

Assignment #1

Instructions:

- For all questions, answer up to 4 decimal places.
- This assignment is due on **Tuesday, Mar 9, 2021 before class (11.00)**.
- Write your answer in either digital or ordinary paper. For digital paper, export pages into a single PDF file. For ordinary paper, take photos of your writing and convert them into a single PDF file as well.
- There is no need to rewrite the question. Assign number item, ie. 1 a., clearly before your answer is sufficient.
- Submit your assignment into Moodle.
- Name your file as StudentID_Nickname (in Thai) such as 123456789_๑๒

1. Given this information

$$\begin{array}{l} n = 30 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 366 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = 631 \qquad \bar{X} = 12.20 \qquad \bar{Y} = 21.03 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i)^2 = 5,564 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i = 7,524 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = 1098.8 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = 882.97 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}) = -174.20 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = 873.14 \end{array}$$

Answer the following questions. Show your work.

- From regression model: $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + u_i$, $u_i \sim NIID(0, \sigma^2)$ (normally, identically and independently distributed), find the estimators of β_1 and β_2 with OLS method and explain the meaning of the model.
- Find r^2 and explain its meaning.
- If $X_i = 5$, estimate the value of \hat{Y}_i and explain its meaning.
- Find the estimators of $\text{var}(u_i)$, $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ and $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2)$
- Test the hypothesis whether coefficients are different from zero at 0.05 level of significance.
- Test the hypothesis whether coefficients are less than zero at 0.01 level of significance.

2. Given that Y is market price of a car (USD) while X is how long a car aged (years), results of the regression are as follows.

$$\hat{Y}_i = 7,836 - 502.4X_i$$

(52) (411.8)

Given that u_i is normally, identically and independently distributed with zero mean and σ^2 variance, total number of observations is 11,

$$\bar{X} = 7.45,$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = 212,877,$$

$$\sum(X_i - \bar{X})^2 = 78.73,$$

Answer the following questions. Show your work.

- a) Does the sign of $\hat{\beta}_2$ make economic sense? Provide your explanation.
- b) If you are a car expert and someone asks you to estimate how much his car will be **averagely** priced at when his car is 5 years old, how much is the market price range that you would estimate that you can make sure that for 95% of the time, market price will be within the specific range?
- c) If you multiply all the X with 10, report the new SRF with the standard error resulted from the multiplication.
- d) Calculate the elasticity of market price when a car is 10 years old.

1.

Part a. OLS MODEL

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= \bar{y} - \beta_2 \bar{x} \\ &= 21.03 - (-0.1585)(12.20) \\ &= 22.9637\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2 &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sum x_i^2} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\ &= \frac{-174.20}{1094.8} = -0.1585\end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_1 = 22.9637 \quad \beta_2 = -0.1585$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$y_i = 22.9637 \text{ when } x = 0$$

↳ y_i will increase about -0.1585 after x_i increase by 1

$$\begin{aligned}b.) \quad r^2 &= \frac{ESS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{\sum \hat{u}_i^2}{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{873.14}{882.97} = 0.0111\end{aligned}$$

i. The variation in y "0.0111" can explain by the variation in x , and the remaining 0.9889 is unexplained.

$$c.) \quad \hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}_1 &= 22.9637 + (-0.1585)(5) \\ &= 22.1712\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{y}_i \text{ will equal to } 22.1712 \text{ when } x_i = 5$$

$$D.) \text{ var}(u_i) = \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum u_i^2}{n-k}$$

$$= \frac{873.14}{30-2} = 31.1835$$

$$\sum x_i^2 = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 1098.8$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \hat{\sigma}_{\beta_1}^2 = \frac{\sum x_i^2 \hat{\sigma}^2}{n \sum x_i^2} = \frac{(5564)(31.1835)}{(30)(1098.8)} = 52.634$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \hat{\sigma}_{\beta_2}^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sum x_i^2} = \frac{31.1835}{1098.8} = 0.2840$$

E.) $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$ null hypothesis
 $\beta_2 \neq 0$ alternative hypothesis


$$\alpha = 0.05 \quad d.f. = 28$$

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_2}}$$

$$= \frac{-0.1585}{0.1085}$$

$$= 0.9407$$

$$t_{\text{cal}} = 0.9407$$



lower bound: $t_{\alpha/2} = -2.048$

upper bound: $t_{\alpha/2} = 2.048$


$\therefore H_0$ is not rejected
 due to t_{cal} that in the
 area of acceptance.

$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ null hypothesis
 $\beta_1 \neq 0$ alternative hypothesis

$$\alpha = 0.05 \quad d.f. = 28$$

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_1}}$$

$$= \frac{22.9641 - 0}{2.2942} = 10.0096$$



lower bound: $t_{\alpha/2} = -2.048$

upper bound: $t_{\alpha/2} = 2.048$

$\therefore H_0$ is rejected, because


t_{cal} is in the area of rejection.

f.) $H_0: \beta_2 \leq 0$ null hypothesis

$H_1: \beta_2 > 0$ alternative hypothesis

$\alpha = 0.01$ $d, f_1 = 28$

$$t_{cal} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_2}} = \frac{0.1595 - 0}{0.1085} = 0.9407$$



Upper bound $= t_{0.01} = 2.467$


$\therefore H_0$ is not rejected, because t_{cal} is in the area of acceptance.

$H_0: \beta_1 \leq 0$ null hypothesis

$H_1: \beta_1 > 0$ alternative hypothesis

$\alpha = 0.01$ $d, f_1 = 28$

$$t_{cal} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_2}} = \frac{22.9641 - 0}{2.2942} = 10.0096$$



Upper bound $t_{0.01} = 2.467$

$\therefore H_0$ is rejected, because t_{cal} is in the area of rejection.

2.

a.) Agree, because the regression function have negative slope as x increased, y will also decrease.

b.) $E(y | x_0 = 5) = 7,930 - 502.4(5)$

$\hat{y}_0 = 7,930 - 2,512$
 $= 5,324$

$var(\hat{y}_0) = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$

$\hat{\sigma}^2(\hat{y}_0) = (212,477) \left[\frac{1}{11} + \frac{(5 - 7.45)^2}{78.73} \right]$

$= 35,582.5355$
 $\hat{\sigma}(\hat{y}_0) = 188.0333$

$\therefore d = 0.05$

$n - k - 2 = 9$

$P_r [5,324 - 2.202(188.0333) \leq y_0 \leq 5,324 + 2.202(188.0333)]$

$= P_r [4,997.315 \leq Y_0 \leq 5,750.695]$

$= 0.95$ or 95%

c.) SRF ; $\hat{y}_i = 7,930 - 50.2 + (10x)$

d.) $x = 10$ $y = 2,912$

$\frac{dy}{dx} \cdot \frac{x}{y} = 502.4 \times \frac{10}{2,912}$

$= 1.7866$