 + Q_2) = 268.8$$

$$\Pi_1 - \Pi_2 = 268.8 \times 89.6 = 16,056.32 //$$

4

A dominant firm is considered as a firm that accounts for a significant share in a given market and also, market share is significantly considered above 40 percent of a whole of market share. Furthermore, a dominant firm basically holds at least 40 percent of total market share, so it is considered as a price maker, or price leadership. An instance of dominant firm is Apple that is a leader of multinational technology company in electronic software industry. Apple computer company holds 60 percent of market share of smartphone industry in 2021.

Meanwhile, a fringe firms are defined as a group of small enterprises with small price taking and they typically follow a dominant firm in a same market. On the other hand, a dominant firm sets a price and fringe firms take it as given. Furthermore, each fringe firm is usually small market share although all fringe firms can have a considerable market share, while it is likely to be less than a dominant firm's market share. The instance of fringe firms, such as Oppo, Samsung, and Vivo mobile phones.