

Equity Analysis and Valuation

VIII. Relative Valuation (I)

Text: Damodaran, A., 2012, Investment Valuation: Tools and Techniques for Determining the Value of any Asset, 3rd Edition, John Wiley & Sons.

Course instructor: Sirinattha Techasiriwan



Key Learning Outcome:

- I. The Essence of Relative Valuation
- II. The Standardized Values and Multiples
- III. Price Earnings Ratio (PE)
 1. PE Ratio
 2. PEG Ratio
 3. Earnings Yield and Dividend Yield
 4. Cyclicalities of PE
- IV. Enterprise Value to EBITDA Multiple (EV / EBITDA)
- V. Book Value Multiples
- VI. Sector-Specific Multiples



I The Essence of Relative Valuation

- In relative valuation, the value of an asset is compared to the values assessed by the market for **similar or comparable assets**.
 - **The law of one price** - the economic principle that two identical assets should sell at the same price.



The Essence of Relative Valuation (cont.)

- The problem with multiples is not in their use but in **their abuse**. If we can find ways to frame multiples right, we should be able to use them better.
- Every multiple is a function of the same three variables—**risk, growth, and cash flow generating potential**. Firms with higher growth rates, less risk, and greater cash flow generating potential should trade at higher multiples than firms with lower growth, higher risk, and less cash flow potential.



I The Essence of Relative Valuation (cont.)

- If we find that an asset is undervalued relative to a comparison assets, we may expect the asset to outperform the comparison assets on a **relative basis**.
- However, **what if the comparison assets not efficiently priced?** The stock may not be undervalued—it could be fairly valued or even overvalued on an absolute basis.



III-1 PE Ratio: Understanding the Fundamentals

PE = Market Price per Share / Earnings per Share

- **Price** is usually the current price (though some like to use average price over last 6 months or year)
- **EPS:**
 - Time variants: EPS in most **recent financial year** (current), EPS in **most recent four quarters (trailing)**, EPS expected in **next fiscal year** or **next four quarters** (both called **forward**) or EPS in **any future year**
 - **Primary, diluted** or **partially diluted** or **weighted average**
 - **Before** or **after extraordinary items** or **normalized**
 - Measured using **different accounting rules**



III-1

Intrinsic PE Ratio

- To understand the fundamentals, start with a basic equity discounted cash flow model. With a stable growth dividend discount model:

$$P_0 = \frac{DPS_1}{r - g_n}$$



- Dividing both sides by the **forward earnings per share (EPS)**:



DPS1/EPS1

Forward EPS

Current EPS

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = PE = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio}_1^* (1 + g_n)}{r - g_n}$$

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_1} = PE = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio}_1}{r - g_n}$$



* The model assumes stable payout ratio

- We can state the payout ratio as a function of the expected growth rate and return on equity and substituting back into the equation:

$$\text{Forward P/E} = \frac{P_0}{EPS_1} = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio}}{k_e - g_s} = \frac{1 - g_s / ROE_s}{k_e - g_s}$$

*Current or Trailing EPS = EPS in the past year. Forward EPS = EPS in the coming year.



III-1 PE Ratio: Understanding the Fundamentals (cont.)

- Other things held equal, **higher growth** firms will have **higher PE ratios** than lower growth firms.
- Other things held equal, **higher risk** firms will have **lower PE ratios** than lower risk firms
- Other things held equal, firms with **lower reinvestment** needs will have **higher PE ratios** than firms with higher reinvestment rates.
- Of course, other things are difficult to hold equal since **high growth firms, tend to have risk and high reinvestment rates.**

- For a **no growth firm**, P/E tells number of years it would take for the company to accumulate earnings equal to its share price (**pay back period**).



III-1

Example: The Fundamental PE Ratio

- Company A has a stable dividend payout policy of **50%**. Analysts expect company A's sustainable dividend growth of **5%**. What is the firm's **fundamental P/E** ratio at a required rate of return of **11%**?

Answer:

- What is your recommendation for company if its stocks are traded at a forward P/E ratio of 9x?
- Company A has a dividend payout policy of **60%**. Analysts expect company A's sustainable dividend growth of **5%**. What is the firm's **fundamental P/E** ratio at a required rate of return of **11%**? **Answer: 10.0X = 0.6/(0.11-0.05)**
- Company A has a dividend payout policy of **60%**. Analysts expect company A's sustainable dividend growth of **4%**. What is the firm's **fundamental P/E** ratio at a required rate of return of **11%**? **Answer: 8.6X = 0.6/(0.11-0.04)**

$$\text{Forward P/E} = \frac{P_0}{\text{EPS}_1} = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio}_1}{k_e - g_s} = \frac{1 - g_s/\text{ROE}_s}{k_e - g_s}$$

What do you notice from the above examples?



- The PEG ratio is the ratio of price earnings to **expected growth** in earnings per share.

$$\text{PEG} = \text{PE} / \text{Expected Growth Rate in Earnings}$$

- The growth rate used to compute the PEG ratio is the **expected growth** over the coming year or longer (2 years, 5 years).
- Use the **current PE ratio (trailing PE ratio)**. **The forward PE ratio should never be used** in this computation, since it may result in a **double counting of growth**.



Example: The PEG Ratio (1)

- Assume that you have a firm with a current price of \$30 and current earnings per share of \$1.50. The firm is expected to **double its earnings per share over the next year** (forward earnings per share will be \$3.00) and then have **earnings growth of 5 percent a year for the following four years**. Calculate **PEG ratio, using the average growth during the next five years**.
 - Current PE ratio = current price/current EPS = $30/1.5 = 20$
 - Expected earnings growth =

$$= [(1 + \text{Growth rate}_{\text{year 1}})(1 + \text{Growth rate}_{\text{years 2-5}})^4]^{1/5} - 1$$

$$= [2.00(1.05)^4]^{1/5} - 1 = .1944$$
 - PEG ratio = $20/19.44 = 1.03$

Question: What would be the PEG Ratio, using the **expected growth over the coming year?**



III-3

Earnings Yield and Dividend Yield

- **The earnings yield is the inverse of the P/E ratio.**
- The earnings yield shows the **percentage of each dollar invested in the stock that was earned** by the equity holders' of the company. It is used by many investment managers to determine optimal asset allocations.

$$\text{Earnings yield (\%)} = \text{EPS/share price} = 1/(\text{PE ratio})$$

- **Dividend yield** is calculated by dividing the **annual dividend per share (DPS)** by the **price per share** or the **annual dividend** by the **market cap**. It can also be obtained by **multiplying earnings yield by the dividend payout ratio**.

$$\text{Dividend yield (\%)} = \text{DPS/share price} = \text{Earnings yield} * \text{Dividend payout ratio}$$

- Earnings yield and dividend yield can be calculated based on the **current** EPS and DPS or **forward** EPS and forward DPS:



III-3

Example: Earnings Yield and Dividend Yield

- Assume that you have a firm with a current PE ratio of 10 times and a dividend payout ratio in the current year of 50%. Calculate **current year earnings yield and dividend yield** for the company.
- If the company has a stable growth rate of earnings per share and dividend per share of 5% and a stable dividend payout ratio of 50%, calculate **forward earnings yield forward dividend yield**.
 - Current earnings yield = $1/10 = 10\%$
 - Current dividend yield = $10\% \cdot .5 = 5\%$
 - Forward PE ratio = $10/1.05 = 9.52$
 - Forward earnings yield = $1/9.52 = 10.5\%$
 - Forward dividend yield = 5.25%



PE Ratio: Cyclicity of PE

- **A countercyclical property of PEs** known as the **Molodovsky effect**. Named after Nicholas Molodovsky who wrote on this in the 1950s.
- For a cyclical company, **trailing earnings per share (EPS)** are often **depressed or negative at the bottom of the cycle** and **unusually high at the top of the cycle**.
- Empirically, PEs for cyclical companies are often **highly volatile over a cycle without any change in business prospects: high PEs** on depressed EPS **at the bottom** of the cycle and **low PEs** on unusually high EPS **at the top of the cycle**.
- **Normalized EPS** can be used to create a **normalized PE**.

Question: Do you think it is fundamentally reasonable for a cyclical company to have a high PE ratio at the bottom of the cycle and low PE ratio at the top of the cycle? Give reasons.



IV

Enterprise Value to EBITDA Multiple: Understanding the Fundamentals

- Unlike the earnings multiples, the enterprise value (EV) to EBITDA multiple is a **firm value multiple**.
- EV/EBITDA ratio looks at the **market value of the operating assets** of the firm (**Enterprise value or EV**) relative to **operating earnings (EBITDA)**.

$$\text{EV/EBITDA ratio} = \text{Enterprise value (or EV)} / \text{EBITDA}$$

$$\text{EV} = \text{Firm value} = \text{Market value of equity} + \text{Market value of debt} - \text{Cash}$$

$$\text{EBITDA} = \text{EBIT} + \text{Depreciation and Amortization}$$

**** Since cash is netting out of firm value, interest income from the cash is not counted as part of the EBITDA.**



IV

Enterprise Value to EBITDA Multiple: Understanding the Fundamentals (cont.)

- This multiple can be compared (firm value relative to earnings) far more easily than other earnings multiples across firms with **different financial leverage**
 - The **numerator** is **firm value** and the **denominator** is a **pre-debt earnings**).
- EV/EBITDA multiple is particularly useful for firms in sectors that require **large investments (capital intensive sectors)** in infrastructure with long gestation periods. Telecom companies or energy and petrochemical companies would be good examples.
 - Companies in capital intensive industries are likely to report **negative earnings per share (EPS) during the start-up period** of their investments due to **large depreciation expenses**.
 - **Differences in depreciation methods** across different companies can cause differences in operating income or net income but will not affect EBITDA.



IV

Enterprise Value to EBITDA Multiple for Firms with Cross Holdings

- The enterprise value to EBITDA multiple can be difficult to estimate for **firms with cross holdings** (i.e. a parent company holds stakes in subsidiaries or non-subsidiaries companies).
- **When a holding is categorized as a minority holding:**
 - The operating income of a firm does not reflect the income from the holding.
 - The numerator, on the other hand, includes the market value of equity, which should incorporate the value of the minority holdings.
 - Consequently, the value to EBITDA multiple will be too high for these firms, leading a casual observer to conclude that they were overvalued.
- **When a holding is categorized as a majority holding (subsidiaries):**
 - The EBITDA includes 100 percent of the EBITDA of the holding, but the numerator reflects only the portion of the holding that belongs to the firm.
 - Thus the value to EBITDA multiple will be too low, leading it to be categorized as an undervalued stock.





Book Value Multiples: Understanding the Fundamentals

$$P/BV = \text{Market Price per Share} / \text{BV per Share}$$

or

$$P/BV = \text{Market Value of Equity} / \text{Book Value of Equity}$$

- Market value of Equity is the market capitalization of the stock:

$$\text{Market Capitalization of the Stock} = \text{Market Price per Share} \times \text{Number of Shares}$$



V Intrinsic P/BV Ratio

- With a stable growth dividend discount model:

$$P_0 = \frac{D_1}{(k-g_1)} \quad , \text{and} \quad ROE_0 = \frac{EPS_0}{BV_0}$$

$$P_0 = \frac{BV_0 * ROE_0 * \text{Payout ratio}_0 * (1+g_1)}{(k-g_1)}$$

$$\frac{P_0}{BV_0} = \frac{ROE_0 * \text{Payout ratio}_0 * (1+g_1)}{(k-g_1)}$$

If the return on equity is based upon expected earnings in the next time period:

$$\frac{P_0}{BV_0} = \frac{ROE_1 * \text{Payout ratio}_0}{(k-g_1)}$$

$$, \text{with} \quad g_1 = (1 - \text{Payout ratio}_0) * ROE_1$$

$$\frac{P_0}{BV_0} = \frac{(ROE_1 - g_1)}{(k-g_1)}$$

Question: What would be the P/BV if ROE = k?





Intrinsic P/BV Ratio (cont.)

- What will be the P/BV ratio if:
 - Earning power of the asset has increased since its acquisition?
 - Earning power of the asset has declined since its acquisition?
 - Earning power of the asset remains stable since its acquisition?





Example: Intrinsic P/BV Ratio

- Vodafone paid out 4,468 million BP in dividends on net income of 7,968 million BP in 2010, giving it a payout ratio of 55.82% ($=4,468/7,968$).
- Based on its book value of equity of 90,810 million BP at the end of 2009, the return on equity (ROE) generated by the firm in 2010 was 8.77% ($=7,968/90,810$).
- The expected growth rate, based on maintaining this payout ratio and ROE, is 3.88% ($=(1-55.82\%)*8.77\%$), which we will assume is the growth rate forever.
- To estimate the cost of equity, we will use the risk-free rate in British pounds (4%), an equity risk premium of 5%, assume a beta of 1 for the company: Cost of equity = $4\% + 1(5\%) = 9\%$.
- Two ways we estimate the price-to-book ratio for the firm:

$$P/BV = (\text{ROE} \times \text{Payout ratio}) / (k-g) = (8.77\% \times 55.82\%) / (9\% / 3.88\%)$$

or $= 0.96$

$$P/BV = (\text{ROE} - g) / (k-g) = (8.77\% - 3.88\%) / (9\% - 3.88\%) = 0.96$$

** The stock is expected to trade at slightly below book value, because its ROE is less than its cost of equity.



V P/BV Ratio: The Perfect Undervalued Company

- Given the relationship between price-book value ratios and returns on equity, the firms which should draw attention from investors are those which provide **mismatches of price-book value ratios and returns on equity - low P/BV ratios and high ROE or high P/BV ratios and low ROE.**
- We are looking for stocks that trade at **low price to book ratios, while generating high returns on equity, with low risk.**



Sector-Specific Multiples: Understanding the Fundamentals

- Sector-specific multiples vary from sector to sector. In general, though, they share some general characteristics:
 - The **numerator** is usually **enterprise value**—the market values of both debt and equity netted out against cash and marketable securities.
 - The **denominator** is defined in terms of the **operating units that generate revenues and profits** for the firm (i.e. the **key earnings drivers of the firm**).
- For example:
 - The value of **steel companies** can be compared based on **market value per ton of steel produced**.
 - The value of **electricity generators** can be computed on the basis of **kilowatt hour (kwh) of power** produced.
 - The value of new technology firms can be compared based on multiples that range from **value per subscriber for online service providers** to **value per web site visitor for Internet portals** to **value per member for social media companies**.



VI

Sector-Specific Multiples: Understanding the Fundamentals (cont.)

- In each of the cases we have discussed, the underlying reason that we use a sector-specific multiple is because **the units** (whether they be barrels of oil, kwh "of electricity, or subscribers) **generate similar revenues**, i.e. the units used to scale value are **homogeneous**.
- Sector multiples become much more problematic when the units used to scale value are not homogeneous.



Assignments:

Damodaran, A., 2012, Investment Valuation: Tools and Techniques for Determining the Value of any Asset, 3rd Edition

- Read Chapter 17 – Fundamental Principles of Relative Valuation (pages 453 – 455, 460-461), Chapter 18 – Earnings Multiples (pages 470 – 471, 487 – 488, 500 – 501), Chapter 19 – Book Value Multiples (pages 511 – 516), Chapter 20 (pages 571 - 573)

