

EE 325

Extensions of the Two-Variable Linear Regression Model Part I

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

Scaling and Units of Measurement

Consider the data given in Table 6.2, which refers to U.S. gross private domestic investment (GPDI) and gross domestic product (GDP) in billions as well as millions of (chained) 2000 dollars.

Suppose in the regression of GPDI on GDP one researcher uses data in billions of dollars but another expresses data in millions of dollars.

- Will the regression results be the same in both cases?
- Do the units in which the regressand and regressor are measured make any difference in the regression results?

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

$$Y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_i + \hat{u}_i$$

where $Y = \text{GPDI}$ and $X = \text{GDP}$

$$Y_i^* = w_1 Y_i$$

$$X_i^* = w_2 X_i$$

Where w_1 and w_2 are constants, call the **Scale factors**

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

$$Y_i^* = \hat{\beta}_1^* + \hat{\beta}_2^* X_i^* + \hat{u}_i^*$$

where $Y_i^* = w_1 Y_i$, $X_i^* = w_2 X_i$, and $\hat{u}_i^* = w_1 \hat{u}_i$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{X}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sum x_i^2}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sum X_i^2}{n \sum x_i^2} \sigma^2$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_i^2}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum \hat{u}_i^2}{n - 2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1^* = \bar{Y}^* - \hat{\beta}_2^* \bar{X}^*$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2^* = \frac{\sum x_i^* y_i^*}{\sum x_i^{*2}}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1^*) = \frac{\sum X_i^{*2}}{n \sum x_i^{*2}} \sigma^{*2}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2^*) = \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{\sum x_i^{*2}}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{*2} = \frac{\sum \hat{u}_i^{*2}}{n - 2}$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

$$\hat{\beta}_2^* = \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2} \right) \hat{\beta}_2$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1^* = w_1 \hat{\beta}_1$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{*2} = w_1^2 \hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1^*) = w_1^2 \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2^*) = \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2} \right)^2 \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2)$$

$$r_{xy}^2 = r_{x^* y^*}^2$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

Example

Gross Private Domestic Investment and GDP, United States, 1990-2005

$$Y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_i + \hat{u}_i$$

where $Y_i = GPDI$ and $X_i = GDP$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

TABLE 6.2

Gross Private Domestic Investment and GDP, United States, 1990-2005
(Billions of chained [2000] dollars, except as noted; quarterly data at seasonally adjusted annual rates)

Year	GPDI	GPDI	GDP	GDP
	BL	IM	BL	PM
1990	886.6	886,600.0	7,112.5	7,112,500.0
1991	829.1	829,100.0	7,100.5	7,100,500.0
1992	878.3	878,300.0	7,336.6	7,336,600.0
1993	953.5	953,500.0	7,532.7	7,532,700.0
1994	1,042.3	1,042,300.0	7,835.5	7,835,500.0
1995	1,109.6	1,109,600.0	8,031.7	8,031,700.0
1996	1,209.2	1,209,200.0	8,328.9	8,328,900.0
1997	1,320.6	1,320,600.0	8,703.5	8,703,500.0
1998	1,455.0	1,455,000.0	9,066.9	9,066,900.0
1999	1,576.3	1,576,300.0	9,470.3	9,470,300.0
2000	1,679.0	1,679,000.0	9,817.0	9,817,000.0
2001	1,629.4	1,629,400.0	9,890.7	9,890,700.0
2002	1,544.6	1,544,600.0	10,048.8	10,048,800.0
2003	1,596.9	1,596,900.0	10,301.0	10,301,000.0
2004	1,713.9	1,713,900.0	10,703.5	10,703,500.0
2005	1,842.0	1,842,000.0	11,048.6	11,048,600.0

Note: GPDI BL = gross private domestic investment, billions of 2000 dollars.
GPDI PM = gross private domestic investment, millions of 2000 dollars.
GDP BL = gross domestic product, billions of 2000 dollars.
GDP PM = gross domestic product, millions of 2000 dollars.

GPDI BL = Gross private domestic investment, billions of 2000 dollars

GPDI PM = Gross private domestic investment, millions of 2000 dollars

GDP BL = Gross domestic product, billions of 2000 dollars

GDP PM = Gross domestic product, millions of 2000 dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

Both GPDI and GDP in billions of dollars:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{GPDI}_t &= -926.090 + 0.2535GDP_t \\ se &= (116.358) \quad (0.0129) \\ r^2 &= 0.9648\end{aligned}$$

GPDI in billions of dollars → millions of dollars

GDP in billions of dollars → millions of dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

$$w_1 = 1000$$

$$w_2 = 1000$$

$$w_1 \hat{\beta}_1 = 1000 * -926.090 = -926,090$$

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2} \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{1000}{1000} * 0.2535 = 0.2535$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{GPDI}_t &= -926,090 + 0.2535GDP_t \\ se &= (116,358) \quad (0.0129) \\ r^2 &= 0.9648\end{aligned}$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

Both GPDI and GDP in millions of dollars:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{GPDI}_t &= -926,090 + 0.2535GDP_t \\ se &= (116,358) \quad (0.0129) \\ r^2 &= 0.9648\end{aligned}$$

GPDI in millions of dollars → billions of dollars

GDP in millions of dollars → billions of dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{1000}$$

$$w_2 = \frac{1}{1000}$$

$$w_1 \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{1}{1000} * -926,090 = -926.090$$

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2} \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{1000}}{\frac{1}{1000}} * 0.2535 = 0.2535$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{GPDI}_t &= -926.090 + 0.2535GDP_t \\ se &= (116.358) \quad (0.0129) \\ r^2 &= 0.9648\end{aligned}$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)



Both GDPI and GDP in billions of dollars:

$$\widehat{GDPI}_t = -926.090 + 0.2535GDP_t$$
$$se = (116.358) \quad (0.0129)$$
$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

GDPI in billions of dollars → billions of dollars

GDP in billions of dollars → millions of dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)



$$w_1 = 1$$
$$w_2 = 1000$$

$$w_1\hat{\beta}_1 = 1 * -926.090 = -926.090$$

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2}\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{1}{1000} * 0.2535 = 0.0002535$$

$$\widehat{GDPI}_t = -926.090 + 0.0002535GDP_t$$
$$se = (116.358) \quad (0.0000129)$$
$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)



Both GDPI and GDP in millions of dollars:

$$\widehat{GDPI}_t = -926,090 + 0.2535GDP_t$$
$$se = (116,358) \quad (0.0129)$$
$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

GDPI in millions of dollars → billions of dollars

GDP in millions of dollars → millions of dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)



$$w_1 = \frac{1}{1000}$$
$$w_2 = 1$$

$$w_1\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{1}{1000} * -926,090 = -926.090$$

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2}\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{1}{1} * 0.2535 = 0.0002535$$

$$\widehat{GDPI}_t = -926.090 + 0.0002535GDP_t$$
$$se = (116.358) \quad (0.0000129)$$
$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)



Both GDPI and GDP in billions of dollars:

$$\widehat{GDPI}_t = -926.090 + 0.2535GDP_t$$
$$se = (116.358) \quad (0.0129)$$
$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

GDPI in billions of dollars → millions of dollars

GDP in billions of dollars → billions of dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)



$$w_1 = 1000$$

$$w_2 = 1$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1^* = w_1\hat{\beta}_1 = 1000 * -926.090 = -926,090$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2^* = \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2}\right)\hat{\beta}_2 = \left(\frac{1000}{1}\right)0.2535 = 253.524$$

$$\widehat{GDPI}_t = -926,090 + 253.524GDP_t$$
$$se = (116,358) \quad (12.9465)$$
$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)

Both GDP and GDP in millions of dollars:

$$\widehat{GDP}_t = -926.090 + 0.2535GDP_t$$

$$se = (116.358) \quad (0.0129)$$

$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

GDP in millions of dollars → millions of dollars

GDP in millions of dollars → billions of dollars

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)

$$w_1 = 1$$

$$w_2 = \frac{1}{1000}$$

$$w_1\hat{\beta}_1 = 1 * -926,090 = -926,090$$

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2}\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1000}} * 0.2535 = 253.524$$

$$\widehat{GDP}_t = -926,090 + 253.524GDP_t$$

$$se = (116,358) \quad (12.9465)$$

$$r^2 = 0.9648$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)

Regression through the origin

$$Y_i = \beta_2 X_i + u_i$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)

Regression through the origin

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{\sum X_i^2}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum X_i^2}, \text{ where } \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum \hat{u}_i^2}{n-1}$$

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)

R-squared for Regression through Origin Model

$$r^2 = \frac{(\sum X_i Y_i)^2}{\sum X_i^2 \sum Y_i^2}$$


ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)

Example

Table 6.1 (P.151) gives data on excess returns on an index on 104 stocks in the sector of cyclical consumer goods and excess returns on the overall stock market index for the U.K. for the monthly data for the period 1980-1999, for a total of 240 observations.

Excess returns refers to return in excess of return on a riskless asset.

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan
Tangtipongkul)



ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)

The slope coefficient is highly significant

If the excess market rate goes up by 1 percentage point, the excess return on the index of consumer goods sector goes up by about 1.15 percentage points.

If a Beta coefficient is greater than 1, such a security is said to be volatile; it moves more than proportionately with the overall stock market index

ee325 2/2012 (Ajarn Kaewkwan Tangtipongkul)