

Optimization with Equality Constraints II

Pawin Siriprapanukul

CW Ch.12

Outline

- Finding the Stationary Values
- Second-Order Conditions
- Utility Maximization and Consumer Demand*
- Homogeneous Functions*
- Least-Cost Combination of Inputs*

Finding the Stationary Values: The n -Variable Cases

- The generalization of the Lagrange-multiplier method to n variables can be easily done. Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x,y} \quad & z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c. \end{aligned}$$

- It follows that the Lagrangian equation will be

$$L = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \lambda[c - g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)],$$

for which the first-order condition will consist of $(n + 1)$ equations as follows

$$L_1 = f_1 - \lambda g_1 = 0$$

$$L_2 = f_2 - \lambda g_2 = 0$$

... ..

$$L_n = f_n - \lambda g_n = 0$$

$$L_\lambda = c - g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

- We can solve for stationary values of L from these equations.

Finding the Stationary Values: The Multi-constraint Cases

- When there is more than one constraint, the Lagrange-multiplier method is equally applicable. Let an n -variable function be subject simultaneously to the two constraints

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c \text{ and } h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = d$$

- Then, the Lagrangian equation can be constructed as follows

$$L = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \lambda[c - g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)] + \mu[d - h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)],$$

where λ and μ are the two Lagrange multipliers.

- The first-order condition will consist of $(n + 2)$ equations

$$L_i = f_i - \lambda g_i - \mu h_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$L_\lambda = c - g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$L_\mu = d - h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

- We can solve for stationary values of L from these equations.

Example

- Write the Lagrangian equation and the first-order condition for

$$\max_{x,y} z = x^2 + 2xy + yw^2$$

$$\text{s. t. } 2x + y + w^2 = 24 \text{ and } x + w = 8.$$

Second-Order Conditions: Second-Order Total Differential

- Let us come back to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x,y} z &= f(x, y) \\ \text{s.t. } g(x, y) &= c. \end{aligned}$$

- The constraint $g(x, y) = c$ implies that

$$dg = g_x dx + g_y dy = 0.$$

- After rearranging, we get

$$dy = -\left(\frac{g_x}{g_y}\right) dx.$$

That is once the value of dx is specified, dy will depend on g_x and g_y , but since the latter derivatives depend on the variables x and y , dy will also depend on x and y .

Second-Order Conditions: Second-Order Total Differential

- Obviously, the earlier formula for d^2z , which is based on the arbitrariness of both dx and dy , can no longer apply.
- To find an appropriate expression of d^2z , we must treat dy as a variable dependent on x and y . That is

$$\begin{aligned}d^2z &= d(dz) = \frac{\partial(dz)}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial(dz)}{\partial y} dy \\&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (f_x dx + f_y dy) dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (f_x dx + f_y dy) dy \\&= \left[f_{xx} dx + (f_{xy} dy + f_y \frac{\partial(dy)}{\partial x}) \right] dx + \left[f_{yx} dx + (f_{yy} dy + f_y \frac{\partial(dy)}{\partial y}) \right] dy \\&= f_{xx} dx^2 + f_{xy} dy dx + f_y \frac{\partial(dy)}{\partial x} dx + f_{yx} dx dy + f_{yy} dy^2 + f_y \frac{\partial(dy)}{\partial y} dy\end{aligned}$$

Second-Order Conditions: Second-Order Total Differential

- Since the third and the sixth terms can be reduced to

$$f_y \left[\frac{\partial(dy)}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial(dy)}{\partial y} dy \right] = f_y d(dy) = f_y d^2 y,$$

The desired expression for $d^2 z$ is

$$d^2 z = f_{xx} dx^2 + 2f_{xy} dx dy + f_{yy} dy^2 + f_y d^2 y.$$

- The only difference from the previous expression of $d^2 z$ (in chapter 11) is the last term, $f_y d^2 y$.
- Since the constraint implies that $d^2 g = 0$, then we can get

$$(d^2 g =) g_{xx} dx^2 + 2g_{xy} dx dy + g_{yy} dy^2 + g_y d^2 y = 0.$$

Second-Order Conditions: Second-Order Total Differential

- Solving this last equation for d^2y and substitute into the above equation of d^2z , we get

$$\begin{aligned}d^2z &= \left(f_{xx} - \frac{f_y}{g_y} g_{xx}\right) dx^2 + 2\left(f_{xy} - \frac{f_y}{g_y} g_{xy}\right) dx dy + \left(f_{yy} - \frac{f_y}{g_y} g_{yy}\right) dy^2 \\&= (f_{xx} - \lambda g_{xx}) dx^2 + 2(f_{xy} - \lambda g_{xy}) dx dy + (f_{yy} - \lambda g_{yy}) dy^2 \\&= L_{xx} dx^2 + 2L_{xy} dx dy + L_{yy} dy^2\end{aligned}$$

Note that the second expression comes from FOC and the third expression comes from taking the second partial derivatives on L .

Second-Order Conditions

- The second-order conditions still revolve around the algebraic sign of the second-order total differential d^2z , evaluated at a stationary point.
- However, in the present context, we are concerned with the sign definiteness of d^2z , **not** for all possible values of dx and dy (not both zero), but **only** for those dx and dy values (not both zero) satisfying the linear constraint $dg = 0$.
- Thus, the second-order sufficient conditions are
 - For maximum of z : d^2z is negative definite, subject to $dg = 0$.
 - For minimum of z : d^2z is positive definite, subject to $dg = 0$.

Second-Order Conditions: The Bordered Hessian

- Previously, we have

$$d^2Z = L_{xx}dx^2 + 2L_{xy}dxdy + L_{yy}dy^2,$$

and $(dg =) g_x dx + g_y dy = 0.$

- From the second equation, we get $dy = -(g_x/g_y)dx$. Substitute dy into the first equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2Z &= L_{xx}dx^2 - 2L_{xy}\left(\frac{g_x}{g_y}\right)dx^2 + L_{yy}\frac{g_x^2}{g_y^2}dx^2 \\ &= \left(L_{xx}g_y^2 - 2L_{xy}g_xg_y + L_{yy}g_x^2\right)\frac{dx^2}{g_y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- It is obvious that d^2Z is positive (negative) definite if and only if the expression in parenthesis is positive (negative).

Second-Order Conditions: The Bordered Hessian

- It happens that the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & g_x & g_y \\ g_x & L_{xx} & L_{xy} \\ g_y & L_{yx} & L_{yy} \end{vmatrix} = 2L_{xy}g_xg_y - L_{xx}g_y^2 - L_{yy}g_x^2$$

is exactly the negative of the mentioned expression in parenthesis.

- We, therefore, can conclude that

$$d^2z \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{positive definite} \\ \text{negative definite} \end{cases} \text{ subject to } dg = 0 \text{ iff } \begin{vmatrix} 0 & g_x & g_y \\ g_x & L_{xx} & L_{xy} \\ g_y & L_{yx} & L_{yy} \end{vmatrix} \begin{cases} < 0 \\ > 0 \end{cases}.$$

The above determinant, often referred to as a ***bordered Hessian***, shall be denoted by $|\bar{H}|$.

Example 1

- Check the SOC for the following problem

$$\max_{x,y} z = xy$$

$$\text{s. t. } x + y = 6.$$

Example 2

- Check the SOC for the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x,y} \quad & z = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Second-Order Conditions: n -Variable Case

- Now, consider the problem $\max_{x,y} z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \text{ s.t. } g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c.$
- We can still apply the bordered Hessian determinant. But this time the conditions must be expressed in terms of the bordered leading principal minors of the Hessian.
- Given a bordered Hessian

$$|\bar{H}| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_n \\ g_1 & L_{11} & L_{12} & \cdots & L_{1n} \\ g_2 & L_{21} & L_{22} & \cdots & L_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_n & L_{n1} & L_{n2} & \cdots & L_{nn} \end{vmatrix},$$

Its bordered leading principal minors can be defined as

$$|\bar{H}_2| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & g_1 & g_2 \\ g_1 & L_{11} & L_{12} \\ g_2 & L_{21} & L_{22} \end{vmatrix}, |\bar{H}_3| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ g_1 & L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ g_2 & L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\ g_3 & L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{vmatrix}, \dots$$

Second-Order Conditions: n -Variable Case

- The conditions for positive and negative definiteness of d^2z are then

$$d^2z \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{positive definite} \\ \text{negative definite} \end{cases} \text{ subject to } dg = 0 \text{ iff } \begin{cases} |\overline{H}_2|, |\overline{H}_3|, \dots, |\overline{H}_n| < 0. \\ |\overline{H}_2| > 0, |\overline{H}_3| < 0, |\overline{H}_4| > 0, \dots \end{cases}$$

- Thus, we can conclude the conditions for constrained optimizations as

Condition	Maximum	Minimum
First-order necessary	$L_1 = L_2 = \dots = L_n = L_\lambda = 0$	$L_1 = L_2 = \dots = L_n = L_\lambda = 0$
Second-order sufficient	$(-1)^n \overline{H}_n > 0, n = 2, 3, \dots$	$ \overline{H}_2 , \overline{H}_3 , \dots, \overline{H}_n < 0$

Example

- Check for the second-order condition of

$$\max_{x,y} z = x^2 + 2xy + yw^2$$

$$\text{s. t. } 2x + y + w^2 = 24 \text{ and } x + w = 8.$$