

### Assignment 1

From the given data set, estimate the following models:

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

$$\text{CAPM:} \quad r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1}r_{mt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (1)$$

Fama & French three-factor Model (FF)

$$\text{Fama \& French:} \quad r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1}r_{mt} + \beta_{j2}r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3}r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (2)$$

Where:

- $r_{jt}$  = excess return on portfolio  $j$  at time  $t$  and
- $r_{mt}$  = excess return on market portfolio at time  $t$  – representing market risk premium.
- $r_{smbt}$  = return on a small-stock portfolio minus the return on a large-stock portfolio (Small Minus Big) at time  $t$  – representing size premium.
- $r_{hmlt}$  = return on a value-stock portfolio minus the return on a growth-stock portfolio (High Minus Low) at time  $t$  – representing value premium.

- (1) Determine whether there exists significant Jensen Alpha.
- (2) Determine whether portfolio  $j$  has the same risk as the market.
- (3) Determine whether there exists significant size premium.
- (4) Determine whether there exists significant growth (value) premium.
- (5) Compare CAPM and FF models and determine which model is the most appropriated model. why?

To study calendar effect (January effects) from the data set, estimate the following models:

$$r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \gamma_j D_{1t} + \beta_{j1}r_{mt} + \beta_{j2}r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3}r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (3)$$

where:  $D_{1t} = 1$  on January and  $= 0$  otherwise.

- (6) Determine whether there exist significant January effects.
- (7) Perform Chow-test whether January and other month share the same structure of the Fama-French model (2).

$$\text{CAPM: } r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (1)$$

CAPM Model

```
. reg rj rm
```

| Source   | SS         | df    | MS         | Number of obs = 11959  |  |  |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Model    | 11441.6298 | 1     | 11441.6298 | F( 1, 11957) = 5984.04 |  |  |
| Residual | 22862.0841 | 11957 | 1.91202509 | Prob > F = 0.0000      |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | R-squared = 0.3335     |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | Adj R-squared = 0.3335 |  |  |
| Total    | 34303.7139 | 11958 | 2.86868321 | Root MSE = 1.3828      |  |  |

  

| rj    | Coef.    | Std. Err. | t     | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |          |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| rm    | .9943772 | .0128545  | 77.36 | 0.000 | .9691804             | 1.019574 |
| _cons | .021087  | .012656   | 1.67  | 0.096 | -.0037207            | .0458948 |

```
. test rm=1
```

```
( 1) rm = 1
```

```
F( 1, 11957) = 0.19
Prob > F = 0.6618
```

$$\text{Fama \& French: } r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \beta_{j2} r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3} r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (2)$$

Fama & French (FF) Model

```
. reg rj rm smb hml
```

| Source   | SS         | df    | MS         | Number of obs = 11959  |  |  |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Model    | 11670.5367 | 3     | 3890.1789  | F( 3, 11955) = 2054.82 |  |  |
| Residual | 22633.1772 | 11955 | 1.89319759 | Prob > F = 0.0000      |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | R-squared = 0.3402     |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | Adj R-squared = 0.3400 |  |  |
| Total    | 34303.7139 | 11958 | 2.86868321 | Root MSE = 1.3759      |  |  |

  

| rj    | Coef.    | Std. Err. | t     | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |          |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| rm    | 1.00514  | .0128287  | 78.35 | 0.000 | .9799932             | 1.030286 |
| smb   | .0365574 | .0061197  | 5.97  | 0.000 | .0245618             | .048553  |
| hml   | .0561834 | .0060908  | 9.22  | 0.000 | .0442445             | .0681223 |
| _cons | .0199832 | .0125944  | 1.59  | 0.113 | -.004704             | .0446703 |

```
. test rm=1
```

```
( 1) rm = 1
```

```
F( 1, 11955) = 0.16
Prob > F = 0.6887
```

- (1) Determine whether there exists significant Jensen Alpha.  
According to CAPM, Jensen Alpha is insignificant ( $t=1.67$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.096$ ).  
Also, based on FF, there is no Jensen Alpha ( $t=1.59$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.113$ ).
- (2) Determine whether portfolio  $j$  has the same risk as the market.  
According to CAPM, portfolio  $j$  has the same risk as the market since  $\beta_j=1$ .  
( $F=0.19$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.6618$ ).  
Also, based on FF, portfolio  $j$  has the same risk as the market since  $\beta_j=1$ .  
( $F=0.16$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.6887$ ).
- (3) Determine whether there exists significant size premium.  
According to FF, there exists significant size premium ( $t=5.97$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.000$ ).
- (4) Determine whether there exists significant growth (value) premium.

According to FF, there exists significant growth premium ( $t=9.22$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.000$ ).

- (5) Compare CAPM and FF models and determine which model is the most appropriated model. why?

Test FF model vs CAPM

. test smb hml

( 1) smb = 0  
( 2) hml = 0

F( 2, 11955) = 60.46  
Prob > F = 0.0000

According to the above test, the FF model is more appropriate since both size and growth premium coefficients are significant.

To study calendar effect (January effects) from the data set, estimate the following models:

$$r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \gamma_j D_{1t} + \beta_{j1} r_{mt} + \beta_{j2} r_{smbt} + \beta_{j3} r_{hmlt} + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (3)$$

January Effect

. reg rj rm smb hml d1

| Source   | SS         | df    | MS         |                        |  |  |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Model    | 11678.8711 | 4     | 2919.71779 | Number of obs = 11959  |  |  |
| Residual | 22624.8427 | 11954 | 1.89265875 | F( 4, 11954) = 1542.65 |  |  |
| Total    | 34303.7139 | 11958 | 2.86868321 | Prob > F = 0.0000      |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | R-squared = 0.3405     |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | Adj R-squared = 0.3402 |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | Root MSE = 1.3757      |  |  |

  

| rj    | Coef.    | Std. Err. | t     | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |           |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| rm    | 1.005405 | .0128275  | 78.38 | 0.000 | .9802607             | 1.030549  |
| smb   | .0369291 | .0061214  | 6.03  | 0.000 | .0249302             | .048928   |
| hml   | .0562495 | .00609    | 9.24  | 0.000 | .0443121             | .0681868  |
| d1    | -.09607  | .045781   | -2.10 | 0.036 | -.1858082            | -.0063318 |
| _cons | .0278773 | .0131425  | 2.12  | 0.034 | .0021158             | .0536388  |

- (6) Determine whether there exist significant January effects.

According to the above estimated result, there is significant January effects ( $t=-2.05$  &  $p\text{-value}=0.040$ ).

Chow test using dummy variables technique

. g drml=d1\*rm

. g dsmb=d1\*smb

. g dhml=d1\*hml

. reg rj rm drml smb dsmb hml dhml d1

| Source   | SS         | df    | MS         |                        |  |  |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Model    | 11680.5605 | 7     | 1668.65151 | Number of obs = 11959  |  |  |
| Residual | 22623.1533 | 11951 | 1.8929925  | F( 7, 11951) = 881.49  |  |  |
| Total    | 34303.7139 | 11958 | 2.86868321 | Prob > F = 0.0000      |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | R-squared = 0.3405     |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | Adj R-squared = 0.3401 |  |  |
|          |            |       |            | Root MSE = 1.3759      |  |  |

  

| rj   | Coef.    | Std. Err. | t     | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval] |          |
|------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| rm   | 1.008159 | .0133675  | 75.42 | 0.000 | .9819563             | 1.034361 |
| drml | -.035594 | .0475853  | -0.75 | 0.454 | -.1288689            | .0576808 |
| smb  | .0364768 | .0064084  | 5.69  | 0.000 | .0239153             | .0490383 |
| dsmb | .0037628 | .0217997  | 0.17  | 0.863 | -.0389682            | .0464937 |
| hml  | .0553364 | .0063695  | 8.69  | 0.000 | .0428512             | .0678216 |

---

|       |  |           |          |       |       |           |           |
|-------|--|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| dhm1  |  | .0106311  | .0218876 | 0.49  | 0.627 | -.0322721 | .0535344  |
| d1    |  | -.0947088 | .0461135 | -2.05 | 0.040 | -.1850988 | -.0043189 |
| _cons |  | .0277652  | .0131445 | 2.11  | 0.035 | .0019998  | .0535306  |

---

```
. test drm dsmb dhm1 d1
```

```
( 1)  drm = 0
( 2)  dsmb = 0
( 3)  dhm1 = 0
( 4)  d1 = 0
```

```
      F( 4, 11951) =    1.32
      Prob > F =    0.2584
```

(7) Perform Chow-test whether January and other month share the same structure of the Fama-French model (2).

According to the above Chow-test (using dummy variable technique), January and other months share the same structure of the FF model. Therefore, the models of January and other months should be estimated as one model (should not be separated).