

## Simultaneous-Equation Models

### Example: Klien's Model I

System equations model that frequently used is simultaneous equations model. A well known macroeconomics model is Klien's (1950) Model I, which has the following equations form:

$$C_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 P_t + \alpha_2 P_{t-1} + \alpha_3 (W_t^P + W_t^G) + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (\text{Consumption}),$$

$$I_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P_t + \beta_2 P_{t-1} + \beta_3 K_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \quad (\text{Investment}),$$

$$W_t^P = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 X_t + \gamma_2 X_{t-1} + \gamma_3 A_t + \varepsilon_{3t} \quad (\text{Private wages}),$$

$$X_t = C_t + I_t + G_t \quad (\text{equilibrium demand}),$$

$$P_t = X_t - T_t - W_t^P \quad (\text{private profits}),$$

$$K_t = K_{t-1} + I_t \quad (\text{capital stock}),$$

where  $C_t$  = Consumption at time  $t$

$I_t$  = Investment at time  $t$

$W_t^P$  = Private wages at time  $t$

$X_t$  = Total demand at time  $t$

$P_t$  = Private profits at time  $t$

$K_t$  = Capital stock at time  $t$

$G_t$  = Government nonwage spending at time  $t$

$T_t$  = Indirect business taxes plus net exports at time  $t$

$W_t^G$  = Government wage bill at time  $t$

$A_t$  = Time trend measured as years from 1931

Endogenous variables are all variables on the left hand side including consumption ( $C_t$ ), investment ( $I_t$ ), and private wages ( $W_t^P$ ). Exogenous variables include government nonwage spending ( $G_t$ ), indirect business taxes plus net exports ( $T_t$ ), government wage will ( $W_t^G$ ), and time trend ( $A_t$ ). However, there are also predetermined variables including total demand ( $X_t$ ), private profit ( $P_t$ ), and capital stock from last year ( $K_{t-1}$ ). This system model consists of 3 behavior equation and 1 equilibrium condition, and 2 identities. This simultaneous model is a dynamic model for a small economy. Klein (1950) estimated this model using data from 1921 to 1941.

The model is estimated using the data from 1921 to 1941. Methods of estimation include Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Two Stages Least Squares: 2SLS, (Three stage Least squares: 3SLS, and Iterative Three Stages Least Squares: I3SLS.

```
g w = wg+wp
g k = k1+i
g yr=year-1931
g p1 = p[_n-1]
g x1 = x[_n-1]
```

```
reg c p p1 w
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 21		
Model	923.549937	3	307.849979	F( 3, 17) =	292.71	
Residual	17.8794524	17	1.05173249	Prob > F =	0.0000	
Total	941.429389	20	47.0714695	R-squared =	0.9810	
				Adj R-squared =	0.9777	
				Root MSE =	1.0255	

c	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
p	.1929343	.0912102	2.12	0.049	.0004977	.385371
p1	.0898847	.0906479	0.99	0.335	-.1013658	.2811351
w	.7962188	.0399439	19.93	0.000	.7119444	.8804931
_cons	16.2366	1.302698	12.46	0.000	13.48815	18.98506

```
reg3 (c p p1 w), 2sls nodfk inst(t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

Two-stage least-squares regression

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	F-Stat	P
c	21	3	1.135659	0.9767	279.0941	0.0000

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
c						
p	.0173022	.1180494	0.15	0.885	-.2317603	.2663647
p1	.2162338	.107268	2.02	0.060	-.0100818	.4425495
w	.8101827	.0402497	20.13	0.000	.7252632	.8951022
_cons	16.55476	1.320793	12.53	0.000	13.76813	19.34139

Endogenous variables: c p w  
 Exogenous variables: t wg g yr p1 x1 k1

Alternative Command: ivreg

However, this command ivreg produces the correct coefficients but the standard errors are wrong.

```
ivreg c p1 (p w = t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regression

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 21		
Model	919.504138	3	306.501379	F( 3, 17) =	225.93	
Residual	21.9252518	17	1.28972069	Prob > F =	0.0000	
Total	941.429389	20	47.0714695	R-squared =	0.9767	
				Adj R-squared =	0.9726	
				Root MSE =	1.1357	

c	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
p	.0173022	.1312046	0.13	0.897	-.2595153	.2941197
w	.8101827	.0447351	18.11	0.000	.7158	.9045654
p1	.2162338	.1192217	1.81	0.087	-.0353019	.4677696
_cons	16.55476	1.467979	11.28	0.000	13.45759	19.65192

Instrumented: p w  
 Instruments: p1 t wg g yr x1 k1

```
/* additional code to get correct standard errors, suggested by Kit Baum */
mat vpr=e(V)*e(df_r)/e(N)
```

```

mat se=e(b)
local nc=colsof(se)
forv i=1/`nc' { mat se[1,`i']=sqrt(vpr[`i',`i']) }
mat list se

```

```

se[1,4]
      p          w          p1          _cons
y1   .11804942   .04024972   .10726797   1.3207925

```

#### Alternative Command: ivgmm0

However, this command `ivgmm0` produces the same standard errors as in `reg3` but slightly different in the estimated coefficients.

```
ivgmm0 c p1 (p w = t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

```

Instrumental Variables Estimation via GMM
Number of obs   =      21
Root MSE       =      1.0255
Hansen J       =      4.1098
Chi-sq( 4) P-val = 0.39135

```

	Coef.	GMM Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
c						
p	.0757916	.0615982	1.23	0.219	-.0449386	.1965218
w	.8493653	.0292499	29.04	0.000	.7920365	.9066941
p1	.1662683	.0654933	2.54	0.011	.0379039	.2946327
_cons	14.74433	.8966058	16.44	0.000	12.98702	16.50165

```

Instrumented:  p w
Instruments:  p1 t wg g yr x1 k1

```

```
. reg3 (c p p1 w), 2sls nodfk inst(t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

Two-stage least-squares regression

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	F-Stat	P
c	21	3	1.135659	0.9767	279.09	0.0000

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
c						
p	.0173022	.1180494	0.15	0.885	-.2317603	.2663647
p1	.2162338	.107268	2.02	0.060	-.0100818	.4425495
w	.8101827	.0402497	20.13	0.000	.7252632	.8951022
_cons	16.55476	1.320793	12.53	0.000	13.76813	19.34139

```

Endogenous variables:  c p w
Exogenous variables:  t wg g yr p1 x1 k1

```

```
. reg p t wg g yr p1 x1 k1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	21
Model	294.248018	7	42.0354311	F( 7, 13) =	8.82
Residual	61.9500944	13	4.76539188	Prob > F =	0.0004
				R-squared =	0.8261
				Adj R-squared =	0.7324
Total	356.198112	20	17.8099056	Root MSE =	2.183

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
p						
t	-.9230977	.4337595	-2.13	0.053	-1.860178	.0139827
wg	-.0796076	2.533823	-0.03	0.975	-5.5536	5.394385
g	.4390162	.3911427	1.12	0.282	-.4059962	1.284029
yr	.3194049	.7781286	0.41	0.688	-1.36164	2.000449
p1	.8025008	.5188558	1.55	0.146	-.318419	1.923421
x1	.0220002	.2821641	0.08	0.939	-.5875783	.6315787
k1	-.2161035	.1191134	-1.81	0.093	-.4734323	.0412253
_cons	50.38438	31.63026	1.59	0.135	-17.94863	118.7174

```
. predict p1hat
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(1 missing value generated)
```

```
. reg p1 t wg g yr p1 x1 k1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	21
Model	324.518114	7	46.3597305	F( 7, 13) =	.
Residual	0	13	0	Prob > F =	.
Total	324.518114	20	16.2259057	R-squared =	1.0000
				Adj R-squared =	1.0000
				Root MSE =	0

	p1	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
t		1.52e-16	.	.	.	.
wg		-4.78e-15	.	.	.	.
g		-1.05e-15	.	.	.	.
yr		7.17e-16	.	.	.	.
p1		1	.	.	.	.
x1		1.94e-15	.	.	.	.
k1		-4.21e-16	.	.	.	.
_cons		5.68e-14	.	.	.	.

```
. predict p1hat
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(1 missing value generated)
```

```
. reg w t wg g yr p1 x1 k1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	21
Model	1101.80519	7	157.400741	F( 7, 13) =	51.15
Residual	40.0071584	13	3.07747372	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	1141.81235	20	57.0906174	R-squared =	0.9650
				Adj R-squared =	0.9461
				Root MSE =	1.7543

	w	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
t		-.6041532	.3485755	-1.73	0.107	-1.357205 .1488984
wg		.5562761	2.036218	0.27	0.789	-3.842705 4.955257
g		.8662196	.314328	2.76	0.016	.1871552 1.545284
yr		.7135829	.6253155	1.14	0.274	-.6373292 2.064495
p1		.8719211	.4169601	2.09	0.057	-.0288665 1.772709
x1		.0953288	.2267512	0.42	0.681	-.3945374 .5851951
k1		-.1229518	.0957213	-1.28	0.221	-.329745 .0838415
_cons		43.43552	25.41854	1.71	0.111	-11.47789 98.34894

```
. predict what
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(1 missing value generated)
```

```
. reg c phat p1hat what
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	21
Model	874.172577	3	291.390859	F( 3, 17) =	73.65
Residual	67.2568126	17	3.95628309	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	941.429389	20	47.0714695	R-squared =	0.9286
				Adj R-squared =	0.9160
				Root MSE =	1.989

	c	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
phat		.0173023	.2297973	0.08	0.941	-.4675275 .5021322
p1hat		.2162337	.2088099	1.04	0.315	-.2243166 .6567841
what		.8101827	.0783509	10.34	0.000	.6448768 .9754886
_cons		16.55476	2.57108	6.44	0.000	11.13025 21.97926

All equations can be estimated in one single command in `reg3` as follows:

OLS:

```
reg3 (c p p1 w) (i p p1 k1) (wp x x1 yr), ols
```

Multivariate regression

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	F-Stat	P
c	21	3	1.02554	0.9810	292.7075	0.0000
i	21	3	1.009447	0.9313	76.87538	0.0000
wp	21	3	.7671466	0.9874	444.5687	0.0000

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
c					
p	.1929343	.0912102	2.12	0.039	.0098223 .3760464
p1	.0898847	.0906479	0.99	0.326	-.0920987 .271868
w	.7962188	.0399439	19.93	0.000	.716028 .8764095
_cons	16.2366	1.302698	12.46	0.000	13.62133 18.85188
i					
p	.4796356	.0971146	4.94	0.000	.28467 .6746012
p1	.3330387	.1008592	3.30	0.002	.1305554 .535522
k1	-.1117947	.0267276	-4.18	0.000	-.1654525 -.0581369
_cons	10.12579	5.465546	1.85	0.070	-.8467492 21.09833
wp					
x	.4394769	.0324076	13.56	0.000	.374416 .5045378
x1	.14609	.0374231	3.90	0.000	.07096 .22122
yr	.1302452	.0319103	4.08	0.000	.0661826 .1943077
_cons	1.497043	1.270031	1.18	0.244	-1.052651 4.046737

2SLS:

```
reg3 (c p p1 w) (i p p1 k1) (wp x x1 yr), 2sls nodfk inst(t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

Two-stage least-squares regression

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	F-Stat	P
c	21	3	1.135659	0.9767	279.0941	0.0000
i	21	3	1.307149	0.8849	50.89437	0.0000
wp	21	3	.7671548	0.9874	524.005	0.0000

  

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
c					
p	.0173022	.1180494	0.15	0.884	-.2196919 .2542963
p1	.2162338	.107268	2.02	0.049	.0008844 .4315833
w	.8101827	.0402497	20.13	0.000	.729378 .8909874
_cons	16.55476	1.320793	12.53	0.000	13.90316 19.20636
i					
p	.1502219	.1732292	0.87	0.390	-.1975503 .4979941
p1	.6159434	.1627853	3.78	0.000	.2891382 .9427486
k1	-.1577876	.0361262	-4.37	0.000	-.2303141 -.0852612
_cons	20.27821	7.542704	2.69	0.010	5.135599 35.42082
wp					
x	.4388591	.0356319	12.32	0.000	.3673251 .5103931
x1	.1466739	.0388361	3.78	0.000	.0687071 .2246406
yr	.1303956	.029141	4.47	0.000	.0718927 .1888985
_cons	1.500296	1.147779	1.31	0.197	-.8039674 3.804559

Endogenous variables: c p w i wp x  
 Exogenous variables: t wg g yr p1 x1 k1

3SLS:

```
reg3 (c p p1 w) (i p p1 k1) (wp x x1 yr), 3sls inst(t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

Three-stage least squares regression

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	chi 2	P
c	21	3	.9443305	0.9801	864.5909	0.0000
i	21	3	1.446736	0.8258	162.9808	0.0000
wp	21	3	.7211282	0.9863	1594.751	0.0000

  

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
c					
p	.1248904	.1081291	1.16	0.248	-.0870387 .3368194
p1	.1631439	.1004382	1.62	0.104	-.0337113 .3599992
w	.790081	.0379379	20.83	0.000	.715724 .8644379
_cons	16.44079	1.304549	12.60	0.000	13.88392 18.99766
i					
p	-.0130791	.1618962	-0.08	0.936	-.3303898 .3042316
p1	.7557238	.1529331	4.94	0.000	.4559805 1.055467
k1	-.1948482	.0325307	-5.99	0.000	-.2586072 -.1310893
_cons	28.17785	6.793768	4.15	0.000	14.86231 41.49339
wp					
x	.4004919	.0318134	12.59	0.000	.3381388 .462845
x1	.181291	.0341588	5.31	0.000	.1143411 .2482409
yr	.149674	.0279352	5.36	0.000	.094922 .2044261
_cons	1.797216	1.115854	1.61	0.107	-.3898181 3.984251

Endogenous variables: c p w i wp x  
 Exogenous variables: t wg g yr p1 x1 k1

3SLS:

```
reg3 (c p p1 w) (i p p1 k1) (wp x x1 yr), 3sls ireg3 inst(t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

```
Iteration 1: tolerance = .37125491
```

```
Iteration 24: tolerance = 7.049e-07
```

Three-stage least squares regression, iterated

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	chi 2	P
c	21	3	.9565088	0.9796	970.3072	0.0000
i	21	3	2.134327	0.6209	56.77951	0.0000
wp	21	3	.7782334	0.9840	1312.188	0.0000

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
c						
p	.1645096	.0961979	1.71	0.087	-.0240348 .3530539	
p1	.1765639	.0901001	1.96	0.050	-.0000291 .3531569	
w	.7658011	.0347599	22.03	0.000	.6976729 .8339294	
_cons	16.55899	1.224401	13.52	0.000	14.15921 18.95877	
i						
p	-.3565316	.2601568	-1.37	0.171	-.8664296 .1533664	
p1	1.011299	.2487745	4.07	0.000	.5237098 1.498888	
k1	-.2602	.0508694	-5.12	0.000	-.3599022 -.1604978	
_cons	42.89629	10.59386	4.05	0.000	22.13271 63.65987	
wp						
x	.3747792	.0311027	12.05	0.000	.3138191 .4357394	
x1	.1936506	.0324018	5.98	0.000	.1301443 .257157	
yr	.1679262	.0289291	5.80	0.000	.1112263 .2246261	
_cons	2.624766	1.195559	2.20	0.028	.2815124 4.968019	

Endogenous variables: c p w i wp x  
 Exogenous variables: t wg g yr p1 x1 k1

LIML:

```
. ivregress liml c p1 (p w=t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)
```

Instrumental variables (LIML) regression

Number of obs = 21  
 Wald chi2(3) = 438.84  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 R-squared = 0.9566  
 Root MSE = 1.3953

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
c					
p	-.2225133	.2017479	-1.10	0.270	-.6179318 .1729053
w	.8225587	.0553782	14.85	0.000	.7140194 .931098
p1	.3960272	.1735978	2.28	0.023	.0557818 .7362727
_cons	17.14766	1.840296	9.32	0.000	13.54074 20.75457

Instrumented: p w  
 Instruments: p1 t wg g yr x1 k1

Hausman Test:

. reg c p w p1

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	21
Model	923.549937	3	307.849979	F( 3, 17) =	292.71
Residual	17.8794524	17	1.05173249	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.9810
				Adj R-squared =	0.9777
Total	941.429389	20	47.0714695	Root MSE =	1.0255

  

c	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
p	.1929343	.0912102	2.12	0.049	.0004977 .385371
w	.7962188	.0399439	19.93	0.000	.7119444 .8804931
p1	.0898847	.0906479	0.99	0.335	-.1013658 .2811351
_cons	16.2366	1.302698	12.46	0.000	13.48815 18.98506

. estimates store ols

. ivregress 2sls c p1 (p w=t wg g yr p1 x1 k1)

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regression	Number of obs =	21
	Wald chi2(3) =	837.28
	Prob > chi2 =	0.0000
	R-squared =	0.9767
	Root MSE =	1.0218

c	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
p	.0173022	.1180494	0.15	0.883	-.2140704 .2486748
w	.8101827	.0402497	20.13	0.000	.7312947 .8890707
p1	.2162338	.107268	2.02	0.044	.0059925 .4264752
_cons	16.55476	1.320793	12.53	0.000	13.96605 19.14346

Instrumented: p w  
 Instruments: p1 t wg g yr x1 k1

. estimates store twostage

. hausman twostage ols

	---- Coefficients ----			
	(b) twostage	(B) ols	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
p	.0173022	.1929343	-.1756322	.0749424
w	.8101827	.7962188	.0139639	.004952
p1	.2162338	.0898847	.1263492	.057353

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from ivregress  
 B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from regress

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

$$\begin{aligned} \text{chi2}(3) &= (b-B)' [(V_b-V_B)^{-1}] (b-B) \\ &= 8.81 \\ \text{Prob}>\text{chi2} &= 0.0319 \\ &(\text{V}_b\text{-V}_B \text{ is not positive definite}) \end{aligned}$$